Morrow County Board of Commissioners Draft Findings of Fact Rowan Percheron, LLC AC-145-23, AC(Z)-146-22, AZM-147-23

REQUEST: to amend the Comprehensive Plan to change the Plan and zoning designation of a 274-acre parcel from Exclusive Farm Use (EFU) to General Industrial (MG) and adopt a Limited Use Overlay (LUA) Zone to limit use to a data center. Application also includes an exception to Statewide Planning Goal 3, 11 and 14 to allow for a data center use.

APPLICANT:	Rowan Percheron, LLC
	1330 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1350
	Houston, TX 77056
OWNER:	Threemile Canyon Farms
	75906 Threemile Road
	Boardman, OR 97818
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION: Tax Lot 100 of Assessor's Map 3N 24	
PROPERTY LOCATION: Property is located on Tower Road approximately 9 miles south of	

Interstate 84, west and south of the City of Boardman. Parcel is just north of the old PGE Coal Fire Plant.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

I BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The 274-acre parcel is vacant, non-irrigated, undeveloped land. Along the western boundary of the parcel is an existing 230-kV transmission line that runs south approximately 1.6 miles to the existing transmission infrastructure at the Portland General Electric (PGE) Carty natural gas generating plant. To the east of the parcel is the Boardman Conservation Area (BCA) and to the southeast is the existing Carty site. There is a parcel of land zoned General Industrial (MG) approximately 5,000 feet to the south and west and a large parcel to the north and east zoned Space Age Industrial.

Project Description:

Rowan Percheron, LLC (Applicant) is the contract purchaser of the 274-acre parcel. Rowan Percheron proposes to develop a data center campus. The Project Parcel is currently zoned Exclusive Farm Use (EFU). The purpose of the EFU Zone is to "preserve, protect and maintain agricultural lands for farm use, consistent with historical, existing and future needs, including economic needs, which pertain to the production of agricultural products." MCZO 3.010

The project parcel has not been put into productive use. The parcel is comprised predominately of nonarable soils and the applicant and owner consider it to be not suitable for farm use. The property owner has been unsuccessful in putting the land into agricultural cultivation and does

not believe grazing is an option. The landowner submitted an affidavit to this effect.

According to the application, the project parcel is suitable for data center use given its proximity to critical infrastructure. The project parcel is located about 5,000 feet from the Portland General Electric Carty generating plant site and adjacent to an existing 230 kV transmission line ROW. The existing 230-kV transmission runs about 1.6 miles along the western boundary of the Project Parcel and Tower Road. The Portland General Electric Carty site includes a 450-megawatt (MW), combined-cycle natural gas-fueled electric generating power plant, the Grassland Switchyard, the Carty Substation, a 500-kV transmission line and the Carty Reservoir. In total, the Carty site encompasses an approximately 4,997-acre site boundary.¹ According to the application, the data center anticipates receiving power from Pacific Power via the existing and planned electrical infrastructure at the Carty site and via the existing transmission ROW along Tower Road.

According to the application, the parcel is suitable for a data center due to the flat topography (less than 15 percent slope) and is situated to avoid adverse environmental impacts to water availability, wetlands, habitat, and sensitive species and is not located within a floodplain.

The application indicates that development of the data center campus will be phased according to market demand and conditions, with an estimated full build-out of the project footprint over a number of years. The Applicant anticipates full build-out to include multiple data warehouse buildings, and all associated accessory components as described below. The primary and associated components of the proposed data center constitute a "data center" within the meaning of MCZO 1.030 and are anticipated to be limited to the project footprint. See "**Figure 5** Project Area Components" attached. The primary and accessory components of the proposed development may include:

- A data center campus including multiple data system warehouse buildings
- Parking areas for employees and interior access roads
- Anticipated onsite septic, stormwater, and wastewater management systems
- Fire protection system, including water storage tank(s)
- Back-up power supply systems
- Onsite substations and electrical interconnection equipment

These are the primary and accessory facility components based on the applicant's conceptual design and represent the likely facility components of the final design, although the specific number and size of the particular facility components may vary. The applicant maintains that such variation does not undermine the analysis to support the requested goal exceptions and zone change to allow a data center within the Project Footprint.

The applicant has experience with data center development and plans to locate the proposed data center and accessory buildings in a manner that avoids impacts to the wetlands and floodplain within the project parcel. Additionally, the Applicant will maintain a buffer (250-feet) of the project footprint from the adjacent conservation area that runs along the eastern edge of the

parcel. In general, data centers have a relatively lower level of impact to the surrounding area than other industrial uses, due to less intensive operational traffic, noise, emissions, and viewshed impacts.

Surrounding Land Uses. The surrounding land use is primarily agriculture however, to the east is the PGE natural gas plant and to the south is the site of the former PGE Coal fired plant.

Soil Types: As provided in the soil report provided by the applicant, land capability classifications within the project footprint are predominantly 7e (non-irrigated) for Koehler and Quincy, 6e (non-irrigated) for Royal and Taunton, and a very small percentage of 4e (non-irrigated) for Sagehill fine sandy loam. Outside of the project footprint, soils are Class 4e, 6e, and 7e soils. The predominate non-irrigated soil land capability classifications indicate severe limitations (land capability classes 6 and 7) to cultivation for most of the project footprint and moderate limitations (land capability class 4) for the remaining area of the project parcel.

Water Supply According to the application, the project will require potable water for employees and industrial water for processing and cooling. For industrial process water, the Applicant anticipates about 20 to 60 million gallons of annual total water use for the data center campus. Applicant is evaluating options for sourcing the needed water. Currently, potential water supply sources for domestic and industrial water include but are not limited to (1) a water supply agreement for use or transfer of existing water rights from nearby water rights holder(s) and (2) water supply and an infrastructure agreement with the Port of Morrow to obtain water from the Port's proposed water treatment facility located near the Boardman Airport Industrial Park. See attached Port of Morrow Water Supply Memorandum of Understanding [MOU]).

The application describes the benefits of working with the Port of Morrow as a water supplier. "First, the Port of Morrow is currently designing additional infrastructure to serve potable industrial uses near the Boardman Airport Industrial Park and extension of these services may serve the Project Parcel. In addition, this option would help to minimize impacts to the ground and surface water conditions in the immediate vicinity of the Project Parcel, including to adjacent productive farmlands. Applicant requests the Goal 11 exception as a part of this application because the Applicant seeks the flexibility to select a water supply source that may involve extension of public services from the Port of Morrow. (Note, the application includes a Goal 11 exception for the extension of public water services "despite the plain language of the goal and the implementing administrative rules because of the court's ruling in *Foland v. Jackson County*, 239 Or App 60, 64-65 (2010) (finding that the overarching policies of Goal 11 and the history of amendments to the goal supported Land Use Board of Appeal's [LUBA] decision that Goal 11 prohibits the extension of city water services to serve an *urban use* on rural land without a Goal 11 exception)." Goal 11 exception is below.

Power:

The project parcel is directly adjacent to an existing transmission line ROW that runs south along Tower Road for about 1.6 miles to the Carty site and Grassland Switchyard. The application indicates that the project will receive power from Pacific Power via a new 230-kV transmission line utilizing existing ROW along Tower Rd, and 34.5kV distribution facilities. The data center campus project will also include the installation of onsite back-up power supply systems.

Wastewater:

Applicant proposes to manage stormwater and industrial wastewater onsite with one or more onsite evaporation ponds. The on-site retention pond design includes an infiltration rate of 2 inches/hour with a 6-foot pond depth and up to 2 feet of freeboard. Application indicates that a state 1200-Z Permit will not be needed, as there is no anticipated direct discharge or stormwater. However, a copy of Public Notice and Findings were sent to DEQ who has regulatory authority over stormwater.

According to the application, for onsite black and grey water, the estimated annual volumes for a data center could range from 10,000 to 15,000 gallons per day (GPD). The application indicates that the data center campus will seek to minimize stormwater runoff to the extent possible. Applicant also will construct an onsite septic system.

For industrial wastewater (process and blowdown water), the applicant anticipates recycling the water using an onsite wastewater treatment system. Specific design was not included in the application however the application indicates that "once the water is no longer capable of being recycled, wastewater will be treated, managed onsite in a retention pond, or treated using other appropriate water recycling technologies. If needed, the wastewater treatment systems are expected to be designed and engineered for the appropriate quantities of produced industrial waste water."

Transportation & Access: Applicant provided a traffic study as part of the application which concludes that no roadway improvements are necessary. The traffic study recommended that development include a new access to Tower Road be constructed and to install a stop sign.

The data center will operate 24-hours per day in shifts. On average, data center will employ at least 35 full-time equivalent employees and many additional third-party vendor employees. The jobs include data center engineering operations (managing the facility), data center operations (managing the servers in the data halls), and security operations staff.

II MORROW COUNTY ZONING CODE STANDARDS APPLICABLE TO LEGISLATIVE DECISIONS

To approve the request county will be required to adopt findings to show that the request meets the necessary criteria which are presented below in **bold** print with responses in regular print.

MCZO 8.040 provides the applicable approval criteria for a zone change. Applicant response is in standard font below.

MCZO 8.040, CRITERIA. The proponent of the application or permit has the burden of proving justification for its approval. The more drastic the request or the greater the impact of the application or permit on the neighborhood, area, or county, the greater is the burden on the applicant. The following criteria shall be considered by the Planning Commission in preparing a recommendation and by the County Court in reaching their decision.

A. The local conditions have changed and would warrant a change in the zoning of the subject property(ies).

Response: The Project Parcel has been zoned EFU since Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Ordinance acknowledgement on January 30, 1986. Applicant provides the following analysis. "The purpose of the EFU Zone is to "preserve, protect and maintain agricultural lands for farm use, consistent with historical, existing and future needs, including economic needs, which pertain to the production of agricultural products." "Agricultural Lands" are defined as land of predominately Class I-VI soils and "other lands suitable for farm use taking into consideration soil fertility, suitability for grazing, climatic conditions, existing and future availability of water for farm irrigation purposes, existing land use patterns, technological and energy inputs required, or accepted farming practices. MCCP, Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands Element): OAR 660-033-0020(1)(a). The Project Parcel is comprised predominately of nonarable soils, not suitable for farm use. The underlying soils are unproductive, highly erodible, and the property owner has been unsuccessful in putting the land into agricultural cultivation; it is not even productive for grazing." Applicant provided an affidavit declaring the land is not farmable.

According to the application, "the historic and current conditions of the Project Parcel arguably disqualify the Project Parcel from being "agricultural land" under Goal 3." This argument implies that given that the land has not been farmed and is not practicably suitable for farming, the land should not be considered "agricultural land" under Goal 3.

Applicant further notes that "future conditions of the Project Parcel, given the changing environmental conditions of the area, likely ensure that it will remain unproductive into the future with likely increased soil erodibility."

If county concurs with the analysis above, county may find that conditions have changed to warrant rezoning the Project Parcel.

B. The public services and facilities are sufficient to support a change in designation including, but not limited to, water availability relevant to both quantity and quality, waste and storm water management, other public services, and streets and roads.

Response:

Stormwater or Wastewater Services and Facilities. No *public* stormwater or wastewater services or facilities are proposed or needed. Applicant anticipates managing all stormwater or industrial wastewater onsite.

<u>Water Services and Facilities</u>. The development will require potable water for employees and industrial water for processing and cooling. For industrial process water, applicant anticipates about 20 to 60 million gallons of annual total water use for the data center, depending on a variety of factors. Applicant is evaluating options for sourcing the needed water. Currently, potential water supply sources include, but are not limited to (1) a water supply agreement for use or transfer of existing water rights from nearby water rights holder(s) and, (2) a water supply agreement with the Port of Morrow to obtain water from the Port's Boardman Airport Industrial Park. Initially, an onsite exempt groundwater well may provide up to 5,000 GPD of potable water for supplying the restrooms, sinks, lunchroom, until such time as POM facility water is available.

Police/Fire/Emergency Response Services and Facilities. The Project Parcel is within the

Boardman Rural Fire Protection District's (RFPD) service area. A copy of the Public Notice was sent to Boardman Rural Fire Protection District.

<u>Transportation Services and Facilities.</u> Applicant provided a Traffic Impact Analysis that concluded the proposed zone change will not result in significant impacts to the County's transportation system and the existing roads. The TIA analysis calculated traffic impacts during construction and operation. Based on the TIA and the conditions recommended in the TIS, County may find that the public transportation system is adequate to support the zone change. Morrow County Public Works is evaluating the TIA and may provide additional comments.

1. Amendments to the zoning ordinance or zone changes which significantly affect a transportation facility shall assure that land uses are consistent with the function, capacity, and level of service of the facility identified in the Transportation System Plan. This shall be accomplished by one of the following:

a. Limiting allowed land uses to be consistent with the planned function of the transportation facility or roadway;

b. Amending the Transportation System Plan to ensure that existing, improved, or new transportation facilities are adequate to support the proposed land uses consistent with the requirement of the Transportation Planning Rule; or,

c. Altering land use designations, densities, or design requirements to reduce demand for automobile travel to meet needs through other modes.

Response: As discussed under Subpart (2) below, this zone change application does not significantly affect a transportation facility, therefore Subpart (2) does not apply to this application.

2. A plan or land use regulation amendment significantly affects a transportation facility if it:

a. Changes the functional classification of an existing or planned transportation facility;

b. Changes standards implementing a functional classification;

c. Allows types or levels of land use that would result in levels of travel or access that are inconsistent with the functional classification of a transportation facility; or

d. Would reduce the level of service of the facility below the minimal acceptable level identified in the Transportation System Plan. (MC-C-8-98)

Response: The application concludes that the zone change application does not significantly affect a transportation facility, as demonstrated in the Traffic Impact Analysis. Morrow County Public Works reviewed the TIA and found that the recommendations for an access permit and stop sign are acceptable however, Public Works also recommends the developer enter a Road Use Agreement to pay for a chip seal of the northerly eight (8) miles of Tower Road after construction is complete (prior to issuance of an Occupancy Permit). Morrow county has responsibility to maintain the northerly 8 miles of Tower Road, from the intersection of Interstate 84 south to milepost 8. From milepost 8 to the south, Portland General Electric has responsibility for road maintenance, including snow plowing and surface improvements. Applicant could consult with PGE and develop an agreement for maintenance on the southerly

section of Tower Road.

That the proposed amendment is consistent with unamended portions of the Comprehensive Plan and supports goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan, that there is a public need for the proposal, and that the need will be best served by allowing the request. If other areas in the county are designated for a use as requested in the application, then a showing of the necessity for introducing that use into an area not now so zoned and why the owners in should bear the burden, if any, of introducing that zone into their area.

Response: Application included an alternatives analysis of other locations and concluded that "[t]he proposal serves a public need of providing safe, reliable data storage, benefitting individuals, as well as public and private entities." The Alternatives Analysis (attached) indicates that "another site is not reasonably available." The proposed Project Parcel is in proximity to other industrial uses and transmission infrastructure. The application concludes that they do not "anticipate offsite impacts that would burden area landowners." The alternatives analysis could be more specific in order to make affirmative Findings that the application complies with this standard.

D. The request addresses issues concerned with public health and welfare, if any.

Response: Applicant demonstrates in the EESE Analysis that the proposal will not result in significant adverse impacts to nearby lands. Applicant does not anticipate the proposed construction and operation of the data center would result in public health or welfare concerns and will respond on the record if any such concerns are raised.

III. GOALS 3, 11, AND 14 EXCEPTION REQUESTS

The Applicant proposes to develop an urban-scale industrial use on rural agricultural land that may require public services for water supply. In such circumstances, when urban-scale development and public services or facilities are proposed to be located on rural agricultural land, an applicant must demonstrate compliance with the applicable standards for goal exceptions in both OAR 660-004 and OAR 660-014.

COMPLIANCE WITH OAR 660, DIVISION 4

Goal Exception Process, OAR 660-004-0010

(1) The exceptions process is not applicable to Statewide Goal 1 "Citizen Involvement" and Goal 2 "Land Use Planning." The exceptions process is generally applicable to all or part of those statewide goals that prescribe or restrict certain uses of resource land, restrict urban uses on rural land, or limit the provision of certain public facilities and services. These statewide goals include but are not limited to:

(a) Goal 3 "Agricultural Lands"; however, an exception to Goal 3 "Agricultural Lands" is not required for any of the farm or nonfarm uses allowed in an exclusive farm use (EFU) zone under ORS chapter 215 and OAR chapter 660, division 33, "Agricultural Lands", except as provided under OAR 660-004-0022 regarding a use authorized by a statewide planning goal that cannot comply with the approval standards for that type of use;

* * *

(c) Goal 11 "Public Facilities and Services" as provided in OAR 660-011-0060(9)

(d) Goal 14 "Urbanization" as provided for in the applicable paragraph (l)(c)(A), (B), (C) or (D) of this rule:

* * *

(D) For an exception to Goal 14 to allow urban development on rural lands, a local government must follow the applicable requirements of OAR 660-014-0030 or 660-014-0040, in conjunction with applicable requirements of this division;

Response: Application includes goal exceptions under OAR 660-004-0010(1)(a) Agricultural Lands, (c) Public Facilities, and (d)(D) urbanization. Findings below evaluate whether this application meets the applicable requirements of OAR 660-004-0020, 660-004-0022, 660-011-0060(9), and 660-014-0040 to allow the requested goal exceptions.

Planning for the Goal Exception Area, OAR 660-004-0018

(4) "Reasons" Exceptions:

(a) When a local government takes an exception under the "Reasons" section of ORS 197.732(1)(c) and OAR 660-004-0020 through 660-004-0022, OAR 660-014-0040,

or OAR 660-014-0090, plan and zone designations must limit the uses, density, public facilities and services, and activities to only those that are justified in the exception.

Response: Applicant seeks reason exceptions to Goals 3, 11, and 14 to allow for urban-scale industrial use and provision of public water service on land designated and zoned agricultural.² The Project Parcel is also considered "undeveloped rural land" under OAR 660-014-0040(1). To ensure that the County meets OAR 660-004-0018(4), the Applicant requests that the County impose a Limited Use (LU) overlay zone on the Project Parcel to limit the industrial uses allowed in the M-G Zone to only a data center under MCZO 3.070(16). The proposed development falls within the definition of "data center" under MCZO 1.030, as discussed above under Section 2, Project Description.

Goal Exception Requirements, OAR 660-004-0020

(1) If a jurisdiction determines there are reasons consistent with OAR 660-004-0022 to use resource lands for uses not allowed by the applicable Goal or to allow public facilities or services not allowed by the applicable Goal, the justification shall be set forth in the comprehensive plan as an exception. As provided in OAR 660-004-0000(1), rules in other divisions may also apply.

Response: The Applicant requests that the County amend the MCCP to document the exceptions to ensure compliance with OAR 660-004-0020(1).³

(2) The four standards in Goal 2 Part II(c) required to be addressed when taking an exception to a goal are described in subsections (a) through (d) of this section, including general requirements applicable to each of the factors:

Reasons Justify the Requested Exceptions:

(a) "Reasons justify why the state policy embodied in the applicable goals should not apply." The exception shall set forth the facts and assumptions used as the basis for determining that a state policy embodied in a goal should not apply to specific properties or situations, including the amount of land for the use being planned and why the use requires a location on resource land;

Response: OAR 660-004-0020(2)(a) provides the first of four standards for goal exception requests. It requires an applicant to (1) demonstrate reasons justifying why the applicable goal policies should not apply, (2) describe the amount of land for the use, and (3) explain why the use requires a location on resource land.

With respect to "*reasons*," justifying why the applicable policies of Goals 3, 11, and 14 should not apply to the Project Parcel, the affected Goal 3 Policy would not apply as the policy preserves agricultural lands for farm use, the affected Goal 11 Policy would not apply as the

² While OAR 660-011-065 does not explicitly require an exception to be taken to extend water service to rural land, case law suggests that such an exception is in fact required. *See Foland v. Jackson County*, 239 Or App 60, 64-65 (2010) (finding that the overarching policies of Goal 11 and the history of amendments to the goal supported LUBA's decision that Goal 11 prohibits the extension of city water services to serve an *urban use* on rural land without a Goal 11 exception). ³ Applicant notes that OAR 660-014-0040(4) mirrors OAR 660-004-0020(1), requiring that exceptions be captured in the MCCP.

policy prohibits extension of public services to serve industrial uses on rural lands, and the affected Goal 14 Policy would not apply as the policy prohibits urban-scale uses on rural land.

OAR 660-004-0020(2)(a) does not prescribe the "*reasons*" that may be used to justify an exception. OAR 660-004-0022, 660-011-0060(9), and 660-014-0040 provide reasons for justifying the requested goals exceptions, although these rules do not provide an exclusive list of reasons. The language is clear that the list of reasons to justify an exception "include but are not limited to" those in rule.⁴ Applicant demonstrates below that reasons that justify why the state policies embodied in Goals 3, 11, and 14 should not apply to the Project Parcel.

With respect to the "*amount of land for the use being planned*," Applicant is requesting up to a 274-acre exception area for the Project Parcel. However, the actual footprint of the development will be smaller than 274-acres. Rather, applicant proposes to microsite the Project within the project parcel to avoid impacts to drainages and wetlands and limit permanent impacts to about 190 acres. See attached "conceptual example layout.".

According to the application, with respect to "*why the use requires a location on resource land*," the location on agricultural land, adjacent to large tracts of agricultural land, "allows for the opportunity to manage process water onsite, alleviating the need for the extension of public sanitary services or facilities. In addition, rural resource land proposed for the Project Parcel is adjacent to existing transmission with capacity, a siting factor that was severely constrained for other sites considered as a part of the Alternatives Analysis."

The application claims that it is proposing "the minimal amount of land to accommodate the use" and that "no non-resource land is available." Applicant seeks to remove approximately 274 acres from Goals 3, 11 (water supply only), and 14 protections as "this is the minimal amount of land to support the proposed data center campus." Applicant provided the Alternatives Analysis "to support findings that justify why the Goal 3, 11, and 14 protections should not apply to the Project Parcel and locating the use on resource land is justified." See attached Alternatives Analysis.

Rural Industrial Development (OAR 660-004-0022(3)(c))

The proposed development is industrial-scale in nature and would be located on resource land outside of an Urban Growth Boundary (UGB). According to the applicant, the data center campus is appropriate at this location based on the following:

<u>Proximity to Transmission and Capacity.</u> The Project Parcel is directly adjacent to an existing transmission line ROW that runs south along Tower Road for about 1.6 miles to the Carty site and Grassland Switchyard. The Applicant understands the Carty site to be in close proximity to existing and planned Pacific Power transmission infrastructure and capacity. The Project will receive power from Pacific Power, who anticipates providing service via a

⁴ 1000 Friends of Oregon v. Jackson County, 292 Or App 173, 183-184 (2018) (citing State v. Kurtz, 350 Or 65, 75 (2011) to find that, within the context of OAR 660-004-0022, 660-011-0060, and 660-014-0040, "statutory terms such as 'including' and 'including but not limited to," when they precede a list of statutory examples, convey an intent that an accompanying list of examples be read in a nonexclusive sense").

new 230-kV transmission line utilizing existing ROW along Tower Rd and capacity in the area.

- <u>Proximity to Industrial Activity and Energy Facility</u>. The Project Parcel is almost adjacent to the existing Carty site that is zoned for industrial use and historically operated as a power generation facility with supporting transmission infrastructure. The Project Parcel is effectively co-locating next to an existing industrial operation and its associated power infrastructure.
- <u>Availability of Suitable Land for Onsite Stormwater and Wastewater Management</u>. The Project Parcel is of sufficient size, topography, and soil composition to accommodate onsite stormwater management, thereby minimizing the need for offsite land application or extension of public sanitary services.

The applicants Alternatives Analysis concludes that the "Project Parcel met all of the Applicant's siting criteria with the exception of Siting Criteria 7, Land Use and Zoning."

Urban-Scale Facility Supports Economic Activity (OAR 660-014-0040(2))

A reason to support the Goal 14 exception includes, but is not limited to, findings that an "urban population and urban levels of facilities and services are necessary to support an economic activity that *is dependent upon* an adjacent or nearby natural resource." The project parcel is near industrial/utility use as well as farmland. The application indicates the "proposed development supports the ongoing agricultural production of the adjacent farming operation (Threemile Canyon Farms) by putting the Project Parcel to higher, better use and providing revenue to support the ongoing farming operation." This standard does not require the development foster economics of the farmland rather, that the use is dependent upon nearby natural resource. While arguably a data center may be compatible with farmland the application does not conclude how the specific location is "dependent upon adjacent or nearby natural resources." Further, the application does not describe how the development is dependent upon an urban location. The nearest urban area is the city of Boardman, located approximately 10 miles to the north.

Based on the above, it is not clear the application has adequately justified compliance with this standard.

Other Reasons (OAR 660-004-0022(1)): Minimal Impact to Productive Agriculture

The application claims that the "proposed development and removal of the Project Parcel from Goal 3 protections will have no impact to productive agriculture" based primarily on the fact that the parcel is comprised predominately of Class 7, nonarable soil and has not been irrigated. The parcel has not been grazed or farmed due to poor soil conditions and topography. The applicant concludes that "[r]emoving the Project Parcel from the agricultural land supply will not diminish any potential agricultural economic benefit because historically, no benefits have been derived from the area of the Project Parcel."

Applicant requests county approve the Goal 3 exception here and requests county utilize the Goal 3 exception Findings to support the Goal 14 exception to allow urban scale use of rural resource land. Applicant correctly points out that "reasons for a Goal 14 exception are not limited to only those set forth in OAR 660-014-0040(2). OAR 660-014-0040(2) specifically provides that "[r]reasons that can justify why the policies in Goals 3, 4, 11, and 14 should not

apply *can include, but are not limited to* * * *." Further, applicant concludes that "a reason that supports a Goal 3 exception may also support a Goal 14 exception." Staff concurs that the Goal 3 may in part support a Goal 14 exception notwithstanding the application complies with other Goal 14 exception requirements.

Other Reasons (OAR 660-004-0022(1)): Comparative Economic Benefit

The applicant claims the parcel "is unused because it has no economic value for agricultural operations." Goal 3 does not require that resource land be highly productive. In fact, Goal 3 protects lands that have moderate to low economic value. The Goal 3 exception would likely bring higher revenues than a marginally productive farm use however that is not sufficient to justify compliance with this reasons standard. The Applicant did submit an third-party analysis of the economic impacts of data center projects in the area and of local market wages and employment characteristics. A summary of the economic impact analysis is below:

- On average, data center projects in the greater Oregon region have brought between \$500 million to \$800 million in initial investment to the Oregon economy, with subsequent expansions bringing total investment figures to over \$1.8 billion to \$2 billion. This project is assumed to bring investment figures commensurate with these projects.
- Over the course of data center expansions, similar projects of similar anticipated size have grown to support construction employment in the thousands, and over 200 full-time permanent positions.
- During operation, the Project may offer a minimum of 35 full-time jobs with direct employment opportunities with estimated average wages of \$75,000 per employee, well above the median annual earnings of Morrow County residents with full employment (\$44,500).

Applicant correctly points out that the data center development "furthers the goals and policies MCCP Goal 9, Economic Element. The Economic Element provides the foundation for the economic situation in Morrow County. The County adopted amendments to the Economic Element in 2015 to guide land use decisions for the next 20 years and beyond. One important focus of the Economic Element Amendments is large industrial activity sector and industrial diversification of the County's traditional agricultural economic base. Applicant's proposal directly contributes to industrial diversification and adds to the large industry activity sector, helping further the County's Economic Element Goals and Policies, specifically Goals 2-4.

Goal 2: To expand job opportunities and reduce unemployment, reduce out-migration of youth and accommodate the growth of the County work force.

Policy 2A: To maximize utilization of local work force as job opportunities increase.

Policy 2B: To increase the income levels of County residents by * * * *encouraging the location of industries in the County which will hire local residents.*

Response: The project appears to support SWPG Goal 2 and MCCP Policy 2A and Policy 2B by providing increased job opportunities during construction and operation." The application claims the new data center jobs will increase "wages well above the median annual earnings of County residents." Although, applicant did not submit an demographic and labor study that

supports that conclusion the data provided does show the jobs will exceed the average wage in Morrow County. During construction, applicant estimates there will be 200 FTE at a wage "well above median earnings of a county resident, and for operation, a minimum of 35 FTE at about \$75,000 per FTE is anticipated (well above the \$44,500 median annual earnings of a full-time employed County resident)." This finding supports MCCP Policy 2B.

Goal 3: To diversify local businesses, industries and commercial activities and to promote the economic growth and stability of the County.

Policy 3A: To encourage local producers to new markets for local products and to seek out new products that are in demand in the market place and that can be produced locally.

Response: The Project promotes continued growth in the cloud storage and energy sectors in Morrow County, as well as the construction and technology industries, including supporting service providers. Application appears to support MCCP Goal 3 above. However, it is not clear how applications supports Policy 3A.

Goal 4: To encourage the development of compatible land uses throughout the County and to protect areas suitable for industrial development from encroachment of incompatible land uses.

Policy 4A: To limit uses on or near sites zoned for specific industrial and commercial uses to those which are compatible with industrial and commercial development.

Response: Application claims they "selected the Project Parcel given its significant comparative advantages of being located next to the Carty site and existing and planned transmission infrastructure to serve the Project. This co-locating minimizes the need for transmission line extensions." Given this and the proximity to infrastructure, application appears to foster MCCP Goal 4 and Policy 4A.

No Alternative Site Can Reasonably Accommodate the Project:

OAR 660-004-0020(b) and OAR 660-014-0040(3)(a) require Applicant to demonstrate that new areas, not requiring an exception, cannot reasonably accommodate the use and that the use cannot be accommodated through an expansive of UGB or intensification of development in an existing rural community. Applicant provided an Alternatives Analysis. See attached.

The alternatives analysis for Goal 14 exception provides that "Goal 2, Part II(c)(1) and (c)(2) are met by showing that the proposed urban development cannot be reasonably accommodated in or through expansion of existing urban growth boundaries or by intensification of development in existing rural communities." OAR 660-014-0040(3)(a). Application provides that the proposed findings under OAR 660-004-0020(2)(b) demonstrate that Applicant also satisfies OAR 660-014-0030(3)(a)." Application also notes that to "the extent that stand-alone findings are required for Goal 14, Applicant incorporates by reference the analysis and findings under OAR 660-004-0020(2)(b) as findings for OAR 660-014-0040(3)(a)."

(b) "Areas that do not require a new exception cannot reasonably accommodate the use". The exception must meet the following requirements:

(A) The exception shall indicate on a map or otherwise describe the location of possible alternative areas considered for the use that do not require a new exception. The area for which the exception is taken shall be identified;

Response: Applicant submitted a map of possible alternative areas considered in the Alternatives Analysis.

(B) To show why the particular site is justified, it is necessary to discuss why other areas that do not require a new exception cannot reasonably accommodate the proposed use. Economic factors may be considered along with other relevant factors in determining that the use cannot reasonably be accommodated in other areas. Under this test the following questions shall be addressed:

(i) Can the proposed use be reasonably accommodated on nonresource land that would not require an exception, including increasing the density of uses on nonresource land? If not, why not?

(ii) Can the proposed use be reasonably accommodated on resource land that is already irrevocably committed to nonresource uses not allowed by the applicable Goal, including resource land in existing unincorporated communities, or by increasing the density of uses on committed lands? If not, why not?

(iii) Can the proposed use be reasonably accommodated inside an urban growth boundary? If not, why not?

(iv) Can the proposed use be reasonably accommodated without the provision of a proposed public facility or service? If not, why not?

(C) The "alternative areas" standard in paragraph B may be met by a broad review of similar types of areas rather than a review of specific alternative sites. Initially, a local government adopting an exception need assess only whether those similar types of areas in the vicinity could not reasonably accommodate the proposed use. Site specific comparisons are not required of a local government taking an exception unless another party to the local proceeding describes specific sites that can more reasonably accommodate the proposed use. A detailed evaluation of specific alternative sites is thus not required unless such sites are specifically described, with facts to support the assertion that the sites are more reasonable, by another party during the local exceptions proceeding.

Response: Applicant identified eight siting criteria for selecting a data center project location and noted that no singed criteria was determinative. Applicant evaluated all the required land types as a part of the Alternatives Analysis before identifying the Project Parcel. See attached Alternatives Analysis to support findings under OAR 660-004-0020(2)(b)(B) and (C).

Environmental, Economic, Social and Energy Consequences ("EESE Analysis"): An EESE Analysis required for a goal exception. OAR 660-004-0020(2)(c) (e.g., Goal 2, Part II(c)(4)) provides the general EESE Analysis for goal exceptions. OAR 660-014-0040(3)(c) provides additional considerations for an EESE Analysis when taking an exception to Goal 14. Below is the applicable Goal 14 ESEE standards.

(c) "The long-term environmental, economic, social and energy consequences resulting from the use at the proposed site with measures designed to reduce adverse impacts are not significantly more adverse than would typically result from the same proposal being located in areas requiring a goal exception other than the proposed site."

The exception shall describe: the characteristics of each alternative area considered by the jurisdiction in which an exception might be taken, the typical advantages and disadvantages of using the area for a use not allowed by the Goal, and the typical positive and negative consequences resulting from the use at the proposed site with measures designed to reduce adverse impacts. A detailed evaluation of specific alternative sites is not required unless such sites are specifically described with facts to support the assertion that the sites have significantly fewer adverse impacts during the local exceptions proceeding.

The exception shall include the reasons why the consequences of the use at the chosen site are not significantly more adverse than would typically result from the same proposal being located in areas requiring a goal exception other than the proposed site. Such reasons shall include but are not limited to a description of: the facts used to determine which resource land is least productive, the ability to sustain resource uses near the proposed use, and the long-term economic impact on the general area caused by irreversible removal of the land from the resource base. Other possible impacts to be addressed include the effects of the proposed use on the water table, on the costs of improving roads and on the costs to special service districts;

Applicant provided the following analysis to show how the proposed parcel meets ESEE requirements. NOTE: Appendix referenced below (B, G, K, H, L, M, N and Figure 4) are part of the record and available upon request.

<u>Environmental</u>. Applicant has evaluated agricultural productivity, water availability, wetlands, habitat, and sensitive species for the Project Parcel to demonstrate that the proposed data center will not have an adverse environmenta, I impact. The Project Parcel meets the Applicant's siting criteria, including avoiding environmentally sensitive resources and protected areas, having a topography of less than 15 percent, and being underutilized, vacant, and/or undeveloped land. Moreover, the Project Parcel anticipates avoiding the adjacent floodplain, existing jurisdictional water features by at least 80 feet, and incorporate a 250-foot BCA buffer.

Applicant has characterized the vegetation onsite and performed a preliminary site survey for sensitive habitat and species. See **Appendix K** (Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat Assessment) and **Appendix H** (WGS Protocol Survey Results). The Project Parcel contains no WGS. AKS also concluded that the Project Parcel does not hold a high potential to support Laurence's milkvetch. No other sensitive species or habitat was identified. Applicant also performed a wetland delineation, had a site visit with DSL, and filed the wetland delineation with DSL for concurrence. See **Appendix L** (Wetland Delineation Report and DSL Concurrence). Applicant will avoid wetlands, drainages, and development within the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) floodplain. See **Figure 4** (Project Area and Key Site Features).

In addition, Applicant has evaluated potential cultural resource impacts for the Project Parcel and engaged in consultation with the Oregon SHPO and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation. There are no known cultural resources onsite and Applicant will implement an inadvertent discovery plan during construction. See **Appendix M** (Cultural Resources Desktop Report) and **Appendix N** (Tribal Email Correspondence).

Applicant seeks to minimize adverse impacts from construction and operational activities. Applicant will conduct all construction and operational activities such that they comply with local and state permitting requirements. Applicant discusses the anticipated state-level permits required for construction and operation in **Section 4**, which is incorporated herein by reference. For these reasons, the County may conclude that the proposed data center will not result in negative environmental impacts.

Economic. The Project Parcel has no history of agricultural productivity or any other viable productive use. See **Appendix B** (Landowner Affidavit). Removing the Project Parcel from the agricultural land supply will have no economic ramifications on area agricultural operators or land supply. Further, the proposed data center will result in economic benefits to the local community, provide family-wage jobs, and continue to support the County's economic development goals. See **Appendix G** (Economic Analysis Summary Memo); see **Section 6** above for Reasons Analysis. Applicant will be responsible for sourcing any water supply and is anticipating managing industrial wastewater onsite. There should be no increase in burden on any public service provider. Accordingly, the County may find that the proposed data center will not result in negative economic impacts.

<u>Social</u>. The Project will provide increased local job opportunities for area residences, during construction and operation. It will also provide social benefits in the form of taxes for the County's social programs. In addition, Applicant has evaluated potential cultural resource impacts for the Project Parcel and engaged in consultation with the Oregon SHPO and the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation. There are no known cultural resources onsite and Applicant will implement an inadvertent discovery plan during construction. See **Appendices M** and **N**. Applicant maintains that the proposed data center will not result in negative social impacts.

<u>Energy</u>. The proposed data center requires high-voltage transmission service and proximity to existing and planned transmission infrastructure with capacity to serve the Project. The Project Parcel is ideal given its proximity to existing and planned transmission infrastructure at the Carty site and the advantage of an existing transmission ROW running from the Carty site to the Project Parcel, along Tower Road. Applicant is in conversations with Pacific Power to provide the required power infrastructure and supply for the Project in accordance with Oregon Public Utility Commission-approved rules and regulations and tariffs. Applicant requests that the County find that the proposed data center will not result in negative energy impacts.

Response: Based on the above analysis, county may find the application complies with this standard.

The Project is Compatible with Adjacent Uses:

(d) "The proposed uses are compatible with other adjacent uses or will be so rendered through measures designed to reduce adverse impacts." The exception shall describe

how the proposed use will be rendered compatible with adjacent land uses. The exception shall demonstrate that the proposed use is situated in such a manner as to be compatible with surrounding natural resources and resource management or production practices. "Compatible" is not intended as an absolute term meaning no interference or adverse impacts of any type with adjacent uses.

Response: To the north and west, adjacent land is in center pivot irrigation and is farmed. Land to the east is uncultivated and located within the conservation area. To the south is the Carty site. The Project does not appear to have significant adverse impacts on the environment or existing public services or facilities. Temporary impacts from construction may involve dust and increased traffic, but these impacts will be managed with dust control, traffic management, and other measures to ensure compatibility with adjacent uses during construction. Applicant seeks the flexibility to use public water supply to avoid having to use groundwater. If groundwater is the source, the project may have impacts to groundwater and therefore farming in the region. The onsite or offsite management of process wastewater is not anticipated to create incompatibilities, as it is it already a common practice in the County and subject DEQ regulation. Threemile Canyon Farms is the surrounding property owner and views the proposed data center as compatible with its existing operations. With the exception of a possible reliance on groundwater, county may conclude that the proposed data center use will be compatible with the adjacent uses.

Compliance with OAR 660-014-0040

Applicant requests goal exception for "rural agricultural land" or "undeveloped rural land" as used within the meaning of OAR 660-014-0040. County may justify the requested Goal 14 exception based on reasons set forth under OAR 660-004 and OAR 660-014-0040.

Reasons Justify the Exception

(2) A county can justify an exception to Goal 14 to allow establishment of new urban development on undeveloped rural land. Reasons that can justify why the policies in Goals 3, 4, 11 and 14 should not apply can include but are not limited to findings that an urban population and urban levels of facilities and services are necessary to support an economic activity that is dependent upon an adjacent or nearby natural resource.

Response: The reasons identified by the applicant to justify the Goal 3 exception also support the extension of public water service to the Project Parcel and the requested Goal 11 exception. The development would have significant economic benefits and will bring higher economic value to a parcel of farmland compared to farming on the parcel. The economic benefits are dependent on having access to existing and planned transmission infrastructure with capacity. The application does show how economic benefits are dependent upon having a large parcel with relatively flat topography and well-drained soil types that will accommodate the onsite stormwater and wastewater management. However, the application does not show how the specific location is "dependent upon an adjacent or nearby natural resource."

UGB Sites Cannot Reasonably Accommodate the Project

(3) To approve an exception under section (2) of this rule, a county must also show:(a) That Goal 2, Part II (c)(1) and (c)(2) are met by showing that the proposed

urban development cannot be reasonably accommodated in or through expansion of existing urban growth boundaries or by intensification of development in existing rural communities;

Response: The applicant evaluated alternative sites, including potential sites located within existing UGBs of Umatilla and Morrow Counties, as well as sites already zoned for data centers. The Alternatives Analysis concludes that sites within existing UGBs or rurally zoned industrial areas cannot reasonably accommodate the Project. Applicant applied 8 siting criteria as a part of the Alternatives Analysis and the Project Parcel met 7/8 criteria. Sites that could not accommodate Project and meet the siting criteria were deemed not reasonable sites.

County may find the application complies with this standard.

EESE Analysis

(3) To approve an exception under section (2) of this rule, a county must also show:

(b) That Goal 2, Part II (c)(3) is met by showing that the long-term environmental, economic, social and energy consequences resulting from urban development at the proposed site with measures designed to reduce adverse impacts are not significantly more adverse than would typically result from the same proposal being located on other undeveloped rural lands, considering:

Response: Application incorporated by reference the EESE Analysis above to support findings under OAR 660-014-0030(3)(b).

The Project is Compatible with Adjacent Uses:

(3) To approve an exception under section (2) of this rule, a county must also show:

* * *

(c) That Goal 2, Part II (c)(4) is met by showing that the proposed urban uses are compatible with adjacent uses or will be so rendered through measures designed to reduce adverse impacts considering:

(A) Whether the amount of land included within the boundaries of the proposed urban development is appropriate, and

(B) Whether urban development is limited by the air, water, energy and land resources at or available to the proposed site, and whether urban development at the proposed site will adversely affect the air, water, energy and land resources of the surrounding area.

Response: Application referenced a Compatibility Analysis to show that the amount of land included in the exception area is appropriate in order that the development will avoid impacts to environmental resources. The parcel appears to be sufficient to manage stormwater and wastewater onsite through evaporation and retention ponds. Applicant indicated they have studied the potential environmental impacts and demonstrates, based on available information, the development "should not, with appropriate minimization and mitigation measures achieved through appropriate permitting, result in adverse impacts to air, water, energy, and land resources of the surrounding area." Additionally, to verify application complies with this standard, applicant will be obligated to obtain all local, state, and federal environmental permits prior to

construction and operation.

County may find the application complies with this criteria.

Appropriate Level of Public Water Services:

(3) To approve an exception under section (2) of this rule, a county must also show: * * *

(d) That an appropriate level of public facilities and services are likely to be provided in a timely and efficient manner; and

Response: Application identifies two sources of water, a transfer of irrigation water rights or use of a municipal (Port) water supply. Applicant provided evidence that it is in discussions with the Port of Morrow to provide water from a proposed Water Treatment plant.

Based on the above, county may find application complies with this standard.

IV. RESPONSE TO MCZO 3.110 LIMITED USE (LU) OVERLAY

The goal exception rules in OAR chapter 660, Division 004, require that the uses permitted by a goal exception are limited to only those evaluated under the goal exception request. The purpose of the LU overlay zone is to ensure that the uses allowed under a goal exception are limited to only those analyzed and justified in the exception request. Therefore, applicant requests that the county impose an LU overlay zone limiting the use of the parcel to those uses allowed either under MCZO 3.010 (EFU) and a data center under MCZO 3.070(16). Applicant proposes the additional provisions for the LU overlay zone:

- The data center construction is subject to ministerial site plan review under MCZO 4.165
- The data center must obtain all necessary local, state, and federal permits and approvals.
- The data center must report findings of cultural, archaeological or historical artifacts if uncovered. Reports shall be made to the Oregon State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and the Cultural Resources Protection Program (CRPP) of the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation (CTUIR).
- The data center must use drought tolerant landscaping and to the extent practicable, native plants to meet any landscape requirements; no long-term irrigation shall be allowed
- The data center perimeter does not require screening, as no adverse impacts to visual resources have been identified (as supported by EESE analysis)

V. CONSISTENCY WITH MORROW COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOALS AND POLICIES

The MCCP goals and policies identified below are most relevant and applicable to this application.

Goal 1 (Citizen Involvement)

The Citizen Involvement Goal develops and implements a citizen involvement program that ensures the opportunity for citizens to be involved in all phases of the planning process. Citizen Involvement Policy 3 encourages people to attend and participate in Morrow County Planning Commission and County Court meetings and hearings. The goal and policy are satisfied through the opportunities afforded to the public to participate at public hearings before the Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners on the proposed amendments, as provided for by state law and the county's Zoning Ordinance. Additionally, Applicant hosted a public meeting on November 3, 2022, to hear comments and obtain feedback on the proposed Project Parcel.

Goal 2 (General Land Use)

General Land Use Policy 9 requires that all plan and zone changes comply with all applicable state-wide planning goals and County policies and procedures. This policy can be satisfied upon approval of the Findings and analysis of compliance with the state-wide goals and applicable County zoning provisions that are contained in this application.

Goal 3 (Agricultural Lands Element)

Applicant is seeking a Goal 3 exception. Nonetheless, applicant did address the project's consistency with the MCCP's Goal 3 policies to the extent the Project Parcel furthers the County's policies.

Agricultural Land Objective 3 seeks to minimize and prevent conflict between farm and nonfarm uses. The proposed development appears to be consistent with this policy because, as demonstrated by over decades of ongoing use, the existing industrial operations (Carty site) and existing agricultural operations (Threemile Canyon Farms) are compatible.

Agriculture Policy 2 permits development outside of UGBs only where conflicts with productive agricultural areas are minimal and where the development complies with the Comprehensive Plan. Conflicts between data centers and agricultural uses appear to be minimal. Industrial development nearby appears to be compatible and is a good comparison for determining the proposed data centers would also be compatible with farming.

Agriculture Policy 6 provides that the County to consider the needs of the farming community in evaluating future development projects in other sectors of the economy. This policy appears to be partially satisfied because the land proposed for conversion from agriculture to industrial is not productive and the lease or sale of the land could be reinvested in farming. However, where increased traffic on Tower Road may interfere with farming, particularly during harvest season, the proposed development may have some negative impact to farming.

Goals 5 and 6 (Natural & Cultural Resources Elements)

The Natural Resources Element of the plan provides a general overview of all natural resources common to the County. In general, natural resources are considered vital to the County's historical and future development and are recognized as a primary base for the County's economy.

In the context of this application and amendments, Natural Resource General Policy M states that the County should establish policies for the analysis of zone changes effect on air, water, and land quality. Application claims that this policy is met because the development "will have a limited impact on air quality, water, and land quality." However, see analysis below regarding compliance with Goal 5 and 6. The project does appear to have an impact on water quantity where groundwater supplies in the basin are limited. Although the development is required to meet all federal, state, and local permitting requirements for air and water impacts, compliance with Oregon Water Resources Department rules and regulations may not supplant findings to show compliance with a local standard or comprehensive plan policy such as here.

Water Resources Policy F discusses the need to evaluate the quality and quantity of groundwater prior to approving projects or developments that would impact those resources. Water quality and quantity is regulated by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) and water quality is regulated by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. While development-related approvals will be obtained, county may require the applicant to show further analysis to evaluate the impacts to water supply.

Goal 9 (Economic Element)

A number of economic goals and policies apply to this proposed plan amendment. Most of these goals and policies are aspirational or directory to the County, rather than mandatory to an applicant.

Economic Goal 1 provides direction to Morrow County relating to economical housing facilities and affordability to meet housing needs. While not directly relevant, the impact of construction workers and housing needs is important to consider.

Economic Goal 2 and its various economic policies directs Morrow County to reduce unemployment, as well as promote various factors to decrease outmigration of the County's youth through growth of the County's workforce. The application meets this goal with this plan amendment request as it seeks to optimize the County's industrial zoning to attract development and jobs in an emerging field and technology (data center).

Economic Goals 2 and 3 seek to diversify local business, industry, and commercial activity. T h i s plan amendment application appears to foster diversification of job opportunities.

Economic Goal 4 encourages compatible land uses throughout Morrow County. The proposed amendments further these goals by providing new industrial development opportunities on land that is only marginally suitable for farming and because of its location between and adjacent to existing industrial uses, such as the Carty site and several commercial dairy operations. There is established compatibility between agriculture and industrial uses.

Economic Goal 5 seeks to minimize noise levels and heavy traffic volumes, as well as other undesirable effects of heavy commercial and industrial developments. This plan amendment meets the goal of minimizing noise as the remote location would be a fair distance away from residences. The increased traffic volumes could prove problematic based on the already high traffic volumes and overall condition of Tower Road and the congestion at the Interstate 84 and Tower Road intersection.

Economic Goal 6 seeks to maintain a balance between economic and environmental activities. The proposed parcel to be rezoned for industrial use is located in an area with other industrial zoning and uses and will not negatively impact adjacent agricultural or industrial uses. As stated throughout this document, the subject parcel has never been farmed. The proposed development may have impact on water supply, an important environmental consideration as noted above in discussion about impacts to Goal 5 resources. The parcel contains limited habitat for threatened or endangered species, contains one wetland and one stream, both of which will be avoided, and no known cultural resources. The proposed rezone to industrial zoning appears to have only minimal impact to environment except for water supply.

Economic Goal 7 requires the county ensure adequate water supplies to meet all needs associated with economic development. Applicant is coordinating with the Port of Morrow to ensure adequate water supply for the Project, avoiding use of a high-volume groundwater well and potential impacts to surrounding water users. However, where water supply is not certain, specific Findings to show compliance with the Economic Goal 7 cannot yet be written. That is, additional detail is warranted in order to make conclusive findings with this Goal.

Goal 11 (Public Facilities and Services Element)

Applicant is seeking a Goal 11 exception. Nonetheless, applicant addressed the application's consistency with the MCCP's Goal 11 policies to demonstrate how the project furthers other Goal 11 policies.

General Policy D requires that the provision of public facilities and services to rural areas being changed to urban use shall be based on (1) the least time required to provide the service, (2) the most reliable service, (3) lowest financial cost, and (4) adequate levels of service that satisfy long range needs. General Policy E calls for the coordinated development of all necessary urban facilities and services appropriate to an urban area. The application seeks the flexibility to extend public water services to avoid using limited groundwater. Applicant does not seek the extension of public sanitation services. The Port MOU helps demonstrate that such public water services may be provided. The development will utilize fire and law enforcement services, however applicant does not expect that to be burdensome as the data center would be developed with a state-of-the-art fire suppression system and security systems, limiting the need and potential need for response by the county. County Sheriff's office did review the application relative to potential impacts to law enforcement and emergency response and did note that response time to calls on or off Tower Road can be slow if Tower Road is blocked. Further consultation with County Sheriff's Offic and emergency services may be warranted.

General Policy F calls for the siting of utility lines and facilities on or adjacent to existing public or private ROW or through generally unproductive lands to avoid dividing existing farm units. Application indicates that a transmission line ROW already exists to the west, along Tower Road. However, no evidence to this effect was noted. Tower Road ROW varies in width between 60 feet and 150 feet. An application for a transmission line would be required prior to development, unless applicant can provide evidence that there is capacity to serve the property with the existing transmission line.

General Policy G requires that public facilities and services not exceed the carrying capacity of the air, land, and water resources. Application notes that "through compliance with DEQ air quality regulations for industries, high air quality standards can be maintained. Similarly, water quality can be maintained through the permitting process. Finally, the land is both suitable for the Project use and proposed to be developed in an environmentally friendly and responsible manner with respect to slopes, soils, water resources, and wildlife." As noted above, compliance with a state agency permit is not, as a stand alone matter, sufficient to demonstrate compliance with a Plan Policy. Additional findings or details may be warranted here.

General Policy K is an aspirational policy that establishes a goal of achieving a maximum balance of public costs versus benefits and revenues in the provision of public facilities and services. This policy may be satisfied because the development does not propose requesting or requiring the provision of additional county services and the project will provide economic benefits such as new employment, payroll, spending with vendors on construction and operations, and new tax revenue.

Utilities Policy F calls for coordination of development with utilities providing electrical, natural gas, cable television, and telephone services. The Project will coordinate with and use local services available to serve the data center.

Water and Sewer Policy A provides that when development occurs in unincorporated areas,

minimum state sanitation and health requirements are required. The proposed development will require permits for subsurface sewage disposal system, and waste water permitting.

Solid Waste Policies A and B can be met by a new industrial development using the same processes for which solid waste management occurs elsewhere in the county which is typically with a contract for solid waste services or direct hauling of waste to Finley Buttes Landfill.

Goal 12 (Transportation Element)

While most of the county's Goal 12 objectives are general in nature and directly towards the County, four – Objectives 2, 5, 14, and 15 – apply more directly to this application. This application complies with the objectives for the following reasons:

- This application may be consistent with Objective #2, as the proposed land use amendment can be accommodated by the existing transportation infrastructure network, a single county roadway connecting the land to Interstate 84. However, as noted elsewhere, the conditions and traffic volume on Tower Road may warrant additional analysis and/or mitigation.
- This application may be consistent with Objective #5, as the proposed land use amendment will have some impact to the existing county's roadway system. This development as a stand-alone matter will not necessarily result in a reclassification of Tower Road. Where some impacts to the roadway will occur county may require a Road Use Agreement. This was recommended by county Public Works Director.
- This application is generally consistent with Objective #14, however the proposed land development will have some impact to Tower Road. One remedy for this impact is to require a Road Use Agreement to repair Tower Road and/or agree to fund a chip seal of the northerly eight (8) miles of Tower Road.
- This application is consistent with Objective #15, as the proposed land use amendment will
 not require nor will it prevent expansion of the County's transportation system.

Applicable Transportation Policies 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11 are summarized below.

• The overall transportation network is capable of accommodating the overall transportation-related demands on the multi-modal network (Policy 1).

- No modifications or updates are needed to the Morrow County Transportation System Plan (Policy 2).
- No changes are required to the roadway functional classification system (Policy 4).
- No changes to the standards that implement the management and maintenance of the system (Policy 5).
- Traffic impacts may require ROW modification and/or roadway facility upgrades (Policy 6). The application may demonstrate compliance with this standard with a Road Use Agreement where applicant agrees to pay costs to improve a portion of Tower Road and also agrees to help PGE maintain the southerly portion of Tower Road.
- Traffic generation will be compatible with the function of the applicable roadway network (Policy 7).

- Traffic generation may <u>not</u> meet carrying capacity of roadway (Policy11).
- Traffic impacts may impact roadway function or require modifications to roadway classifications (Policies 9 and 10). The classification of Tower Road is appropriate to accommodate the limited movement of the data center employees and personnel. After construction, the Project estimates only 252 (138 weekday a.m., 114 weekday p.m.) peak hour trips, which represent a nominal increase in traffic along Tower Road.

Goal 13 (Energy Conservation Element)

Energy Conservation Policies 1 and 14 are applicable to this application. As with many other MCCP policies identified, these policies are directory or aspirational in nature, rather than mandatory to an applicant. While they are not standards upon which approval or denial is based, they are nevertheless addressed herein.

Energy Conservation Policy 1 encourages the use of renewable and/or efficient energy systems, design, siting, and construction materials in all new development in the County. The data center campus operations are anticipated to be supported with 100% renewable energy, with procurement structure and approach to be finalized prior to operations.

Energy Conservation Policy 14 encourages the County to combine increasing density gradients along high-capacity transportation corridors to achieve greater energy efficiency. This proposal is consistent with this policy by consolidating lands for industrial development in an area bordering a minor collector, Tower Road, which should encourage greater utilization of appropriate industrial infrastructure by industry in the County.

Goal 14 (Urbanization Element)

Applicant is seeking a Goal 14 exception to allow for the siting flexibility to extend public water service to the Project Parcel to avoid using limited groundwater resources.

VI COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE STATEWIDE PLANNING GOALS.

County will be required to adopt findings to show that the request complies with applicable Statewide Planning Goals (SWPG). This application includes an exception to three Statewide Planning Goals, 3, 11 and 14. The goals are presented below in **bold** print with responses in regular print.

Statewide Planning Goal 1: Citizen Involvement

Goal 1 requires a citizen involvement program that is widespread, allows two-way communication, allows for citizen involvement through all planning phases and is understandable, responsive and funded.

Generally, Goal 1 is satisfied when a county complies with public notice and hearing requirements in the Oregon Statutes and in the local Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Code. The County's Zoning Ordinance is consistent with State law with regards to notification requirements. Pursuant to Section 9 of Morrow County Zoning Ordinance at least one public hearing before the Planning Commission and Board of Commissioners is required. Legal notice

in a newspaper of general circulation is required. The County has met these requirements and notified DLCD 35 days prior to the first evidentiary hearing.

Statewide Planning Goal 2: General Land Use

General Land Use Policy 9 requires that all plan and zone changes comply with all applicable state-wide planning goals and County policies and procedures. This policy is satisfied for the reasons set out in the analysis of compliance with the state-wide goals and applicable County zoning provisions that are contained in this application.

Statewide Planning Goal 3: Farmland

Applicant is seeking a Goal 3 exception. Applicant provided the following analysis to show consistency with MCCP's Goal 3 policies.

"Agricultural Land Objective 3 seeks to minimize and prevent conflict between farm and nonfarm uses. The Project is consistent with this policy because, as demonstrated by over decades of ongoing use, the existing industrial operations (Carty site) and existing agricultural operations (Threemile Canyon Farms) are compatible.

Agricultural Land Policy 1 is an aspirational policy that seeks to balance economic and environmental considerations, limit incompatible non-agricultural development, and maintain a high level of livability in the county. While not a mandatory review criterion, this policy is met because this application will not impact or remove productive agricultural land from existence and because industrial uses are not incompatible with adjoining or adjacent agricultural uses.

Agriculture Policy 2 permits development outside of UGBs only where conflicts with productive agricultural areas are minimal and where the development complies with the Comprehensive Plan. As described above, conflicts between industrial and agricultural uses are minimal. Industrial development in the proposed location is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, as reflected by the existence of several approved MG and (SAI zoning and land use designations immediately adjacent to the proposed Project Parcel.

Agriculture Policy 6 provides for the County to consider the needs of the farming community in evaluating future development projects in other sectors of the economy. This policy is satisfied because the land proposed for conversion from agriculture to industrial is not productive and the lease or sale of the land to the Applicant (and associated payments) may allow for the expansion of agricultural activities on productive irrigated lands by the landowner, thereby benefitting the agricultural community.

Agriculture Policy 10 states that the County should support energy generating projects offering to release water from their reservoirs for irrigation purposes and provide Morrow County farmers with surface water. The Project will likely not be able to provide industrial wastewater to farmers due to the high salinity levels."

<u>Statewide Planning Goal 5: Cultural, Natural and Historic Resources and Statewide</u> <u>Planning Goal 6: Air, Land & Water Quality</u> (Note: Morrow County Comprehensive Plan has these goals combined into a single chapter.)

Morrow County Comprehensive Plan Goal 5 Natural Resources Element provides an overview and inventory of all natural resources of "significance" in the county. In general, natural

resources are considered vital to the county's historical and future development and are recognized as a primary base for the county's economy.

The parcel is located within the Lower Umatilla Basin Groundwater Management Area (LUBGWMA) an area designated based on drinking water levels that exceed the 10pp/m federal drinking water standard. The subject parcel is just north of the Ella Butte *Classified* Groundwater Management Area. A *Critical* Groundwater Area designation is a "Significant Goal 5 Resource" that would require mitigation. The attached map includes both the LUBGWMA and the GWA areas in county. The subject parcel is not locted in a "Critical Groundwater Area." <u>https://www.co.morrow.or.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/planning/page/15301/cgwa_area_2021.pdf</u>

General Policy M (page 27 of 31 (10-1-13) states that county should "establish a policy of analysis of requests for zone changes, use permits and the like to determine their affect on air, water and land quality." County has not promulgated such a policy and relies instead on individual, site specific and project specific circumstances and conditions. The applicant concludes that this policy is met because the project will have a limited impact on air quality, water, and land quality. Given that the applicant has provided only tentative solutions for water supply county may not yet conclude that the project will have no negative impacts to water supply, particularly where the region has demonstrated declines in water supply and the property is in proximity to Critical Groundwater Areas and Limited Groundwater Management Area. However, as noted in the application, the development will be required to meet all federal, state, and local permitting requirements for air and water impacts, which will include a guaranteed water supply and water right adequate to serve the data center.

Land Resource Policy A "[c]ounty shall conserve land resources in the manner most supportive of the county's economic base" and Land Resource Policy B, "[c]ounty shall recognize the predominant need for the maximum preservation of land for agricultural and forestry uses" apply to this exception and rezone application. Applicant did not address these Policy in their application but did conclude that the subject parcel "should be considered non-productive."

Water Resources Policy F "[w]here information is available, county shall take into consideration the quality and quantity of groundwater resources, prior to approving projects or developments that would impact those resources." Application notes that water quality and quantity of water and groundwater is regulated primarily by the Oregon Department of Water Resources (OWRD) and Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) and that "all project-related approvals will be obtained, should this application be approved." Deferring to a state agency regulatory function may not substitute for demonstrating compliance with a Plan Policy. Additional information from the applicant is warranted to show that the project meets the intent of this policy.

Although OWRD has responsibility to regulate water use, OWRD does not actively plan for future water supply. Securing a water right as a stand-alone matter is not sufficient to demonstrate that the project will not have a negative impact on water supply or comply with Water Policy F as noted above. It is well documented that the region has multiple declining water aquifers. Should the project be able to secure water from Port of Morrow, and provide Findings to show compliance with Water Policy F, county may be able to make reasonable Findings that the rezone and plan amendment and new development will have minimal negative impacts to water supply.

In terms of water quality, data centers do not appear to have negative water quality impacts. Rather, the data centers produce wastewater that is relatively clean but for higher-than-normal salinity content. Saline can be diluted and put to beneficial farm use.

The application includes documentation that the parcel is sited and designed to minimize impacts to the natural environment and appears to create minimal, if any negative impacts to soils, wildlife, geology, and water quality. However, additional and specific evidence relative to water is warranted.

Statewide Planning Goal 9 Economy

A number of economic goals and policies apply to this proposed plan amendment. Most of these goals and policies are aspirational rather than mandatory to an applicant.

Economic Goal 1 provides direction to Morrow County relating to economical housing facilities and affordability to meet housing needs and is not directly germane to this plan amendment request, as this plan amendment is relating to industrial development.

Economic Goal 2 and its various economic policies directs Morrow County to reduce unemployment and decrease outmigration of the county's youth through growth of the county's workforce. This plan amendment request seeks to create new industrial zoning to attract development and jobs in an emerging field and technology (data center). Although data centers are allowed in other types of zoning, the availability of suitable land meeting the needs of data centers is now more limited. With this new development county may attract similar emerging and higher-salary type jobs to a new area within Morrow County.

Economic Goals 2 and 3 seek to diversify local business, industry, and commercial activity. While this plan amendment application cannot ensure diversification of job opportunities, as stated under Goal 2 above, locating industrial zoning in an area where a natural industrial corridor is organically happening, due to the current land base and land use and zoning designations, could lead to diversification of new and existing job opportunities in the County.

Economic Goal 4 encourages compatible land uses throughout Morrow County. The amendments further these goals by providing new industrial development opportunities on land that is not high value farmland and may be more suitable for industrial development because of its location between and adjacent to existing industrial uses, such as the Carty site and several commercial dairy operations. There is established compatibility between agriculture and industrial uses.

Economic Goal 5 seeks to minimize noise levels and heavy traffic volumes, as well as other undesirable effects of heavy commercial and industrial developments. This plan amendment may meet this goal as it proposes to use an existing county roadway that is already accustomed to higher traffic volumes and noises associated with the operation of commercial dairy and other farming uses, as well as traffic for the generation station. However, as noted by the Public Works Director, the high traffic volume creates safety concerns and excess congestion which may require mitigation. The noise and traffic attributable to the Project Parcel would produce a nominal impact to the area.

Economic Goal 6 seeks to maintain a balance between economic and environmental activities. The Project Parcel proposed to be rezoned for industrial use is located in an area with other

industrial zoning and uses and will not impact adjacent agricultural or industrial uses. As stated throughout this document, the Project Parcel has never been farmed or used for productive agricultural activities. Additionally, the proposed Project Parcel will have limited impact on the natural environment, as the parcel contains limited habitat for threatened or endangered species, contains one wetland and one stream, both of which will be avoided, and no known cultural resources. Based on this, the proposed industrial zoning appears to be in a good location to accommodate industrial activity with minimal impact to the environment and farming.

Economic Goal 7 requires the County ensure adequate water supplies to meet all needs associated with economic development. Applicant is coordinating with the Port of Morrow to ensure adequate water supply for the Project, avoiding use of a high-volume groundwater well and potential impacts to surrounding water users. See comments above under Water Resources Policy. In summary, until applicant can provide further documentation, county cannot consider Findings to demonstrate compliance.

Statewide Planning Goal 11 Public Facilities and Services

Applicant is seeking a Goal 11 exception. Nonetheless, Applicant addresses the Project's consistency with the MCCP's Goal 11 policies to the extent the Project furthers the County's policies.

General Policy D requires that the provision of public facilities and services to rural areas being changed to urban use shall be based on (1) the least time required to provide the service, (2) the most reliable service, (3) lowest financial cost, and (4) adequate levels of service that satisfy long range needs. General Policy E calls for the coordinated development of all necessary urban facilities and services appropriate to an urban area. The Project seeks the flexibility to extend public water services to avoid using limited groundwater. Applicant does not seek the extension of public sanitation services. The Port MOU may provide reliable water service to the development and at little to no cost to the county. According to the application, the Project "utilization of fire and police services is not expected to place a burden on existing county capacity, as the data center would be developed with a state-of-the-art fire suppression system and security systems, limiting the need and potential need for response by the county." A copy of the Public Notice was provided to Morrow County Sheriff's Office for review. The Sheriff's Office noted that when accidents occur, access can be very limited. Given that Tower Road is the only major ingress and egress to Interstate 84, alternative safety routes may be a consideration. Safety and evacuation routes could be addressed in the traffic study.

General Policy F calls for the siting of utility lines and facilities on or adjacent to existing public or private ROW or through generally unproductive lands to avoid dividing existing farm units. The application indicates that "a transmission line ROW necessary for the extension of service to the project already exists to the west, along Tower Road." However, application did not include evidence that existing line will be used for the proposed development or if there is adequate ROW to provide a second transmission line.

General Policy G requires that public facilities and services not exceed the carrying capacity of the air, land, and water resources. The application claims that "[t]hrough compliance with DEQ air quality regulations for industries, high air quality standards can be maintained. Similarly, water quality can be maintained through the permitting process. The land appears to be suitable for the proposed development to transpire in an environmentally friendly and responsible manner

with respect to slopes, soils, and wildlife." Where this is a summary statement and not actual proof, application would be enhanced with a more detailed and secure source of water supply.

General Policy K is an aspirational policy that establishes a goal of achieving a maximum balance of public costs versus benefits and revenues in the provision of public facilities and services. This policy may be satisfied because the project does not propose requesting or requiring the provision of additional county services but does provide economic benefits. The impacts include new employment, payroll, spending with vendors on construction and operations, and new tax revenue.

Utilities Policy F calls for coordination of development with utilities providing electrical, natural gas, cable television, and telephone services. The development will coordinate with and use local services available to serve the data center. Project is located within the Pacific Power Service Territory. Application indicates the developer is in consultation with Pacific Power to provide service.

Water and Sewer Policy A provides that when development occurs in unincorporated areas, minimum state sanitation and health requirements be met, including an approved subsurface sewage disposal system. The proposed development will require a source of drinking water and onsite sewer system. The parcel includes ample space for the installation and maintenance of a septic system to serve the project. Drinking water supply is not clarified in the application.

Solid Waste Policies A and B can be met by a new industrial development using the same processes for which solid waste management occurs elsewhere in the county by signing up for garbage collection service and hauling larger types of waste to Finley Buttes Landfill.

Statewide Planning Goal 12: Transportation

Application appears to comply with Goal 1 Coordination/Process as coordination has taken place as part of the application review process.

Goal 2 Policy 2.5 Require new development to identify transportation impacts and provide appropriate mitigation. Applicant provided a traffic impact analysis. Based on review of the Public Works Director, a Road Use Agreement and/or mitigation may be warranted.

Goal 2 Policy 2.6 Require new development to dedicate right-of-way for transportation system improvements where appropriate. Establish procedures for the dedication of right of way necessary for the transportation system. New right of way was not recommended in the TIA.

Goal 3 Economic Development Enhance economic development through transportation improvements. Policy 3.1 Support transportation system improvements that contribute to economic development opportunities. Although the TIA did not recommend improvements except for a new driveway and a stop sign, increased traffic volume on Tower Road is generating mobility and safety constraints. Emergency response can be limited if an accident occurs on Tower Road. A Road Use Agreement or traffic or other mitigation may be warranted in order to demonstrate compliance with this standard. Goal 5 Roadway System Provide and maintain a safe, efficient roadway system to provide mobility throughout the county. County provides maintenance on Tower Road

Objective #5 requires that the existing roadway will not be significantly impacted or require reclassification of the system. Tower Road, a county, two-lane roadway is the only public roadway that connects the property to Interstate 84 to the north. That roadway has a high volume of traffic, especially during farm harvest season. There is no other ingress and egress suitable for emergency purposes. Tower Road will have a measurable impact and may require mitigation. County Public Works is reviewing the traffic analysis. County may refer the traffic analysis to engineer of record for further review to better quantify the impact and to determine whether the new development will result in a new classification. County may also consider a Road Use Agreement or other mitigation to offset commensurate impacts to the roadway.

The application may or may not comply with this objective. A condition of approval requiring a Road Use Agreement may be a suitable tool to mitigate impacts.

• The application claims that the application is consistent with Objective #14, "as the proposed land use amendment will not impact the existing overall roadway network in a way that would require modification or further coordination with other agency infrastructure." However, based on the above, traffic impacts may warrant further analysis.

• This application does appear to be consistent with Objective #15, as the proposed land use amendment will not require nor will it prevent expansion of the County's transportation system.

The applicable Transportation Policies are Policies 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11. The application concludes that "the proposed land use amendment is consistent with each of these policies."

 Policy 1 The overall transportation network is capable of adequately accommodating the overall transportation-related demands on the multi-modal network. Public Works review is pending, however, generally, Tower Road is a wellmaintained access to the proposed site as well as other numerous farm and industrial uses.

• **Policy 2 No modifications or updates are needed to the Morrow County Transportation System Plan** (Policy 2).

• **Policy 4 No changes are required to the roadway functional classification system** (Policy 4).

• No changes to the standards that implement the management and maintenance of the system (Policy 5).

• No traffic impacts that would require ROW modification and roadway facility upgrades (Policy 6).

• All forecast traffic generation will be compatible with the function and carrying capacity of the applicable roadway network (Policies 7 and 11). The increased volume and truck traffic will generate impacts to Tower Road that may warrant mitigation.

• No traffic impacts that would impact roadway function or require modifications to roadway classifications (Policies 9 and 10). The classification of Tower Road is particularly appropriate to accommodate the limited movement of the data center employees and personnel. After construction, the Project estimates 252 (138 weekday a.m., 114 weekday

p.m.) peak hour trips, which represent a nominal increase in traffic along Tower Road. Nonetheless, construction and post construction traffic will impact Tower Road and industrial and farming operation sin the area and may warrant mitigation as noted above.

Statewide Planning Goal 13 Energy Conservation

Energy Conservation Policies 1 and 14 are applicable to this application. As with many other MCCP policies identified, these policies are directory or aspirational in nature, rather than mandatory to an applicant. While they are not standards upon which approval or denial is based, they are nevertheless addressed herein.

Energy Conservation Policy 1 encourages the use of renewable and/or efficient energy systems, design, siting, and construction materials in all new development in the county. According to the application, "t[T]he data center campus operations are anticipated to be supported with 100% renewable energy, with procurement structure and approach to be finalized prior to operations." Documentation was not included to support the desire to rely on 100% renewable energy 365 days per year.

Energy Conservation Policy 14 encourages the county to combine increasing density gradients along high-capacity transportation corridors to achieve greater energy efficiency. The application concludes that this development "is consistent with this policy by consolidating lands for industrial development in an area bordering a minor collector, Tower Road." However, county believes that where Tower Road is the only north-south collector provided access to the industrial area, it may require mitigation to guarantee quality and capacity to serve additional density such as the proposed industrial development.

Statewide Planning Goal 14 Urbanization

Applicant is seeking an exception to Goal 14 in order to allow for the siting of a large-scale industrial development. Application requires an exception to Goal 14 where the size of the buildings and scope of development is a high density or urban scale. The application includes an exception to Goal 14. See also attached OAR 660-014-0040 Establishment of New Urban Development on Undeveloped Rural Lands.

VII AGENCIES NOTIFIED: Dawn HERT, Hilary Foote, Department of Land Conservation and Development; Teresa Penninger, Oregon Department of Transportation; Department of Environmental Quality, Bend Region Office and Eastern Region Office, Pendleton, , Air Quality Specialist; Mike Gorman, Morrow County Assessor; Eric Imes, Morrow County Public Works; Ione Rural Fire Protection District; Boardman Rural Fire Protection District, Kimberely Peacher, Community Planning & Liaison Officer, US NAS Whidbey Island, Jessica Salgado, Jurisdiction Coordinator, DS, State Historic Preservation Office; Teara Farrow, Director, CTUIR Cultural Resources Protection Program.Chris Kowitz and Greg Silbernagel, OWRD, Lisa Mittelsdorf and Mark Patton, Port of Morrow, City of Boardman, Glenn McIntire, Building Official, Kevin Payne, Morrow SWCD, Paul Gray, Morrow County Emergency Management.

VIII ATTACHMENTS:

Conceptual Example Layout, Partition Plat Map, Zoning Map Vicinity Map and adjacent landowners Soils Map Critical Groundwater and Groundwater Management Area Map Soils Analysis by David Weymann, P.E., ERM International Alternatives Analysis by ERM International Wetland Delineation approval, Peter Ryan SPWS, Department of State Lands Transportation Planning Rule Analysis by Kittelson & Associates MOA with Port of Morrow Geotech report by Kristopher Hauck, P.E., Terracon OAR 660-014-0040 Establishment of New Urban Developed on Undeveloped Rural Lands Letters of support from City of Heppner and Boardman Chamber of Commerce

IX HEARING DATES:

Planning Commission North Morrow Government Building June 27, 2023 North Morrow Government Center 215 NE Main Street Irrigon, OR 97844

HEARING MAY BE CONTINUED TO JULY 25, 2023

Board of Commissioners August 16, 2023 North Morrow Government Center 215 NE Main Street Irrigon, OR 97844

X RECOMMENDATION OF THE MORROW COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION:

Options for Planning Commission consideration.

1. Accept the Findings [as amended] and recommend Board of Commissioners approve the application.

2. Vote to recommend Board of Commissioners not approve based on application and Findings as presented.

Conditions of Approval

Applicant anticipates, based on the preliminary Project design, that the following state-level permits may be required for construction and operation:

- Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) 1200-C Permit
- DEQ, Onsite Septic Permit
- DEQ, Basic Air Contaminant Discharge Permit (ACDP)
- DSL, Removal/Fill Permit (if doing wetland enhancement, which is not anticipated
- Identify alternative or secondary access to and from data center location.
- Sign and record a Road Use Agreement with Morrow County Public Works

MORROW COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

David Sykes, Chair

Jeff Wenholz, Commissioner

Roy Drago, Commissioner

./planning/amendments/2023/Rowan Green Data Percheron/BOC Findings