Percheron Data Center

Geotechnical Engineering Report

May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Prepared for:

Rowan Percheron, LLC 1330 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1350 Houston, Texas 77056





Terraconpagen of 1/46 rials

Exhibit

Facilities

onmental

otechnical

Nationwide



700 NE 55th Avenue Portland, OR 97203 P (503) 659-3281 Terracon.com

May 2, 2023

Rowan Percheron, LLC 1330 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1350 Houston, Texas 77056

Attn: Joel Zemanek

- P: 231-463-6079
- E: jzemanek@rowandigit.al
- Re: Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center Tower Road Morrow County, Oregon Terracon Project No. 82225118

Dear Mr. Zemanek:

We have completed the scope of Geotechnical Engineering services for the above referenced project in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. P82225118 dated February 6, 2023. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides geotechnical recommendations concerning earthwork and the design and construction of foundations and floor slabs for the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Terracon

Pm J/h

Ryan T. Houser, CEG Project Geologist



Kristopher T. Hauck, P.E. Senior Principal | Office Manager

Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon



Table of Contents

May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Report Summary	i
Introduction	1
Project Description	1
Site Conditions	3
Geotechnical Characterization	4
Geology	4
Seismic Hazards	5
Nearby Faults	5
Groundwater Conditions	6
GeoModel	7
Corrosivity	8
Thermal Resistivity Results	9
Geotechnical Overview	10
Collapsible (Loess) Soils	. 10
Shallow Bedrock	. 11
Reuse of Site Soils	. 12
Liquefiable Soils	. 12
General	. 12
Earthwork	13
Site Preparation	. 13
Subgrade Preparation	. 13
Bedrock Excavations	. 14
Fill Material Types	. 17
Fill Placement and Compaction Requirements	. 18
Dewatering	. 19
Utility Trench Backfill	. 20
Grading and Drainage	. 20
Earthwork Construction Considerations	. 21
Construction Observation and Testing	. 22
Seismic Considerations	22
Seismic Site Class	. 22
Data Center Building Area Site Class	22
Substation Area Site Class	23
Seismic Design Parameters	. 23
Liquefaction	. 24
Liquefaction Lateral Movement	. 24
Ground Improvement	25
Removal and Recompaction	. 25
Aggregate Piers	. 26
Dynamic Compaction (DC)	. 26
Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC)	. 26

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Ferracon

27
27
29
29
40

Diagrams

Diagram 1: Over Excavation Backfill with Lean Concrete	30
Diagram 2: Over Excavation Backfill with Structural Fill	31
Diagram 3: Retaining Wall Restraint Conditions	34
Diagram 4: Retaining Wall Backfill and Drain Placement	35

Tables

Table 1: Project Description	2
Table 2: Site Conditions	3
Table 3: Nearby Faults	6
Table 4: Groundwater Conditions	6
Table 5: GeoModel	8
Table 6: Corrosivity Test Results Summary	8
Table 7: Laboratory Thermal Resistivity Testing Summary	9
Table 8: Structural Fill Criteria	17
Table 9: Fill Compaction Requirements	18



Table 10: Data Center Building Area Site Class	
Table 11: Seismic Design Parameters	
Table 12: Shallow Foundation Design Parameters	
Table 13: Floor Slab Design Parameters	
Table 14: Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters	
Table 15: Asphaltic Concrete Design	
Table 16: Portland Cement Concrete Design	

Figures

Site Location Plan Exploration Plan Topographic Plan Section A-A' Section B-B' Section C-C' Section D-D'

Attachments

Exploration and Testing Procedures Photography Log Exploration and Laboratory Results Supporting Information

Note: This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Blue Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the **Derracon** logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at **client.terracon.com**.

Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents.

Fierracon

Report Summary

Topic ¹	Overview Statement ²		
Project Description	Site consists of a single 275-acre parcel. Project consists of construction of four, 225,000-square-foot data center buildings, a power substation, security guard house, generator yards, retention ponds, and associated pavements.		
Geotechnical Characterization	 Data Center Building Area: The surface soils underlying the data center area consisted of a thin mantle of rooted topsoil underlain by loose silty sand and silt soils up to about 15 feet below the ground surface (bgs). These soils are interpreted to be wind-blown (loess) deposits and are susceptible to collapse. The loess was generally underlain by dense to very dense cemented silty sand soils and basalt bedrock. Basalt bedrock was encountered in the data center building area at depths as shallow as 2 feet bgs. Perched groundwater was observed in one boring in the data center building area at a depth of about 22½ feet bgs. Substation and Guard House Area: The substation and guard house area was generally underlain by the same materials as described above, with the exception of one boring that did not encounter bedrock. In this boring (SS-3), subsurface materials consisted of loess extending to about 15 feet bgs, underlain by flood deposits consisting of silty sand, sand, and elastic silt to the full depth explored (61½ feet bgs). Groundwater was encountered in this area ranging from 6½ to 9½ feet bgs. 		
Loess Soils Collapse Risk	The near surface loess soils exhibit moderate risk collapsible and the deeper soils exhibit negligible to slight risk collapsible soils. The collapse of the "honeycomb" structure is typically instigated by wetting and loading or overstressing from the loading without wetting. Therefore, we recommend mitigation of the collapse risk by removing and replacing the shallow loess soils or performing ground improvement of these soils within the proposed building areas. Ground improvement is also recommended where total settlements for duct banks and utilities outside of the data center building pads must not exceed 1 inch.		

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Topic ¹	Overview Statement ²
	We understand the data center pads will be developed by maintaining a building pad with 7 to 10 feet of excavatable material for installation of underground utilities (i.e. 7 to 10 foot separation from bedrock). Depending on finish grades, this likely will require removal of basalt bedrock, which was encountered as shallow as 2 feet bgs in our explorations. Amount of rock excavation is not known, since the grading plan is currently in development.
Earthwork	Much of the site surficial soils consist of low-density material, we expect significant shrinkage that should be accounted for in the grading planning from excavation to placement and compaction of the loess materials.
	The moisture content of the in-situ material is significantly below optimum moisture content and will require moisture conditioning in order to be able to be compacted in accordance with the compaction requirements. It is possible that a significant water import to the site will be needed.
Shallow Foundations	Shallow foundations can be used to support the structures following mitigation of the loess soils and/or ground improvements.
Deep Foundations	Cast-in-place reinforced concrete drilled shafts may be used to support the planned dead-end support structures for the substation.
Pavements	With a minimum of 12 inches of scarified and compacted subgrades prepared as noted in Earthwork, typical pavement section can be expected for this development.
General Comments	This section contains important information about the limitations of this geotechnical engineering report.
1. If the reader	is reviewing this report as a pdf, the topics above can be used to

access the appropriate section of the report by simply clicking on the topic itself.

2. This summary is for convenience only. It should be used in conjunction with the entire report for design purposes.



Introduction

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and Geotechnical Engineering services performed for the proposed data center buildings, a power substation, security guard house, generator yards, retention ponds, and associated pavements to be located at Tower Road in Morrow County, Oregon. The purpose of these services was to provide information and geotechnical engineering recommendations relative to:

- Subsurface soil (and rock) conditions
- Groundwater conditions
- Seismic site classification per ASCE 7-16
- Liquefaction and lateral spread potential
- Site preparation and earthwork
- Dewatering considerations
- Foundation design and construction
- Floor slab design and construction
- Lateral earth pressure
- Pavement design and construction
- Stormwater considerations
- Frost considerations

The geotechnical engineering Scope of Services for this project included the advancement of twenty-nine borings to depths of 6 to $61\frac{1}{2}$ feet below existing ground surface (bgs), twelve test pits to depths of up to $10\frac{1}{2}$ feet bgs, laboratory testing, engineering analysis, and preparation of this report.

Drawings showing the site and boring locations are shown on the **Site Location** and **Exploration Plan**, respectively. The results of the laboratory testing performed on soil samples obtained from the site during our field exploration are included on the boring logs and/or as separate graphs in the **Exploration Results** section.

Project Description

Our initial understanding of the project was provided in our proposal and was discussed during project planning. A period of collaboration has transpired since the project was initiated, and our final understanding of the project conditions is as follows:

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Table 1: Project Description

Item	Description		
Information Provided	Site Layout, prepared by Dotterweich Carlson Mehner Design, Inc., dated January 10, 2023.		
Project Description	Site consists of a single 275-acre parcel. Project consists of construction of four, 225,000-square-foot data center buildings, a power substation, guardhouse, generator yards, retention ponds, and associated pavements.		
Proposed Structure	The data center structures will consist of one-story buildings with steel superstructures and shallow foundations. We anticipate the buildings will incorporate loading docks. Back-up generators are planned to be supported on mat foundations. Water tanks are planned for service to the data centers and expected to be supported on mat foundations.		
Finished Floor Elevation	Finished floor elevations were not provided.		
Maximum Loads (Assumed)	 Columns: 1,200 kips Walls: 8 kips per linear foot (klf) Slabs: 500 pounds per square foot (psf) 		
Grading/Slopes	Significant cuts and fills are anticipated on this site based on topography.		
Below-Grade Structures	No basement levels planned.		
Free-Standing Retaining Walls	Retaining walls are not expected to be constructed as part of site development to achieve final grades.		
Pavements	 Paved driveway and parking will be constructed around the proposed building and will include 64 parking spaces. We assume both rigid (concrete) and flexible (asphalt) pavement sections should be considered. Please confirm this assumption. Anticipated traffic is as follows: Autos/light trucks: 100 vehicles per day Light delivery and trash collection vehicles: 10 vehicles per week Tractor-trailer trucks: <3 vehicle per week The pavement design period is 20 years. 		
Building Code	2022 Oregon Structural Specialty Code (OSSC)		



Terracon should be notified if any of the above information is inconsistent with the planned construction, especially the grading limits, as modifications to our recommendations may be necessary.

Site Conditions

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration and our review of publicly available geologic and topographic maps.

Item	Description
Parcel Information	 The project is located at Tower Road in Morrow County, Oregon. The approximate center of the 275-acre site is located at the following coordinates: Latitude: 45.7115° N Longitude: 119.8232° W See Site Location
Existing Improvements	Vacant lot
Current Ground Cover	Grass and scrub brush.
Existing Topography	The site generally descends gradually to the northeast to a south-trending drainage. Total relief across the site is on the order of 40 feet. Site topography is shown on the attached Topographic Plan .

Table 2: Site Conditions

We also collected photographs at the time of our field exploration program. Representative photos are provided in our **Photography Log**.



Geotechnical Characterization

Geology

Based on our review of the geologic map¹ of the area and experience on the adjacent site, the site is underlain by eolian sand and ash (Qe - loess deposits) that extend to a depth of about 15 feet, although the depth is variable across the site. Loess soils within the site vicinity typically are comprised of eolian (wind deposited) silt and fine sand. The unit is present across the site up to about 15 feet in thickness and is material derived from the underlying Missoula Flood Deposits (described later) being re-worked and deposited once allowed to dry. Loess deposits are made up of a semi-stable soil structure commonly referred to as a "honeycomb" structure. This structure makes the soil susceptible to collapse under additional applied load and saturation. In addition to the collapse potential of this soils type, other characteristics of this soil unit are low relative density and high void ratios.

This loess unit can often be broken into two units consisting of younger loess, typically 3 to 7 feet in thickness, and older loess ranging from 3 to 21 feet in thickness¹. The older loess is also described as consolidated with strong calcium carbonate contents (referred to as caliche cementation in this report). General consensus from the geologic community is that the calcium carbonate was leached from the upper loess layer by infiltrating surface water and precipitated out as the water evaporated^{2, 3} and is generally found in the loess deposits where mean annual precipitation is less than 15 inches. This older unit is referred to as Cemented Loess in this report. In general, these geologic descriptions are relatively consistent with the findings in the geotechnical borings. The cemented loess is generally not susceptible to collapse.

The loess is generally underlain by Pleistocene catastrophic flood deposits originating from glacial outburst floods of Lake Missoula. Periodic failure of glacial ice dams that impounded

Madin, I.P and Gietgey, R.P., 2007, Preliminary geologic map of the Umatilla Basin, Morrow and Umatilla Counties, Oregon, Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries, Open-File Report O-07-15.

² Washington Department of Transportation Publication WA-RD 69.1, Development of Guidelines for Cuts in Loess Soils, by Higgins, Fragaszy, and Beard (1985)

³ Engineering Geology in Washington (Volume II), Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources – Bulletin 78, article titled "Engineering Geology of Loess in Southeastern Washington", by J. D. Higgins, R. J. Fragaszy, and L. D Beard (p. 887-898)



Lake Missoula in present day Montana between 18,000 to 15,000 years ago⁴ produced catastrophic floods that flowed through northern Idaho, eastern Washington, and into northern Oregon and through the Columbia River Gorge. These soils were deposited repeatedly over the time period, each depositional layer represents a single flood event. The flood deposits in the region extend to depths of up to about 80 feet, and generally consist of layers of silt, sand, and clay.

The Missoula Flood deposits are underlain by underlain by Miocene Columbia River Basalt. Our explorations indicate the depth to basalt ranges from about 2 to over 60 feet at the site.

Seismic Hazards

Seismic hazards resulting from earthquake motions can include slope stability, liquefaction, and surface rupture due to faulting or lateral spreading. Liquefaction is the phenomenon wherein soil strength is dramatically reduced when subjected to vibration or shaking.

We reviewed the Statewide Geohazards Viewer (HazVu) published by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Studies (DOGAMI) and available online⁵. The viewer categorizes the expected earthquake shaking from light, moderate, strong, very strong, severe and violent; and the landslide susceptibility from low, moderate, high, and very high.

- Earthquake Liquefaction Hazard: Moderate
- Expected Earthquake Shaking: Moderate to Strong
- Landslide Susceptibility (due to earthquake): Low to Moderate

Nearby Faults

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) maintains the Quaternary Fault and Fold Database containing descriptions and locations of recently active faults within the United States. The three closest faults to the project site include the Arlington-Shutler Butte fault (No.847), the Columbia Hills structures (No.568), and the Horse Heaven Hills structures

⁴ Allen, John Eliot, et al., 2009. Cataclysms on the Columbia, The Great Missoula Floods, Revised Second Edition: Ooligan Press, Portland State University.

⁵ Statewide Geohazards Viewer (HazVu) published by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Studies (DOGAMI) https://gis.dogami.oregon.gov/hazvu/, accessed April 2023.



(No.567). Published information pertaining to each fault or fault zone is provided in the following table:

Fault Name	Arlington-Shutler	Columbia Hills	Horse Heaven
i aute Name	Butte fault	structures	Hills structures
USGS Fault Number	847	568	567
USGS Fault Class	А	А	А
Distance to Fault from Site	15 mi W	16 mi W	30 mi SW
Length of Fault	33 miles	100 miles	112 miles
Strike (degrees)	N42°W	N75°E	N90°W
Sense of Movement	Right lateral, Normal	Thrust	Thrust
Dip Direction	Vertical	2-80° S	24-42°S
Slip-rate Category	Less than 0.2 mm/yr	Less than 0.2 mm/yr	Less than 0.2 mm/yr
Most recent prehistoric deformation	Middle and late Quaternary (<750 ka)	Undifferentiated Quaternary (<1.6 Ma)	Undifferentiated Quaternary (<1.6 Ma)

Table 3: Nearby Faults

Based on our review of the available fault information, the depth to bedrock, and the site's proximity to the nearest known faults, it is our opinion that the risk of surface rupture due to ground faulting is low.

Groundwater Conditions

We observed our explorations while drilling and after completion for the presence and level of groundwater. Groundwater was encountered in seven of our explorations as indicated on the exploration logs in **Exploration Results**, and as summarized in the table below.

Table 4: Groundwater Conditions

Exploration Number	Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (feet) ¹	Approximate Depth to Groundwater while Drilling (feet)
DC-14	598	221/2
GS-1	593	61⁄2
SS-1	591	9
SS-2	590	61⁄2

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Exploration Number	Approximate Ground Surface Elevation (feet) ¹	Approximate Depth to Groundwater while Drilling (feet)
SS-3	595	91/2
TP-5	605	2

1. Based on elevations obtained from Google Earth and depth to the observed groundwater during explorations. Note the assumed ground surface elevation is presented on the boring logs.

Groundwater was not observed in the remaining explorations conducted for this investigation. Well logs available on the Oregon Water Resources Department (OWRD)⁶ website indicate that groundwater levels in the area of the site generally range from about 50 to 200 feet below site grades, depending on topography.

Groundwater level fluctuations occur due to seasonal variations in the amount of rainfall, runoff and other factors not evident at the time the borings were performed. Therefore, groundwater levels during construction or at other times in the life of the structure may be higher or lower than the levels indicated on the boring logs. The possibility of groundwater level fluctuations should be considered when developing the design and construction plans for the project.

GeoModel

We have developed a general characterization of the subsurface conditions based upon our review of the subsurface exploration, laboratory data, geologic setting and our understanding of the project. This characterization, termed GeoModel, forms the basis of our geotechnical calculations and evaluation of the site. Conditions observed at each exploration point are indicated on the individual logs. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** and Sections showing the GeoModel (Sections A-A' through D-D') can be found in the **Figures** attachment of this report.

As part of our analyses, we identified the following model layers within the subsurface profile. For a more detailed view of the model layer depths at each boring location, refer to Sections A-A' through D-D' in the **Figures** attachment of this report.

7

⁶ Oregon Water Resources Department, 2023. Well Log Records, accessed April 2023, from OWRD web site: http://apps.wrd.state.or.us/apps/gw/well_log/.

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Table 5: GeoModel

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description
1	Topsoil	TOPSOIL (OL) ; fine grained sand, nonplastic, brown, moist, very loose, rootlets and some roots
2	Loess	POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), SILTY SAND (SM), SILT (ML); fine grained sand, nonplastic where silt, light brown to brown, very loose/soft to medium dense/very stiff
3	Cemented Loess	SILTY SAND (SM), POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM); fine to coarse grained, light brown to white, dense to very dense, moderate to strong cementation
4	Flood Deposits	SILTY SAND (SM), POORLY GRADED SAND (SP); fine grained sand, brown to brownish gray, medium dense. POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH CLAY (GP- GC); coarse grained, brown, dense. ELASTIC SILT (MH); trace sand, high plasticity, gray, moist.
5	Bedrock	BASALT ; gray, fine-grained, variable fracturing, slightly weathered to unweathered, medium strong to strong, variable vesicle content.

Corrosivity

The table below lists the results of laboratory soluble sulfate, soluble chloride, electrical resistivity, and pH testing. The values may be used to estimate potential corrosive characteristics of the on-site soils with respect to contact with the various underground materials which will be used for project construction.

Boring	Sample Depth (feet)	Soil Description	Soluble Sulfate (%)	Soluble Chloride (%)	Electrical Resistivity (Ω-cm)	рН
DC-2	0.5-4.5	Silty Sand (SM)	<0.01	<0.01	2231	8.37
DC-13	0.5-4.5	Silty Sand (SM)	0.01	<0.01	2813	8.26

Table 6: Corrosivity Test Results Summary





Boring	Sample Depth (feet)	Soil Description	Soluble Sulfate (%)	Soluble Chloride (%)	Electrical Resistivity (Ω-cm)	рН
DC-24	0.5-4.5	Silty Sand (SM)	<0.01	<0.01	2716	8.17

Results of soluble sulfate testing can be classified in accordance with ACI 318 – Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete. Numerous sources are available to characterize corrosion potential to buried metals using the parameters above. ANSI/AWWA is commonly used for ductile iron, while threshold values for evaluating the effect on steel can be specific to the buried feature (e.g., piling, culverts, welded wire reinforcement, etc.) or agency for which the work is performed. Imported fill materials may have significantly different properties than the site materials noted above and should be evaluated if expected to be in contact with metals used for construction. Consultation with a NACE certified corrosion professional is recommended for buried metals on the site.

Thermal Resistivity Results

Thermal resistivity dryout curve testing was performed on soil samples collected from borings DC-10, DC-13, DC-24, and TP-1. The soil samples were remolded to a density of about 95% of their maximum dry density determined by ASTM D1557 near optimum water content to model the parameters for potential backfill soils.

The results of the thermal resistivity testing and the thermal resistivity dryout curves are summarized in the table below. Interpretation of the thermal resistivity test results should be performed by the design team in determination of underground cable sizes and/or rating. The results of the thermal resistivity dryout curves and laboratory compaction tests are presented in the **Exploration Results** section.

Location	Description	Remolding Modeled	Water	Dry Unit	Thermal R (°C-cm,	esistivity /Watt)
/ Depth	Description	Condition ¹	(%)	Weight (lb/ft ³)	Wet ²	Dry ³
DC-10 / ½ ft	Silty Sand	95% MDD	12.6	118.9	82	243
DC-13 / ½ ft	Silty Sand	95% MDD	12.6	111.1	104	308
DC-24 / ½ ft	Silty Sand	95% MDD	12.6	119.5	89287	

Table 7: Laboratory Thermal Resistivity Testing Summary

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Location	1	Remolding Modeled	Water	Dry Unit	Thermal R (°C-cm,	esistivity /Watt)
/ Depth	Description	Condition ¹	(%)	Weight (lb/ft ³)	Wet ²	Dry ³
TP-1 / ½ ft	Silty Sand	95% MDD	12.6	110.6	92	326

- 1. Soil samples molded to near measured water content and dry unit weight measured in place (in-situ), and to dry unit weight of approximately 95% of maximum dry unit weight near the optimum water content determined by standard Proctor (ASTM D698) compaction criteria.
- 2. Thermal resistivity value measured at initial "wet" water content.
- 3. Thermal resistivity value measured at final "dry" at 0.3% to 1.4% water content.

Geotechnical Overview

The subsurface conditions at the site were evaluated to develop geotechnical related design and construction recommendations for site development. In our opinion, the site is feasible for the proposed development provided the recommendations in this report are followed. The opinions and recommendations presented in this report are based on the understanding that each data center pad will be underlain by 7 to 10 feet of new structural fill.

Collapsible (Loess) Soils

The primary geotechnical consideration for this site is that the upper 1 to 15 feet of loess (GeoModel Layer 2) soils are loose and structures founded directly on these soils could experience excessive total and differential settlements. These soils were deposited by wind and the soil particles are generally considered to be oriented in a "honeycomb" like structure, which can make them susceptible to high volumetric strains due to collapse of the soil structure. The collapse of the "honeycomb" structure is typically instigated by wetting and/or loading. Based on laboratory consolidation and collapse testing for this project and others in the similar widespread deposit, this soil is susceptible to collapse upon loading and wetting generally ranging from 0.5 to about 4 percent strain at full saturation. Laboratory testing from samples collected from the site indicates collapse potential of about 1.2 to 2.7 percent. We estimate that this hazard equates to about 1 to 5 inches of potential collapse related settlements across the site explorations if the loess were to remain in place and utilized for support.

Several methods have been implemented for reducing or mitigating the risk of collapse settlements including: overexcavation and replacement with structural fill, pre-wetting and surcharging the underlying soils, dynamic compaction, and/or deep foundations. The most appropriate option depends on the structure's tolerance for total and differential



settlement, the owner's risk tolerance for the potential collapse settlements to limit the structure's use, the cost of remedial measures versus traditional construction methods, and other factors that affect the decision-making process.

Due to the critical nature of data center foundations, we recommend complete mitigation of the settlement hazard because settlement of the above magnitude would exceed the owner's risk tolerance. Based on our experience with data center construction, we understand floor slabs must be held to a similar standard.

For mitigation of the collapse settlement risk, we recommend the soils be remediated prior to construction of the proposed development. Remediation of loose soils may consist of one of the following options:

- Removal and Recompaction: The loose soils could be removed to expose cemented loess or basalt bedrock. The surface of the underlying soils should be scarified, wetted and compacted prior to placement of new structural fill. The loess, cemented loess, and flood deposits (GeoModel Layers 2, 3, and 4) encountered at the site are suitable for reuse as structural fill, provided they meet the recommendations presented in the Earthwork section.
- **Aggregate Piers**: Aggregate piers consist of compacted gravel columns typically placed in a grid pattern within a building pad to improve the bearing capacity of the soils and reduce the potential for differential settlements.
- In-Place Densification: The existing loose soils could be remediated using Dynamic Compaction (DC) or Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC) techniques. Both techniques are cost effective compared to aggregate piers and generally do not require the amount of grading as required with removal and recompaction. Dynamic Compaction could be completed using traditional Deep Dynamic Compaction techniques (dropping a weight from a specified height using a crane) or using Roller Dynamic Compaction.

The proposed structures may be founded on conventional shallow foundations following remediation of the loose soils. Additional discussions of these options are presented in the **Ground Improvement** section below. Since grading plans are not yet defined, it's important to note that all footings should bear on consistent subsurface conditions. In other words, if one portion of the structure is to be supported on structural fill or bedrock, the materials at the other end of the structure should be similar.

The near-surface loose sand/silt soils were taken into account for pavement design recommendations presented in the **Pavements** section below.

Shallow Bedrock

Hard basalt bedrock will likely be encountered during site grading under potions of the site. Excavation into the basalt bedrock (GeoModel Layer 5) may require heavy-duty



construction equipment, such as a hoe ram, a heavy dozer equipped with a ripper, a rock saw or jack hammer or with rock trenching equipment, is likely suitable for grading, shallow excavations, and utility trench excavations. Blasting may also be considered if acceptable to the local jurisdiction.

While not bedrock, the cemented loess soils will also be difficult to excavate and may necessitate using rock excavation equipment like rippers and/or rock trenching equipment.

Reuse of Site Soils

The soils at the site are generally suitable for reuse as structural fill, with the following exceptions:

- The upper few inches of soils encountered at the site consists of topsoil with organic debris (roots, leaves, etc). The topsoil is not considered suitable for reuse as structural fill due to its high organic content.
- The elastic silt encountered in SS-3 has a high expansion potential, and is not recommended for reuse as structural fill. This material was first encountered at a depth of 42 feet below existing grades; therefore, we do not anticipate this soil will constitute much, if any, material produced from excavations during construction.

In addition to reuse of the soils, the basalt bedrock materials could also be available for reuse if planned to be excavated in any significance. It's been our experience these basalt flows often can produce quality aggregate for use at the site using mobile crushing and processing equipment.

Liquefiable Soils

The loess soils (GeoModel Layer 2) are susceptible to liquefaction-induced settlement from a design-level seismic event when saturated. There is one location where these soils were observed to be wet (boring SS-3). Shallow groundwater was encountered in a portion of the proposed substation where loess soils extend to depths of up to 15 feet bgs (see boring SS-3). Mitigation of the collapse potential of the loess soils described above will also mitigate against the potential for liquefaction these soils. Note that this risk was identified in the boring location SS-3 and not in any other locations.

General

The recommendations contained in this report are based upon the results of field and laboratory testing (presented in the **Exploration Results**), engineering analyses, and our current understanding of the proposed project. The **General Comments** section provides an understanding of the report limitations.



Earthwork

Earthwork is anticipated to include clearing and grubbing, excavations, and structural fill placement. The following sections provide recommendations for use in the preparation of specifications for the work. Recommendations include critical quality criteria, as necessary, to render the site in the state considered in our geotechnical engineering evaluation for foundations, floor slabs, and pavements.

Site Preparation

Prior to placing fill, existing vegetation, topsoil, and root mats should be removed. Complete stripping of the topsoil should be performed in the proposed building and parking/driveway areas.

Stripped materials consisting of vegetation and organic materials should be wasted from the site or used in non-structural areas and/or topsoil to re-vegetate landscaped areas or exposed slopes after completion of grading operations. If it is necessary to dispose of organic materials on-site, they should be placed in non-structural areas, and in fill sections not exceeding 5 feet in height.

Loess soils were encountered across the area of proposed development to depths ranging from 1 to 15 feet below the ground surface. The subsequent section (**Subgrade Preparation**) discusses the recommendations of subgrade preparations of the foundation elements. We recommend complete removal of the loess soils within foundation areas for all major structures (i.e. structure foundations, water tower, substation equipment pads, generators, transformers, etc.) and at least a partial removal and replacement for surface structure features (i.e. on-grade floor slabs not supporting walls, pavements, minor equipment storage pads, etc.).

Subgrade Preparation

While a grading plan was not provided prior to the issuance of this report, we anticipate loose loess soils to be exposed at the base of many of the excavations for new structural fill. These soils are not suitable for support of foundations or new structural fill, and should be improved by removal and recompaction or alternatively by other ground improvement methods.

After stripping, cutting to design subgrade improvement elevations, and prior to placement of new fill in areas below final grades, we recommend that the exposed subgrades be observed and evaluated for the presence of soft, loose or unsuitable materials. Due to the nature of loess soils, disconnected and distinct areas of additional removal of Loess soils will likely be necessary and the earthwork contractor should be



prepared to complete additional overexcavation in discrete areas across the pads as necessary. We do not necessarily expect a smooth transitional surface across the pads.

We recommend testing of the exposed subgrades include visual observation, hand probing, density testing, and proof rolling (where feasible) to help locate weak or unstable areas at or just below the exposed subgrade level. Proof rolling should be performed using heavy rubber-tired equipment, such as a fully loaded dump truck, having a minimum gross weight of about 20 tons. Unsuitable areas observed at this time should be excavated and replaced with engineered fill. Those soils which are soft, yielding, or unable to be compacted to the specified criteria should be overexcavated and replaced with structural fill material later described in the **Fill Material Types** section of this report.

Exposed areas which will receive fill, once properly cleared and benched where necessary, should be scarified to a minimum depth of 12 inches, conditioned to near optimum moisture content, and compacted.

Subsequent to the surface clearing, grubbing and fill placement efforts, the exposed subgrade soils should be prepared to an approximate depth of 12 inches. Subgrade preparation should generally include some form of scarification (or removal), moisture conditioning, and compaction. The moisture content and compaction of subgrade soils should be maintained until slab or pavement construction.

Bedrock Excavations

Excavation operations at this site will penetrate through the overburden soils and into the underlying bedrock. While the overburden soils should be relatively easy to excavate in comparison to the underlying bedrock, excavators should expect to encounter large block or fragments broken bedrock and boulders within these soils.

We anticipate that excavations for the proposed construction within the topsoil, loess, and flood deposits (GeoModel Layers 1, 2, and 4, respectively) can be accomplished with conventional earthmoving equipment. The bottom of excavations should be thoroughly cleaned of loose soils and disturbed materials prior to backfill placement and/or construction.

The cemented loess (GeoModel Layer 3) exhibited variable cementation and hardness. Strongly cemented areas of the cemented loess may require ripping using heavy-duty dozers and/or jack hammers.

Excavation into the basalt bedrock (GeoModel Layer 5) should be anticipated to involve blasting for fracturing and breaking bedrock into manageable size material to transport to on-site crushing operations or fill areas if breakdown to small particles is possible with large dozers or compaction equipment. Upper portions of the bedrock strata are anticipated to be excavatable with heavy-duty dozers equipped with ripping attachments



if this is a more efficient method. Other isolated excavations into bedrock will require heavyduty construction equipment, such as a hoe ram, a rock saw or jack hammer or with rock trenching equipment, is likely suitable for grading, shallow excavations, and utility trench excavations.

Our comments on excavation are based on our experience with the rock formation. Rock excavation depends on not only the rock hardness, weathering and fracture frequency, but also the contractor's equipment, capabilities, and experience.

Two P-Wave geophysical surveys were performed at the site, which consisted of placing geophones setup in linear configurations along the arrays as shown on the **Exploration Plan**. Seismic compression wave (p-wave) velocities obtained from our field tests ranged from about 1,000 ft/s to 17,000 ft/s, with trend of increasing with depth into the bedrock layers. The cross-sectional images of the wave velocities generated from the seismic testing are included in the **Exploration Results** section of this report.

In construction, the hardness of rock is often discussed in terms of Rippability. This means that a bulldozer of particular size equipped with ripping attachments should be able to rip or break the rock to a certain depth. For reference, we compared the findings to the "Caterpillar Performance Handbook" and the Caterpillar "Handbook of Ripping – 12^{th} Edition". Per the Caterpillar publications and guidance related to igneous basalt bedrock, the following criteria is often used for prediction of excavatability:

Caterpillar Dozer	Seismic Wave Vel	/ave Velocity for Basalt and Rippability (ft/sec)			
Model	Rippable	Marginal	Non-Rippable		
D9R	<7,600	7,600 to 8,600	>8,600		
D10R	<8,000	8,000 to 8,900	>8,900		
D11R	<8,700	8,700 to 9,900	>9,900		

For reference a D9R dozer was selected for comparison of the field test results. Per the Caterpillar performance handbook, bedrock with P-wave velocity to about 7,500 ft/s is rippable with a D9R dozer, and where P-wave velocity is over about 8,500 ft/s the bedrock is predicted to likely be unrippable and would require blasting. Based on these guidelines, we estimate that the bedrock at the project site is rippable with a D9R within depths presented in the following table with variability in depth as indicated on the geophysical survey exhibits:

Geophysics Line	Approximate Depth with P-Wave Velocities Less Than 7,600 ft/sec (range in depths bgs along arrays)
1	25 to 35 feet



Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Geophysics Line	Approximate Depth with P-Wave Velocities Less Than 7,600 ft/sec (range in depths bgs along arrays)
2	25 to 40 feet

One item to note in the above table and plot of wave velocity contours on the figures is the variability of the depth of rippable materials in a short (300-foot length) cross section. Depth to bedrock as encountered in our explorations varied greatly across the site from about 1 foot to over 60 feet bgs. Velocity measurements indicate the upper portion of the basalt flow is fractured and/or weathered. The rippability criteria presented in the Caterpillar performance handbook is regarding mass grading and is not necessarily indicative of rippability of the materials within trenches using an excavator and other rock excavation techniques such as hoe-rams may be needed.

While these figures indicated a significant thickness of rippability, practical production of ripping rock can often be slowed due to breakage in slabs and variability of weathering in boulders and/or crevasses of the flow surface.

Rippability interpreted from information presented in the Caterpillar performance handbook is a guideline and rippability can vary with rock structure, fracturing and weathering as well as the limits and confines of the excavation. The charts are intended to be interpreted as a mass, unlimited access grading operation and for trenching methods could grossly overstate the rippability of the bedrock. The use of D10R or D11R equipment, if it is an option for this project, may provide the needed power and ripping capabilities with a higher degree of confidence in areas of the rock formation, rock condition, or depths that the D9R may not be able to rip satisfactorily. While some of the bedrock may be marginally rippable with the equipment outlined above, blasting of bedrock should be anticipated during mass grading.

Blasting methods or approaches should be discussed during design phases and development of specifications. A trial ripping and blasting program should be conducted on the site in the planning process to select appropriate procedures. Pre-blasting can be considered ahead of a ripping and excavation procedure to possibly produce smaller rock sizes for the processing and rock fill procedures. A preconstruction/ precondition survey should be conducted to document nearby property/infrastructure prior to blasting activities.

Blankets of site soils are often left in place over bedrock masses to be blasted to absorb energy of the blast and reduce scatter of material. This can result in portions of the excavated blasted rock to include the finer soils that produce a less suitable gradation or mixture upon crushing and processing for structural fill. Sorting of rock fragments for the crushing operations might be needed to develop better suited granular material for fill placement applications.



Fill Material Types

Fill required to achieve design grade should be classified as structural fill and general fill. Structural fill is material used below, or within 10 feet of structures, pavements or constructed slopes.

Reuse of On-Site Soil: Excavated on-site loess (GeoModel Layer 2), cemented loess (GeoModel Layer 3), flood deposits (GeoModel Layer 4), and basalt bedrock (GeoModel Layer 5) may be reused as structural fill if processed to meet the criteria presented in **Fill Placement and Compaction Requirements** below. Topsoil (GeoModel Layer 1) contains a high percentage of organics and should not be reused as structural fill.

Fill Materials: Structural fill materials should meet the following material property requirements. Regardless of its source, compacted fill should consist of approved materials that are free of organic matter and debris. Frozen material should not be used, and fill should not be placed on a frozen subgrade.

Fill Type ¹	Specifications	Acceptable Parameters (for Structural Fill)
Stone Embankment Material (processed site rock fill)	ODOT SSC Section 330.16, limited to fragments not larger than 6"	Mass grading of pads Not within 2 feet of bottom of floor slabs / pavements / foundations
Common Fill	Oregon Standard Specifications for Construction (OSSC) Section 00300.13 Selected General Backfill	All locations across the site. Dry weather only acceptable
Select Fill	OSSC Section 00330.14 Selected Granular Backfill ²	All locations across the site. Wet and Dry weather acceptable.
Crushed Aggregate Base (CAB)	OSSC Section 02630.10 Dense Graded Aggregate (2"-0 to 3/4"-0) ²	All locations across the site. Wet and Dry weather acceptable.
Trench Backfill	OSSC Section 00405.14 for Trench Backfill with additional stipulations ⁴	Acceptable materials include Common and Select Fill listed above.

Table 8: Structural Fill Criteria

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Fill Type ¹	Specifications	Acceptable Parameters (for Structural Fill)
Subgrade Stabilization	OSSC Section 00330.14 for Selected Granular Backfill above groundwater seepage and OSSC Section 00330.16 for Stone Embankment Material with additional stipulations ⁴	12-inch compacted lift in wet or soft subgrades encountered in subgrade and other utility excavations.
Bedding & Haunching	OSSC Section 00405.13, Pipe Zone Material	Thickness above and below pipe recommended by Electrical Engineer

- Controlled, compacted fill should consist of approved materials that are free (free = less than 3% by weight) of organic matter and debris (i.e. wood sticks greater than ½ inch in diameter). A sample of each material type should be submitted to the geotechnical engineer for evaluation.
- 2. Material should have a maximum aggregate size of 2 inches, and a minimum laboratory CBR of 20% for granular soils, and no more than 12% passing the No. 200 sieve by weight determined by ASTM D6913. Fines should have a Plasticity Index (PI) of less than 20% per ASTM D4318. Reclaimed glass will not be accepted.
- 3. The contractor shall select the appropriate material for use based on the current and forecasted weather conditions at the time of construction.
- 4. Maximum aggregate size shall be limited to 21/2 inches.

Fill Placement and Compaction Requirements

Structural should meet the following compaction requirements.

Item	Structural Fill
Maximum Lift Thickness	8 inches or less in loose thickness when heavy, self- propelled compaction equipment is used4 to 6 inches in loose thickness when hand-guidedequipment (i.e. jumping jack or plate compactor) is used
Minimum Compaction Requirements ^{1,2,3}	95% of max. above and below foundations and within2 feet of finished pavement subgrade92% of max. when more than 2 feet below finished pavement subgrade
Water Content Range ¹	Common Fill, Select Fill, & CAB: -2% to +2% of optimum

Table 9: Fill Compaction Requirements

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



TLEIII		Item	
--------	--	------	--

Non-Density Testable Materials (e.g. Stone Embankment Materials, etc.) Materials not amenable to density testing should be placed and compacted to a stable condition under full-time observation by the Geotechnical Engineer or representative in general accordance with ODOT SSC Section 00300.43 (c).

Structural Fill

- 1. Maximum density and optimum water content as determined by the modified Proctor test (ASTM D1557).
- 2. High plasticity cohesive fill should not be compacted to more than 100% of standard Proctor maximum dry density.
- 3. If the granular material is a coarse sand or gravel, or of a uniform size, or has a low fines content, compaction comparison to relative density may be more appropriate. In this case, granular materials should be compacted to at least 70% relative density (ASTM D4253 and D4254). Materials not amenable to density testing should be placed and compacted to a stable condition observed by the Geotechnical Engineer or representative.

Dewatering

Perched groundwater was encountered in the planned substation area at the north end of the site. The contractor should be prepared to control areas of localized groundwater seepage in the excavations. Construction activity should be monitored and should be curtailed if the construction activity is causing subgrade disturbance. A Terracon representative can help with monitoring and developing recommendations to aid in limiting subgrade disturbance

We anticipate that dewatering for site preparations as well as subgrade preparations will be necessary for utility trenches and/or perched water within the substation area (depending on the season) for the foundation excavations. We provide the following recommendations for incorporation into the project specifications with respect to dewatering:

- The contractor should be made responsible for designing, permitting, and constructing dewatering system using accepted and professional methods consistent with current industry practice to eliminate water entering the excavation under hydrostatic head from the bottom or sides.
- The dewatering system should be of sufficient size and capacity to prevent ground and surface water flow into the excavation and to allow work to be installed in a dry condition (i.e. no standing water) that maintains stability of the subgrade soils.
- The contractor should be responsible for obtaining discharge permits and designing settling basins, as necessary by permit, for the pumped water and performing water quality testing that may be required by regulatory agencies including but not limited to Oregon Department of Environmental Quality. All data and other submittals required by regulatory agencies shall be submitted to the owner and Civil Engineer.



Utility Trench Backfill

Any soft or unsuitable materials encountered at the bottom of utility trench excavations should be removed and replaced with structural fill or bedding material in accordance with public works specifications for the utility be supported. This recommendation is particularly applicable to utility work requiring grade control and/or in areas where subsequent grade raising could cause settlement in the subgrade supporting the utility. Trench excavation should not be conducted below a downward 1H:1V projection from existing foundations without engineering review of shoring requirements and geotechnical observation during construction.

On-site materials are considered suitable for backfill of utility and pipe trenches from 1 foot above the top of the pipe to the final ground surface, provided the material is free of organic matter and deleterious substances.

Trench backfill should be mechanically placed and compacted as discussed earlier in this report. Compaction of initial lifts should be accomplished with hand-operated tampers or other lightweight compactors. Where trenches are placed beneath slabs or footings, the backfill should satisfy the gradation and expansion index requirements of structural fill discussed in this report. Flooding or jetting for placement and compaction of backfill is not recommended.

For low permeability subgrades, utility trenches are a common source of water infiltration and migration. Utility trenches penetrating beneath the building should be effectively sealed to restrict water intrusion and flow through the trenches, which could migrate below the building. The trench should provide an effective trench plug that extends at least 5 feet from the face of the building exterior. The plug material should consist of cementitious flowable fill or low permeability clay. The trench plug material should be placed to surround the utility line. If used, the clay trench plug material should be placed and compacted to comply with the water content and compaction recommendations for structural fill stated previously in this report.

Grading and Drainage

All grades must provide effective drainage away from the building during and after construction and should be maintained throughout the life of the structure. Water retained next to the building can result in soil movements greater than those discussed in this report. Greater movements can result in unacceptable differential floor slab and/or foundation movements, cracked slabs and walls, and roof leaks. The roof should have gutters/drains with downspouts that discharge onto splash blocks at a distance of at least 10 feet from the building.

Exposed ground should be sloped and maintained at a minimum 5% away from the building for at least 10 feet beyond the perimeter of the building. Locally, flatter grades

Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



may be necessary to transition ADA access requirements for flatwork. After building construction and landscaping have been completed, final grades should be verified to document effective drainage has been achieved. Grades around the structure should also be periodically inspected and adjusted, as necessary, as part of the structure's maintenance program. Where paving or flatwork abuts the structure, a maintenance program should be established to effectively seal and maintain joints and prevent surface water infiltration.

Earthwork Construction Considerations

Shallow excavations for the proposed structure are anticipated to be accomplished with conventional construction equipment. Upon completion of filling and grading, care should be taken to maintain the subgrade water content prior to construction of grade-supported improvements such as floor slabs and pavements. Construction traffic over the completed subgrades should be avoided. The site should also be graded to prevent ponding of surface water on the prepared subgrades or in excavations. Water collecting over or adjacent to construction areas should be removed. If the subgrade freezes, desiccates, saturates, or is disturbed, the affected material should be removed, or the materials should be scarified, moisture conditioned, and recompacted prior to floor slab construction.

As a minimum, excavations should be performed in accordance with OSHA 29 CFR, Part 1926, Subpart P, "Excavations" and its appendices, and in accordance with any applicable local and/or state regulations.

Construction site safety is the sole responsibility of the contractor who controls the means, methods, and sequencing of construction operations. Under no circumstances shall the information provided herein be interpreted to mean Terracon is assuming responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's activities; such responsibility shall neither be implied nor inferred.

Excavations or other activities resulting in ground disturbance have the potential to affect adjoining properties and structures. Our scope of services does not include review of available final grading information or consider potential temporary grading performed by the contractor for potential effects such as ground movement beyond the project limits. A preconstruction/ precondition survey should be conducted to document nearby property/infrastructure prior to any site development activity. Excavation or ground disturbance activities adjacent or near property lines should be monitored or instrumented for potential ground movements that could negatively affect adjoining property and/or structures. Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Construction Observation and Testing

The earthwork efforts should be observed by the Geotechnical Engineer (or others under their direction). Observation should include documentation of adequate removal of surficial materials (vegetation, topsoil, and pavements), evaluation and remediation of existing fill materials, as well as proof rolling and mitigation of unsuitable areas delineated by the proof roll.

Each lift of compacted fill should be tested, evaluated, and reworked, as necessary, as recommended by the Geotechnical Engineer prior to placement of additional lifts. Each lift of fill should be tested for density and water content at a frequency of at least one test for every 2,500 square feet of compacted fill in the building areas and 5,000 square feet in pavement areas. Where not specified by local ordinance, one density and water content test should be performed for every 100 linear feet of compacted utility trench backfill and a minimum of one test performed for every 12 vertical inches of compacted backfill.

In areas of foundation excavations, the bearing subgrade should be evaluated by the Geotechnical Engineer. If unanticipated conditions are observed, the Geotechnical Engineer should prescribe mitigation options.

In addition to the documentation of the essential parameters necessary for construction, the continuation of the Geotechnical Engineer into the construction phase of the project provides the continuity to maintain the Geotechnical Engineer's evaluation of subsurface conditions, including assessing variations and associated design changes.

Seismic Considerations

Seismic Site Class

The seismic design requirements for structures are based on Seismic Design Category. Site Classification is required to determine the Seismic Design Category for a structure. The Site Classification is based on the upper 100 feet of the site profile defined by a weighted average value of either shear wave velocity, standard penetration resistance, or undrained shear strength in accordance with Section 20.4 of ASCE 7-16.

Data Center Building Area Site Class

Two geophysical ReMi arrays were conducted at the site in the area of the proposed data center buildings to measure shear wave velocities within the upper 100 feet of the subsurface materials at the site. The average shear wave velocities were used to determine the corresponding Site Class according to section 20.3 of ASCE 7-16, as summarized in the following table:



Geophysical Array	Average Shear Wave Velocity V₅100 (ft/sec)	Site Class (Table 20.3-1 of ASTM 7-16)
Line 1	2,493	С
Line 2	2,189	С

Table 10: Data Center Building Area Site Class

Based on our geophysical survey, we recommend using a **Seismic Site Classification of C** for preliminary planning of the data center buildings. We recommend Seismic Site Class be revisited during preparation of the final geotechnical report, once grading plans have been developed.

Substation Area Site Class

The substation area lies north of the geophysical lines completed for this project. Some of the soils encountered under the substation area are susceptible to liquefaction (see **Liquefaction** section below). According to ASCE 7-16, the **Seismic Site Classification is F**. Following mitigation of the liquefaction-susceptible materials within the substation, and to account for subsurface variability, we recommend a **Seismic Site Classification of D** be used for structures in the substation area. This classification is based on the SPT blow counts collected in SS-3 and an estimate of the SPT blow counts for the improved soils.

Seismic Design Parameters

The following seismic design parameters may be used for design of the proposed structures:

Description	Value	
Area of Site	Data Center Building Area	Substation Area
2022 Oregon Structural Specialty Code (2022 OSSC) Site Class	С	D1,2
Latitude 45.7115° N		5° N
Site Longitude	119.823	2° W
S_{s} Mapped Spectral Acceleration for Short (0.2 second) Period ³	0.411g	
S_1 Mapped Spectral Acceleration for 1 Second Period ³	0.162g	
Fa Site Coefficient, 0.2 second	1.300	1.471
F_{v} Site Coefficient, 1.0 second	1.500	2.276
Sos	0.356g	0.403g

Table 11: Seismic Design Parameters

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Description	Value	
S _{D1}	0.162g	0.246g

- Seismic site classification in general accordance with the 2022 OSSC, which refers to ASCE 7-16.
- 2. ASCE 7-16 requires a site soil profile extending to a depth of 100 feet be used for seismic site classification. See **Seismic Site Class** section for discussion.
- 3. These values were obtained using online seismic design maps and tools Available on the Applied Technology Council (ATC) website referenced in Section 1613.2.1 of the 2022 OSSC.

Liquefaction

Liquefaction is the phenomenon where saturated soils develop high pore-water pressures during seismic shaking and lose their strength characteristics. This phenomenon generally occurs in areas of high seismicity, where groundwater is shallow and loose granular soils or relatively low- to non-plastic fine-grained soils are present. Soft silts and loose sands were encountered in the substation area in boring SS-3 to depths of about 15 feet bgs. Groundwater was observed in this boring at a depth of about 9½ feet during our field exploration. Our fieldwork took place during the end of the rainy season when groundwater is near its seasonal high, so was estimated to be about 9 feet bgs for this liquefaction evaluation.

We performed a site-specific liquefaction analysis using the methods based on empirical methods developed by Boulanger and Idriss (2014). The peak ground acceleration and moment magnitude used in the analysis were based on the PGA as required by the 2022 OSSC and factored for the site class coefficient. The risk for liquefaction of the non-plastic to low plasticity site soils encountered between the top of the groundwater surface and about 15 feet bgs is high and we estimate liquefaction-induced total settlements of approximately 4 inches could be experienced at the site. We anticipate up to 2/3 of the total settlement (or 2³/₃ inches) could be experienced as differential settlement. It has been our experience that this magnitude of settlement exceeds Umatilla Electric Company's tolerances for turn-key substation pads. Therefore, we have included remediation strategies in this report.

Liquefaction Lateral Movement

Lateral spread can occur on sites underlain by liquefiable soils that are located on or near slopes, and/or adjacent to a free face, such as a stream bank or the shore of an open body of water. The potentially liquefiable materials identified in boring SS-3 are in an area where bedrock was encountered at shallower levels in the surrounding borings, so no free faces were identified for this discontinuous layer. Therefore, it is our opinion that the potential risk for lateral spread from liquefaction is low.



Ground Improvement

As described in the **Geotechnical Overview** section of this report, the site is underlain by up to 15 feet of loose sand soil that is prone to collapse. Structures founded directly on these soils could experience total and differential settlements exceeding structure design tolerances. Therefore, ground improvements are necessary in order to limit unpredictable settlements.

For this site, we recommend complete removal and recompaction of the loose loess soils (GeoModel Layer 2), installation of aggregate piers, or in-place recompaction using Dynamic Compaction (DC) or Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC) to improve site soils. These methods all allow for the proposed structures to be founded on conventional shallow foundations.

Removal and Recompaction

For this mitigation option, the existing loose soils should be removed to expose medium dense to better underlying native soils or bedrock (GeoModel 3, 4, or 5). The exposed subgrade should be proof rolled to identify areas of excessive yielding. After remediation of any identified areas, the surface should be scarified and recompacted prior to placement of additional fill. The excavation should be backfilled with fill placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations presented in the **Earthwork** section above.

Over-excavation for engineered fill placement below footings should extend laterally beyond edges of the footings at least 8 inches per foot of over-excavation depth below footing base elevation. The over-excavation should then be backfilled up to the footing base elevation with engineered fill placed in lifts of 8 inches or less in loose thickness and should be moisture conditioned and compacted following the recommendations in this report. The limits of required overexcavation and engineered fill are shown in the following figure.



Special care must be taken with site soils to maintain proper moisture conditions. Over wetting of soils being placed may result in underlying soils exceeding their optimum moisture condition resulting in "pumping" during earthwork activities. If such conditions occur, over excavation, moisture conditioning, and recompaction may be required.



Aggregate Piers

Aggregate pier construction is accomplished using a rammer attached to a mandrel with a tamper foot that typically penetrates the ground. Depending on the stiffness of the upper soils, sometimes the holes are pre-drilled to facilitate penetration by the mandrel. When the mandrel has achieved design depth, aggregate is placed in lifts with normal heavy-duty construction equipment. Each gravel lift is forced into the surrounding soil, by the tamper, forming an aggregate pier. Aggregate piers are designed and constructed by a qualified design-build contractor based upon type of aggregate pier, replacement area, densification, and settlement criteria. The design-build contractor also develops specifications for construction of the aggregate piers, the diameter and spacing, products to be used, as well as tolerance and acceptance criteria. The planned conventional foundations and floor slabs would then be constructed on top of the aggregate pier/soil matrix.

Based on our experience, we estimate that increased bearing pressures on the order of 4,000 to 6,000 psf could be achieved with an aggregate pier design.

Dynamic Compaction (DC)

Deep Dynamic Compaction is a ground improvement technique where a heavy weight dropped from a height to densify soils. Generally, a 5 to 20-ton tamper weight is repeatedly dropped from heights up to 100 feet above the ground surface in a grid pattern throughout the building pad. Grid spacing depends on the size and weight of the tamper used. This technique can improve soils up to 30 feet below the impact surface, depending on the weight of the tamper and the height from which it is dropped. This technique is well suited for densifying loose surficial soils that are prone to collapse.

Roller Dynamic Compaction is a method of dynamic compaction where a roller is pulled behind tractor type equipment. However, the roller (module) is non-circular having three, four, or five sides. As the module rotates, it imparts energy to the soil as it falls to impact the ground. The module is traversed across the site usually in multiple (greater than 10) full coverage passes.

Based on our experience, we estimate that increased bearing pressures on the order of 4,000 psf or higher could be achieved with a dynamic compaction design.

Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC)

Similar to DDC, Rapid Impact Compaction (RIC) is a ground improvement technique that densifies shallow, loose granular soils, using a hydraulic hammer which repeatedly strikes an impact plate. The energy impact is generally less than DC, but can be applied in shorter timeframes between impacts. The energy is transferred to the underlying loose granular



soils and rearranges the particles into a denser configuration. The impact locations are typically located on a grid pattern, the spacing of which is determined by the subsurface conditions and foundation loading and geometry.

Based on our experience, we estimate that increased bearing pressures on the order of 3,500 to 4,500 psf could be achieved with an RIC densification design.

Design-Build Contractors

We recommend that design build proposals for ground improvement be based on soil conditions noted on the explorations, and the settlement tolerances established by the project structural engineer depending on the final building structural tolerances. We recommend that a qualified design-build contractor be contacted regarding ground improvement design and installation for this project to determine relative costs. The contractor will require the subsurface information presented in this report to formulate a scope and budget for the improvements.

We recommend that the design-build contractor's design be based on the following minimum criteria:

- Static Settlement within and below improved zone < 1 inch
- Achieve an allowable bearing capacity of 3,500 psf or more, depending on the structural requirements

We anticipate the ground improvement elements will extend to depths of about 10 feet across the site. The actual depth of the ground improvement elements will be a function of the settlement and lateral spread tolerances of the proposed structure while maintaining life-safety.

Geotechnical Review

Design of a ground improvement system requires a thorough understanding of site subsurface conditions. Furthermore, ground improvement design is somewhat approximate and often involves an evaluation of project risks and benefits relative to the extent of the improvement. We recommend that Terracon be retained to assist the design-build contractor in the preparation of suitable improvement plans and specifications for this project. Terracon should also be retained to review the plans, calculations, and specifications once they have been prepared.

Because ground improvement is considered a specialty type construction, it is our opinion that geotechnical special inspection during the construction should be performed by personnel experienced in the construction methods. Therefore, we recommend that a qualified geotechnical engineer provide construction observation and testing services during the ground improvement process.



Shallow Foundations

If the site has been prepared in accordance with the requirements noted in the Earthwork section, the following design parameters are applicable for shallow foundations.

Design Parameters – Compressive Loads

Item	Description	
Maximum Net Allowable Bearing Pressure ^{1, 2}	 Bearing Condition 1: 3,500 psf (foundations bearing within new structural fill) Bearing Condition 2: 3,500 psf or greater, depending on selected design (foundations bearing on soils densified using aggregate piers, DC, or RIC) 	
Required Bearing Stratum ³	 Bearing Condition 1: Foundation subgrades overexcavated to medium dense or better GeoModel Layer 3 - cemented loess and backfilled with structural fill. Bearing Condition 2: Soils improved per the Ground Improvement section of this report. 	
Minimum Foundation Dimensions	Columns: 30 inchesContinuous: 18 inches	
Ultimate Passive Resistance ⁴ (equivalent fluid pressures)	400 pcf (improved soils)	
Ultimate Sliding Resistance ⁵	0.6 (improved soils)	
Minimum Embedment below Finished Grade ⁶	 Exterior footings in unheated areas: 24 inches Exterior footings in heated areas: 24 inches Interior footings in heated areas: 18 inches 	
Estimated Total Settlement from Structural Loads ²	Less than about 1 inch	
Estimated Differential Settlement ^{2, 7}	About 2/3 of total settlement between columns and 50 ft along continuous footings	

Table 12: Shallow Foundation Design Parameters

ng continuous rootings

- 1. The maximum net allowable bearing pressure is the pressure in excess of the minimum surrounding overburden pressure at the footing base elevation. Values assume that exterior grades are no steeper than 20% within 10 feet of structure. These values can be increased by 1/3 for short-term wind and seismic loading condition cases.
- 2. Values provided are for maximum loads noted in Project Description. Additional geotechnical consultation will be necessary if higher loads are anticipated.
- 3. Unsuitable or soft soils should be overexcavated and replaced per the recommendations presented in the Earthwork section.
- 4. Use of passive earth pressures require the sides of the excavation for the spread footing foundation to be nearly vertical and the concrete placed neat against these vertical faces

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Item

Description

or that the footing forms be removed and compacted structural fill be placed against the vertical footing face. Assumes no hydrostatic pressure.

- 5. Can be used to compute sliding resistance where foundations are placed on suitable soil/materials. Frictional resistance for granular materials is dependent on the bearing pressure which may vary due to load combinations. For fine-grained materials, lateral resistance using cohesion should not exceed ½ the dead load.
- 6. Embedment necessary to minimize the effects of frost and/or seasonal water content variations. For sloping ground, maintain depth below the lowest adjacent exterior grade within 5 horizontal feet of the structure.
- 7. Differential settlements are noted for equivalent-loaded foundations and bearing elevation as measured over a span of 50 feet.

Footing Drains

A perforated rigid plastic drain line installed at the base of footings along the perimeter of the structures. The invert of a drain line around a building area or exterior retaining wall should be placed near foundation bearing level. The drain line should be sloped to provide positive gravity drainage to daylight or to a sump pit and pump. The drain line should be surrounded by clean, free-draining granular material meeting the specifications for Select Fill as defined in the **Fill Material Types** section. The free-draining aggregate should be encapsulated in a filter fabric. The granular fill should extend to within 2 feet of final grade, where it should be capped with compacted native material to reduce infiltration of surface water into the drain system.

Foundation Construction Considerations

As noted in the **Earthwork** section, the footing excavations should be evaluated under the observation of the Geotechnical Engineer. The base of all foundation excavations should be free of water and loose soil, prior to placing concrete. Concrete should be placed soon after excavating to reduce bearing soil disturbance. Care should be taken to prevent wetting or drying of the bearing materials during construction. Excessively wet or dry material or any loose/disturbed material in the bottom of the footing excavations should be removed/reconditioned before foundation concrete is placed.

If unsuitable bearing soils are observed at the base of the planned footing excavation, the excavation should be extended deeper to suitable soils, and the footings could bear directly on these soils at the lower level or on lean concrete backfill placed in the excavations. The lean concrete replacement zone is illustrated on the sketch below.




Diagram 1: Over Excavation Backfill with Lean Concrete

Over excavation for structural fill placement below footings should be conducted as shown below. The over excavation should be backfilled up to the footing base elevation, with Select Fill placed and compacted as recommended in the **Earthwork** section.





Diagram 2: Over Excavation Backfill with Structural Fill

Floor Slabs

Design parameters for floor slabs assume the requirements in the **Earthwork** and **Ground Improvement** sections have been followed. Specific attention should be given to positive drainage away from the structure and positive drainage of the aggregate base beneath the floor slab.

Floor Slab Design Parameters

Item	Description
Floor Slab Support ¹	A minimum of 6 inches of CAB compacted to at least 95% of the maximum dry density determined by ASTM D1557 Subgrade compacted to recommendations in the Earthwork and Ground Improvement sections.
Estimated Modulus of Subgrade Reaction ²	175 pounds per square inch per inch (psi/in) for point loads
 Floor slabs should 	d be structurally independent of building footings or walls to reduce the

Table 13: Floor Slab Design Parameters

 Floor slabs should be structurally independent of building footings or walls to reduce the possibility of floor slab cracking caused by differential movements between the slab and foundation.



Item

Description

 Modulus of subgrade reaction is an estimated value based upon our experience with the subgrade condition, the requirements noted in the Earthwork section, and the floor slab support as noted in this table. It is provided for point loads. For large area loads the modulus of subgrade reaction would be lower.

The use of a vapor retarder should be considered beneath concrete slabs on grade covered with wood, tile, carpet, or other moisture sensitive or impervious coverings, when the project includes humidity-controlled areas, or when the slab will support equipment sensitive to moisture. When conditions warrant the use of a vapor retarder, the slab designer should refer to ACI 302 and/or ACI 360 for procedures and cautions regarding the use and placement of a vapor retarder.

Saw-cut contraction joints should be placed in the slab to help control the location and extent of cracking. For additional recommendations, refer to the ACI Design Manual. Joints or cracks should be sealed with a waterproof, non-extruding compressible compound specifically recommended for heavy duty concrete pavement and wet environments.

Where floor slabs are tied to perimeter walls or turn-down slabs to meet structural or other construction objectives, our experience indicates differential movement between the walls and slabs will likely be observed in adjacent slab expansion joints or floor slab cracks beyond the length of the structural dowels. The Structural Engineer should account for potential differential settlement through use of sufficient control joints, appropriate reinforcing or other means.

Settlement of floor slabs supported on existing fill materials cannot be accurately predicted but could be larger than normal and result in some cracking. Mitigation measures, as noted in the **Earthwork** section, are critical to the performance of floor slabs. In addition to the mitigation measures, the floor slab can be stiffened by adding steel reinforcement, grade beams, and/or post-tensioned elements.

Floor Slab Construction Considerations

Finished subgrade, within and for at least 10 feet beyond the floor slab, should be protected from traffic, rutting, or other disturbance and maintained in a relatively moist condition until floor slabs are constructed. If the subgrade should become damaged or desiccated prior to construction of floor slabs, the affected material should be removed, and structural fill should be added to replace the resulting excavation. Final conditioning of the finished subgrade should be performed immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course.

The Geotechnical Engineer should observe the condition of the floor slab subgrades immediately prior to placement of the floor slab support course, reinforcing steel, and



concrete. Attention should be paid to high traffic areas that were rutted and disturbed earlier, and to areas where backfilled trenches are located.

Mat Foundations - Tanks

Based on the existing topography, we anticipate the water tank will be constructed on up to about 15 feet of structural fill. Our explorations indicate the tank area is underlain by 2 to 3 feet of soft loess materials. These materials should be removed and replaced with engineered fill within the area of the proposed tank prior to placement of new structural fill. New structural fill should be placed and compacted in accordance with the recommendations in the **Earthwork** section of this report. A preliminary allowable bearing pressure of 3,500 psf is recommended for use in the design of the mat foundation. Final design bearing pressure and settlement calculations should be revisited once grading plans have been developed.

Deep Foundations – Substation Elements

Recommendations for support for substation elements using deep foundations will be developed once a grading plan is available for the site.

Lateral Earth Pressures

Design Parameters

Structures with unbalanced backfill levels on opposite sides should be designed for earth pressures at least equal to values indicated in the following table. Earth pressures will be influenced by structural design of the walls, conditions of wall restraint, methods of construction, and/or compaction and the strength of the materials being restrained. Two wall restraint conditions are shown in the diagram below. Active earth pressure is commonly used for design of free-standing cantilever retaining walls and assumes wall movement. The "at-rest" condition assumes no wall movement and is commonly used for basement walls, loading dock walls, or other walls restrained at the top. The recommended design lateral earth pressures do not include a factor of safety and do not provide for possible hydrostatic pressure on the walls (unless stated).





Diagram 3: Retaining Wall Restraint Conditions

 Table 14: Lateral Earth Pressure Design Parameters

Earth Pressure	Coefficient for	Surcharge Pressure ³	Equivalent Fluid Pressures (psf) ^{2,4}
Condition ¹	васктії туре	p₁ (psf)	Unsaturated ⁵
Active (Ka)	Granular - 0.31	(0.31)S	(34)H
At-Rest (Ko)	Granular - 0.47	(0.47)S	(55)H

- 1. For active earth pressure, wall must rotate about base, with top lateral movements 0.002 H to 0.004 H, where H is wall height. For passive earth pressure, wall must move horizontally to mobilize resistance. Fat clay or other expansive soils should not be used as backfill behind the wall.
- 2. Uniform, horizontal backfill, with a maximum unit weight of 125 pcf.
- 3. Uniform surcharge, where S is surcharge pressure.
- 4. Loading from heavy compaction equipment is not included.
- To achieve "Unsaturated" conditions, follow guidelines in the Subsurface Drainage for Below-Grade Walls section. "Submerged" conditions are recommended when drainage behind walls is not incorporated into the design.

Backfill placed against structures should consist of granular soils or low plasticity cohesive soils. For the granular values to be valid, the granular backfill must extend out and up from the base of the wall at an angle of at least 45 degrees from vertical for the active case.

Footings, floor slabs or other loads bearing on backfill behind walls may have a significant influence on the lateral earth pressure. Placing footings within wall backfill and in the zone



of active soil influence on the wall should be avoided unless structural analyses indicate the wall can safely withstand the increased pressure.

Subsurface Drainage for Below-Grade Walls

A perforated rigid plastic drain line installed behind the base of walls and extends below adjacent grade is recommended to prevent hydrostatic loading on the walls. The invert of a drain line around a below-grade building area or exterior retaining wall should be placed near foundation bearing level. The drain line should be sloped to provide positive gravity drainage to daylight or to a sump pit and pump. The drain line should be surrounded by clean, free-draining granular material having less than 5% passing the No. 200 sieve, such as No. 57 aggregate. The free-draining aggregate should be encapsulated in a filter fabric. The granular fill should be a minimum of 12 inches in thickness and extend to within 2 feet of final grade, where it should be capped with compacted cohesive fill to reduce infiltration of surface water into the drain system.



Diagram 4: Retaining Wall Backfill and Drain Placement

As an alternative to free-draining granular fill, a prefabricated drainage structure may be used. A prefabricated drainage structure is a plastic drainage core or mesh which is covered with filter fabric to prevent soil intrusion and is fastened to the wall prior to placing backfill.



Pavements

General Pavement Comments

Pavement designs are provided for the traffic conditions and pavement life conditions as noted in **Project Description** and in the following sections of this report. A critical aspect of pavement performance is site preparation. Pavement designs noted in this section must be applied to the site which has been prepared as recommended in the **Earthwork** section.

Pavement Design Parameters

Design of Asphaltic Concrete (AC) pavements are based on the procedures outlined in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), 1993. Design of Portland Cement Concrete (PCC) pavements are based upon American Concrete Institute (ACI) 330; Guide for Design and Construction of Concrete Parking Lots.

Based on laboratory California Bearing Ratio (CBR) testing, a subgrade CBR of 10 was used for the AC pavement designs, and a modulus of subgrade reaction (k) of 200 pci was used for the PCC pavement designs. The values are based on achieving a 95 percent compaction of a Modified Proctor effort (ASTM D1557) as prescribed by the **Site Preparation** section. A modulus of rupture of 580 psi was used for pavement concrete.

Pavement Section Thicknesses

The following table provides our opinion of minimum thickness for AC sections:

Laver	Thickness (inches)
·	Anticipated Traffic Loading ¹
AC _{2,3}	3
Aggregate Base	6

Table 15: Asphaltic Concrete Design

- 1. See the **Project Description** section for more specifics regarding traffic assumptions.
- 2. All materials should meet the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) Standard Specifications for Construction (2018).
 - Asphaltic Surface ODOT Type A Asphaltic Cement Concrete: Section 00744
 - Asphaltic Base ODOT Type B Asphaltic Cement Concrete, Class I: Section 00745
- 3. A minimum 1.5-inch surface course should be used on ACC pavements.
- 4. Minimum of 12-inches of subgrade should be scarified and compacted to 95% of a Modified Proctor effort (ASTM D1557).



The following table provides our estimated minimum thickness of PCC pavements.

Table 16: Portland Cement Concrete Design

Laver	Thickness (inches)							
	Anticipated Traffic Loading ¹							
PCC ²	5							
Aggregate Base	4							

- 1. See the **Project Description** section for more specifics regarding traffic classifications.
- 2. All materials should meet the current State Department of Transportation ODOT) Standard Specifications for Highway and Construction (2018).
 - Concrete Pavement ODOT Portland Cement Concrete Type C: Section 00756
- 3. In areas of anticipated heavy traffic, fire trucks, delivery trucks, or concentrated loads (e.g. dumpster pads), and areas with repeated turning or maneuvering of heavy vehicles.
- 4. Subgrade should be scarified and compacted to 95% of a Modified Proctor effort (ASTM D1557).

We anticipate that typical fire departments require that the proposed pavement sections at the site will support a fire emergency truck once the store is constructed. Typically, we estimate total fire-truck load of 80,000 pounds for a three axle (single tire) truck. Based on these assumptions and the proposed loading conditions, we computed the ultimate bearing capacity of the pavement sections relative to a 20 percent increase of the factored load of the fire truck. From a bearing failure standpoint, the pavements are estimated to be adequate for support of the fire truck vehicle (with a factor of safety of 1½). Pavement areas may experience some localized cracking from these large fire truck vehicle loads but are not expected to experience bearing failure of the pavement section. Based on this analysis, it is our opinion that pavement will provide adequate support for the temporary use of an emergency fire truck at the site.

Pavement Maintenance

The pavement sections represent minimum recommended thicknesses and, as such, periodic upkeep should be anticipated. Preventive maintenance should be planned and provided for through an on-going pavement management program. Maintenance activities are intended to slow the rate of pavement deterioration and to preserve the pavement investment. Pavement care consists of both localized (e.g., crack and joint sealing and patching) and global maintenance (e.g., surface sealing). Additional engineering consultation is recommended to determine the type and extent of a cost -effective program. Even with periodic maintenance, some movements and related cracking may still occur, and repairs may be required.



Pavement performance is affected by its surroundings. In addition to providing preventive maintenance, the civil engineer should consider the following recommendations in the design and layout of pavements:

- Final grade adjacent to paved areas should slope down from the edges at a minimum 2% slope.
- Subgrade and pavement surfaces should have a minimum 2% slope to promote proper surface drainage.
- Install pavement drainage systems surrounding areas anticipated for frequent wetting.
- Install joint sealant and seal cracks immediately.
- Seal all landscaped areas in or adjacent to pavements to reduce moisture migration to subgrade soils.
- Place compacted, low permeability backfill against the exterior side of curb and gutter.
- Place curb, gutter and/or sidewalk directly on clay subgrade soils rather than on unbound granular base course materials.

Stormwater Management

The infiltration tests were performed in general accordance with the Falling Head Infiltration Test method as described in Chapter 3 of the 1980 EPA Onsite Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Systems Design Manual (1980 EPA).

The tests were conducted in 6-inch inner diameter PVC pipes placed into holes excavated using a backhoe by our excavation subcontractor, Dan Fischer Excavating. PVC pipes were pushed approximately 3 inches into the soils at the infiltration test depth to create a seal with the surrounding soils, and a thin layer of open-graded gravel was placed in the bottom of the pipe to prevent scouring.

The test pipes were filled with 12 inches of water, and the soils were allowed to soak for 4 hours in accordance with the test method. After the soaking period, we adjusted the water level so that there was approximately 6 inches of water in the pipe, and the drop in water level was recorded at 30-minute intervals. Measurements were taken with a water level meter and recorded to the nearest 1/8 of an inch. Water was added to maintain the 6-inch head after each measurement. Soil samples were collected at the infiltration test depths following completion of the testing for laboratory analysis.

INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS										
Test	Test Depth	GeoModel	Soil Classification	Infiltration						
Location	(feet)	Layer	Son classification	Rate (in/hr)						
IT-1	3	2	Silty Sand (SM)	1.8						



Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

	INFILTRATION TEST RESULTS												
Test Location	Test Depth (feet)	GeoModel Laver	Soil Classification	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)									
IT-2	1/2	2	Silty Sand (SM)	5.9									
IT-3	5	2	Silty Sand (SM)	9.4									
IT-5	1/2	2	Silty Sand (SM)	6.1									

Based on our field test results, we recommend using the lowest measured rates expressed above for the stormwater facility. The measured rates should be reduced with the code prescribed correction factors. The long-term infiltration rates will depend on many factors, and can be reduced if the following conditions are present:

- Variability of site soils,
- Fine layering of soils, or
- Maintenance and pre-treatment of the influent

Subsurface Variations

Variations in subsurface conditions and the presence of fine layering can affect the infiltration rate of the receptor soils. Variable fines contents were noted in the near surface sand soils. These mixtures can impede vertical infiltration of stormwater. Due to the low in situ infiltration rates of near surface soils, we recommend the design and construction of an infiltration facility large enough to facilitate the appropriate average design rainfall event.

Construction Considerations

The infiltration rate of the receptor soils will be reduced in the event that fine sediment or organic materials are allowed to accumulate on the exposed soil surface. Use of an infiltration facility as a temporary construction phase sedimentation pond is not recommended. If site conditions are such that this cannot be avoided, it will likely be necessary to excavate the soils below the infiltration facility bottom that have been contaminated with sediment, organic materials, or other deleterious materials that may reduce the permeability of the receptor soils, prior to operation of the facility for infiltration purposes. Additional field infiltration testing may be necessary in order to verify that the restoration activity has been successful and that the infiltration rate of the receptor soils is consistent with that considered in the design.

Operation of heavy equipment may densify the receptor soils below the infiltration facility. The soils exposed in the bottom of the infiltration facility should not be compacted. It may be necessary to scarify the infiltration facility subgrade to facilitate infiltration.



Maintenance of Facilities

Satisfactory long-term performance of an infiltration facility will require some degree of maintenance. Accumulations of sediment, organic materials, or other material that serves to mask the receptor soils or reduce their permeability should be removed on a regular basis. As part of the maintenance program, the contractor should be required to dispose of the fines at an approved facility in accordance with applicable regulation.

General Comments

Our analysis and opinions are based upon our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area, and the data obtained from our site exploration. Variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction. Terracon should be retained as the Geotechnical Engineer, where noted in this report, to provide observation and testing services during pertinent construction phases. If variations appear, we can provide further evaluation and supplemental recommendations. If variations are noted in the absence of our observation and testing services on-site, we should be immediately notified so that we can provide evaluation and supplemental recommendations.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices with no third-party beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our client. Reliance upon the services and any work product is limited to our client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made.

Site characteristics as provided are for design purposes and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly effect excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety and cost estimating including excavation support and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. Construction



and site development have the potential to affect adjacent properties. Such impacts can include damages due to vibration, modification of groundwater/surface water flow during construction, foundation movement due to undermining or subsidence from excavation, as well as noise or air quality concerns. Evaluation of these items on nearby properties are commonly associated with contractor means and methods and are not addressed in this report. The owner and contractor should consider a preconstruction/precondition survey of surrounding development. If changes in the nature, design, or location of the project are planned, our conclusions and recommendations shall not be considered valid unless we review the changes and either verify or modify our conclusions in writing.

Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Figures

Contents:

Site Location Plan Exploration Plan Topographic Plan Section A-A' Section B-B' Section C-C' Section D-D'

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials

Exhibit 17 Page 49 of 146 **Geotechnical Engineering Report** Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Site Location



Facilities | Environment **Exhibit 1.7** | Materials Page 50 of 146

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Exploration Plan





Facilities | Environment Kithin 171 | Materials Page 51 of 146

Geotechnical Engineering Report Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Topographic Plan





Facilities | Environmenta Page 52 of 146

Subsurface Profile

SECTION A-A'





A - Northwest



700 NE 55th Ave Portland, OR

A' - Southeast ⊳

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Page 53 of 146



Subsurface Profile









700 NE 55th Ave Portland, OR

B' - Southeast 🄛

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Page 54 of 146



Subsurface Profile

SECTION C-C'



Borehole Lithology

Termination Type

Borehole

AR BT

Water Level Reading

after drilling.



700 NE 55th Ave Portland, OR

C' - Northeast 🏓

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Page 55 of 146



Subsurface Profile

SECTION D-D'







700 NE 55th Ave Portland, OR

D' - Northeast ≽

Facilities | Environmental | Exhibit 17 Page 56 of 146 **Geotechnical Engineering Report** Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Attachments

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials

Exhibit 17 Page 57 of 146





Exploration and Testing Procedures

Field Exploration

Exploration	Exploration	Approximate	Loca	tion
Number	Туре	Exploration Depth (feet)	Latitude	Longitude
DC-1	Drilled Boring	10.1	45.7137°N	119.8284°W
DC-2	Drilled Boring	7.7	45.7130°N	119.8286°W
DC-3	Drilled Boring	20	45.7130°N	119.8276°W
DC-4	Drilled Boring	9.1	45.7123°N	119.8280°W
DC-5	Drilled Boring	22.7	45.7128°N	119.8270°W
DC-6	Drilled Boring	22.6	45.7116°N	119.8273°W
DC-7	Drilled Boring	17.7	45.7111°N	119.8259°W
DC-8	Drilled Boring	12.6	45.3103°N	119.8261°W
DC-9	Drilled Boring	20.2	45.7102°N	119.8251°W
DC-10	Drilled Boring	12.1	45.7095°N	119.8253°W
DC-11	Drilled Boring	13.3	45.7095°N	119.8244°W
DC-12	Drilled Boring	14.1	45.7086°N	119.8244°W
DC-13	Drilled Boring	7.6	45.7142°N	119.8264°W
DC-14	Drilled Boring	22.6	45.7134°N	119.8264°W
DC-15	Drilled Boring	14	45.7133°N	119.8260°W
DC-16	Drilled Boring	9.8	45.7126°N	119.8257°W
DC-17	Drilled Boring	6.1	45.7125°N	119.8248°W
DC-18	Drilled Boring	10.2	45.7118°N	119.9250°W
DC-19	Drilled Boring	10.3	45.7112°N	119.8236°W
DC-20	Drilled Boring	10.3	45.7106°N	119.8238°W
DC-21	Drilled Boring	19	45.7104°N	119.8228°W
DC-22	Drilled Boring	16.6	45.7097°N	119.8231°W
DC-23	Drilled Boring	11.6	45.7096°N	119.8221°W
DC-24	Drilled Boring	16.1	45.7088°N	119.8220°W
GS-1	Drilled Boring	11.1	45.7140°N	119.8294°W
SS-1	Drilled Boring	16.5	45.7153°N	119.8291°W
SS-2	Drilled Boring	10.2	45.7146°N	119.8304°W

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Exploration	Exploration	Approximate	Location				
Number	Туре	Exploration Depth (feet)	Latitude	Longitude			
SS-3	Drilled Boring	61.5	45.7146°N	119.8292°W			
TP-1	Test Pit	10	45.7151°N	119.8290°W			
TP-2	Test Pit	5.5	45.7137°N	119.8300°W			
TP-3	Test Pit	6	45.7132°N	119.8290°W			
TP-4	Test Pit	10	45.7146°N	119.8260°W			
TP-5	Test Pit	9	45.7120°N	119.8280°W			
TP-6	Test Pit	10	45.7113°N	119.8260°W			
TP-7	Test Pit	4.5	45.7114°N	119.8240°W			
TP-8	Test Pit	Test Pit 5		119.8230°W			
TP-9	Test Pit	10.5	45.7096°N	119.8260°W			
TP-10	Test Pit	5.5	45.7090°N	119.8230°W			
TP-11	Test Pit	6	45.7091°N	119.8210°W			
TP-12	Test Pit	6	45.7082°N	119.8250°W			
IT-1	Test Pit	7	45.7146°N	119.8270°W			
IT-2	Test Pit	4.5	45.7149°N	119.8250°W			
IT-3	Test Pit	9	45.7114°N	119.8210°W			
IT-4	Test Pit	2.5	45.7089°N	119.8290°W			
IT-5	Test Pit	5.5	45.7077°N	119.8210°W			

Boring Layout and Elevations: Terracon personnel provided the boring layout using handheld GPS equipment (estimated horizontal accuracy of about ± 10 feet) and referencing existing site features. Approximate ground surface elevations were obtained by interpolation from the topographic survey. If elevations and a more precise boring layout are desired, we recommend borings be surveyed.

Subsurface Exploration Procedures: We advanced the borings with a track-mounted rotary drill rig using continuous flight augers (solid stem and/or hollow stem, as necessary, depending on soil conditions). Four samples were obtained in the upper 10 feet of each boring and at intervals of 5 feet thereafter. In the thin-walled tube sampling procedure, a thin-walled, seamless steel tube with a sharp cutting edge was pushed hydraulically into the soil to obtain a relatively undisturbed sample. In the split-barrel sampling procedure,

a standard 2-inch outer diameter split-barrel sampling spoon was driven into the ground by a 140-pound automatic hammer falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of blows required to advance the sampling spoon the last 12 inches of a normal 18-inch penetration



is recorded as the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance value. The SPT resistance values, also referred to as N-values, are indicated on the boring logs at the test depths.

Test Pits Explorations: A geologist logged test pits and collected Thin-walled tube and grab soil samples. The test pits were excavated using a tracked excavator under subcontract to our firm. The test pits areas were backfilled with the excavated materials and tamped with the backhoe bucket as it was placed.

Infiltration Testing: Four infiltration tests were conducted within the stormwater management areas at the site. The tests were conducted in general accordance with the 1980 EPA Encased Falling Head test method. Details of the testing are presented in the **Stormwater Management** section of this report. Results of the infiltration testing are presented in the **Exploration Results**.

Exploration Logging: All explorations were supervised and logged by a field engineering technician who recorded field test data, classified soils, and collected the samples from the explorations. Our exploration team prepared field boring logs as part of standard drilling operations including sampling depths, penetration distances, and other relevant sampling information. Field logs include visual classifications of materials encountered during drilling, and our interpretation of subsurface conditions between samples. Final boring logs, prepared from field logs, represent the geotechnical engineer's interpretation, and include modifications based on observations and laboratory tests.

Property Disturbance: We backfilled borings according to local jurisdiction requirements after completion of each exploration. Pavements were patched with cold-mix asphalt and/or ready mixed concrete, as appropriate. Our services did not include repair of the site beyond backfilling our boreholes and cold patching existing pavements. Excess auger cuttings were dispersed in the general vicinity of each borehole. Since backfill material often settles below the surface after a period, we recommend boreholes be checked periodically and additional backfill added, if necessary.

Geophysical Exploration Methods

P-Wave: A seismic refraction system consisting of a Geometrics Geode seismograph in a linear array of 24 4.5Hz geophones was utilized to perform two seismic surveys using the P-wave Refraction method. To create the seismic signal (source), we struck a metal plate placed on the ground surface with an instrumented sledgehammer at multiple locations along each array. The first-arrival travel times of compression waves (p-waves), produced by the source, are recorded on a laptop using SeisModule Controller software, and the field results were analyzed in our office.

Using Geometrics Seisimager software package and Rayfract software, the first-arrival travel times are identified, and the survey geometry entered to perform forward modeling using Wavepath Eikonal Traveltime (WET) tomography. This algorithm helps determine the compressive wave velocity model for a corresponding depth and resolution from



multiple signal paths produced by each first break. The resulting model provides a p-wave velocity depth profile of the possible depth-to-rock from the ground surface.

S-Wave: Geophysical testing was performed along two arrays (Line 1 and Line 2) representative of the subsurface conditions encountered at the project site. Terracon used a Geometrics Geode Exploration Seismograph and a linear array of 24 geophones to collect seismic refraction data. The profile was collected using the Multichannel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) method.

Passive MASW was performed by recording ambient seismic "noise." Active MASW was performed by recording surface waves generated by a vertical impact seismic source such as a sledgehammer striking a plate on the ground surface. The resulting seismic energy was recorded using the Geometrics SeisModule Controller software software. For each active MASW survey, the shot point was produced at the end of the line because the 1-Dimensional (1D) models are defined as being beneath the center of the geophone array. The recorded data was processed using the computer program SurfSeis, published by the Kansas Geological Survey. This program extracts the fundamental-mode surface waves from the shot gathers to form dispersion curve(s). These curves are inverted and modeled to yield a 1D shear-wave velocity versus depth (profile) for the line, as shown on the Shear Wave Velocity Results in the **Exploration Results**.

Laboratory Testing

The project engineer reviewed the field data and assigned laboratory tests. The laboratory testing program included the following types of tests:

- Moisture Content
- Dry Unit Weight
- Unconfined Compression
- Atterberg Limits
- Thermal Resistivity Testing
- California Bearing Ratio Testing
- Moisture-Density Relationship (Proctor) Testing
- Hydrometer Testing
- Collapse Testing

The laboratory testing program often included examination of soil samples by an engineer. Based on the results of our field and laboratory programs, we described and classified the soil samples in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System.

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Photography Log



Photo 2 - Example of bentonite-backfilled borehole

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118





Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 63 of 146

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118





Photo 5 - Test pit location



Exploration and Laboratory Results

Contents:

Boring Logs (DC-1 through DC-24) Test Pit Logs (TP-1 through TP-12, IT-1 through IT-5) Field Electrical Resistivity (2 pages) Geophysical Survey Results (4 pages) Infiltration Test Results (4 pages) Atterberg Limits Grain Size Distribution Moisture Density Relationship (6 pages) Collapse Test Results (4 pages) Corrosion Test Results Thermal Resistivity (4 pages) CBR Test Results Unconfined Rock Compressive Strength

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials

Exhibit 17 Page 65 of 146



Boring Log No. DC-01

Ę		ص Loca	tion: See Exploration Plan	anthol (Ch.)	evel evel	Observati ons	Sampl eType	Reco	In.)		Atterberg	
Mode		의 일 Latit	ude: 45.7137° Longitude: -119.8284°									nes rcent
Layer		Grap									LL-PL-PI	Fi Per
1	X1 %.	Dept	h (Ft.) Elevation.: 595 (Ft. TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, <u>594</u> .) 57								
			very loose, rootlets SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown with white, moist,	Ţ.	_		X	18	0-1-1 N=2	8.3		
2			very loose, trace fine rootlets to $\sim 1\frac{1}{2}$ feet bgs		_							
			medium dense, weak cementation		_			4	3-4-8			26
		4.0	5	<u>91</u> .		Z		4	N=12	1.1		26
3			SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, moist, very dense, strong cementation									
	R - X-	<u>5.4</u>	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	5 <u>8</u> 5		2	\times	5	50/5"	10.6 6.7		_25_
	K Z	<u> </u>	weathered, Strong rock									
5	R£	×				>	-	1 /	50/1"	<u>5.6</u>		
Ū	KŻ											
	BZ				1							
	\mathbb{H}	10.1	584 Suger Refusal at 10.1 Feet	<mark>9</mark> 10				2	50/1"	4.9		
See use	Explo d and	ration a additio	nd Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures V nal data (If any). G	/ater Le roundwa	e vel (ater r	Obse not er	erva ncou	ations untere	s ed		Drill Rig CME 55-Track	
See	Supp	orting I	nformation for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ Automatic	e
											Driller Western State	25
Elev	ation	Refere	nce: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4 inch II	nent D Ho	t Met	Ster	a n Aug	ger		Logged by	-
			۵	bandon	men	t Me	etho	d			Boring Start	ed

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-14-2023 Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environ Page 66 of 1446 laterials



Boring Log No. DC-02

<u>ـ</u>	2,	Location: See Exploration Plan	lepth(Ft.)	Water Level Observati	Sampl EType	Reco			Atterberg	
Laye	ihq	Latitude: 45.7131° Longitude: -119.8286°							2	rent
lodel	Grap								LL-PL-PI	Fir Pen
2		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 601 (F	t.)							
1		0.2 TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,	.83		X	16	1-0-1	9.9		
2		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, very loose, weak cementation, trace fine rootlets to ~1½ feet	-	1	\square		N=1			
		2.0 bgs	<u>599</u> _	-						
3		3.1 dry, very dense 597	.92 -	-	Ж	8	47-50/2"	2.1		
	\bigotimes	slightly weathered, strong rock								
	\bigotimes				-					
5	XX		5		_	_1/	50/1"	4.9 /		
	X		-	-						
	KX		-	-						
	523	7.7 59 Auger Refusal at 7.7 Feet	3.3		\sim	1	50/2"	5.8		
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water Le	vel Ob	serv	ations	5 ed		Drill Rig	
See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groundwa		. enco	antere	24		Hammer Typ	be
									Automatic	
Not	es		Advancen	nent N	letho	d			Western State	es
Elev	ation	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4% inch IE	Hollo	w Ste	m Aug	jer'		Logged by D. Dunn	

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-14-2023

Boring Started 03-14-2023

g backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environ Page 67 66 146 laterials

Boring Log No. DC-03



<u></u>	Graph KLog	Location: See Exploration Plan	TOLDIA	d d tev	Sa Peropertition Salarita					Atterberg Limits	Percenti nes
Mode		Latitude: 45.7130° Longitude: -119.8277°							Ī		
ayerl										LL-PL-PI	
1		Denth (Ft.) Elevation : 598 (Ft									
1		0.2/TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, brown, moist, rootlets	.9 .83								
2		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist	-	1		23		9.1			
2			_	1							
		2.5 59 SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,	5.5		\times	4	50/4"	13.7			
		moist, very dense, with fractured basalt fragments.	-	1			,				
			-	+							
			5-								
					\succ	6	50/6"	11.3			27
3			-	1							
			-	+							
			_		\sim	_2_/	50/2"	5.7			
			-	1							
		10.0 BASALT, gray, dry, fine-grained, extremely fractured.	588 10_	+	\sim	1	50/2"	A 7.1			
	RR	slightly weathered, medium strong	_								
	ŔŔ					21	RQD = 0%				
	KX-		-	1				-			
	ŔŔ		-	+							
	×	-	_								
	ß	-				21	RQD = 0%				
5	XX		15-	1							
	××		-	-							
	KX -										
	R8										
	RR	-	-	1		77	ROD - 0%				
	RA	-	-	-		21	KQD = 0%				
		20.0	₇₈ 20_								
		Boring Terminated at 20 Feet									
See	Explora	tion and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water Lev	vel Ob	serv	ations	s			Drill Rig	
See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groundwal	er not	enco	untere	24			Hammer Typ Automatic	e
										Driller Western State	s
Elev	ation R	eference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	14 inch ID	Hollo	w Ste	m Aug	jer & HQ Core			Logged by D. Dunn	
			handonn	nent l	leth	h				Boring Starte	ed

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Exhibit 17

Facilities | Enviror Page 68 of 146 laterials





Boring Log No. DC-04

_	ç	Docation: See Exploration Plan	lepth(Ft.)	Water Level Observati ons	Sampl eType	Reco	In)		Atterberg	
Vode	- - -	의 일 Latitude: 45.7124° Longitude: -119.8280°	٥						LIIIILS	ies tent
1 iyer∿	4								LL-PL-PI	Fin Perc
La	Ċ	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 604 (Ft.)								
1		0.2 (TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 603.83			\mathbb{N}	10	1-0-1	6.0		
		SILTY SAND (SM), trace gravel, fine grained, brown,	-	+	\wedge	18	N=1	6.8		
2		moist, very loose, trace fine rootlets to ~ 1.92 feet bgs	-	-						
		loose, trace subrounded gravel	_		\backslash		2-2-3			
		4.0 500			$ \mathcal{A} $	18	N=5	8.9		
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,	-	1						
		moist, very dense, subrounded to subangular gravel	5-	+						
3			_	-	X	18	16-21-33 N=54	11.7		
		7.0 597			()					
	3\$	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered medium strong			\sim	2	50/2"	42		
5	3\$		_	1		_ <u>_</u>		<u>,</u>		
	$2 - \Omega$	2 9 1 594.9 594.9	_	<u> </u>	~	1	50/1"	5 .2		
		Auger Refusal at 9.1 Feel								
See	Explo	oration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Wa	ter Lev	el Ob	serva	ations	5		Drill Rig	
use See	d and Supp	additional data (If any). Gro porting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	undwat	er not	enco	untere	ed		CME 55-Track	e e
									Automatic	
Not	es	Adv	ancem	ent M	letho	d			Driller Western Stat	es
Flow	otion	Deference: Elevations were internelated from a tenegraphic site plan 41/4	inch ID	Hollov	N Ste	m Auc	ler		Logged by	

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Started 03-10-2023

Boring Completed 03-10-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environ Page 69-61 146 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-05

		Location: See Evploration Plan	2. 7:) Maritett Sasarvals Sarravals Reco Tin)						Atterberg			
del	Log		ŏ₩.	- • 86					Limits	ج °		
er Mo	phic	Latitude: 45.7123° Longitude: -119.8270°								Fines ercei		
Laye	Graj								LL-PL-PI	- 4		
	<u> </u>	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 602 (Ft.)										
1		0.1/ TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 601.92			$ \vee $	11	1-1-2	0				
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine	-	1	\square	11	N=3	0.9				
		. rootlets to ~1 foot bgs	_	1								
			_	1	X	18	2-3-2	11.7				
			_	1	$\langle \rangle$		N=5					
		•										
		dry medium dense	5-	1			2.4.4	3.4				
			_	ł	X	18	3-4-4 N=8			26		
					$\langle \rangle$							
2			-	1								
			-	-	\mathbb{N}		3-5-7	4.6				
						18	N=12					
			_	1								
			10-	-								
			10		V	18	7-11-11	3.2				
	<u>.</u>	11.3 590.75	. –	1	А	10	N=22	17.8				
		brown, moist, very stiff	_	-				17.0				
				1								
		14.0 588		-								
		grained, subrounded, grayish brown and yellowish brown, very dense	15			5		10.6				
			15	1	\succ		50/5"					
			_	-								
3				1								
			_	+								
			_	1								
		20.0 582 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured slightly	20	-	~	2	50/2"	4.0				
	КX	weathered, medium strong	_0)						
5	KX	4	_]								
	KX	1		-								
	$\mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{T}}$	22.7 579.3 Auger Refusal at 22 7 Feet	•	<u> </u>	>	1	50/2"	5.0				
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Water Level Observations Drill Pig								· · · · ·				
used and additional data (If any). Groundwater not encount					unter	ed		CME 55-Track				
See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ Automatic	e			
									Driller			
Notes Advancemen Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4¼ inch ID Ho						rancement Method inch ID Hollow Stem Auger						

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-16-2023 Exhibit 17

Facilities | Enviror Page 9001146



Boring Log No. DC-06

			spth(atert rel servati	Sampl	Reco	In.)		Cos UN1	Atterberg		
le	6o-	Location: See Exploration Plan	25	\$ 5 81	5 0.0					Limits	-	
ş	ic L	Latitude: 45.7116° Longitude: -119.8273°									tFines	
'er'	hde										ercen	
La)	5 D										~	
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 606 (Ft.										
1		0.2/TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,605.8	3		\mathbb{N}		1 1 1					
		very loose, rootlets	_		IX	14	1-1-1 N=2	6.2			18	
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist,			$\langle \rangle$		11-2					
		loose, trace the footiets to "174 feet bys	-									
				4								
						23		10.0	94			
			-	-								
							_					
		dm.	5-	-					-			
		dry				12	3-3-4	24				
			-	1		15	N=7	5.4				
					()							
		7 5 508		1								
4	<u></u>	POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), fine grained, brown, dry,	<u> </u>									
2		loose		1	IX	18	3-4-5	2.4				
-		8.8 597.2 SANDY STLT (ML) low placticity, brown, dry, stiff, find	:5		\vdash		N=9	4.4	-			
•		grained sand						<u></u>	1			
		10.0 59	6 10-	1								
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, dry	7 10		\mathbb{N}		4-5-5					
			-	-	1Å	13	N=10	3.0			14	
					()				-			
			-	-								
			-	1								
			-	1								
		15.0 59	1									
		15.3 SANDY SILT (ML), low plasticity, brown, moist, medium	<u>75</u> 15	1	\bigvee	11	6-50/5"	29.9				
3	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	15.9 stiff, fine grained sand / 590.0	8		\square		0 30/3	4.5				
	Δ	POORLY GRADED SAND (SP) , trace gravel, fine grained black and reddish brown dry loose moderate						(4.5)				
	××	cementation, contains some fractured basalt fragments	-	4								
-	$\rightarrow \rightarrow$	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured,										
-	RK	slightly weathered, medium strong	-	-								
	\rightarrow											
E	XX		-	-								
5	XX-	-										
	\rightarrow		20	1	\geq	2	50/3"	2.7				
-		•					· · · · · ·					
	XX		-	1								
-			1									
	XX	22.6 583.	4									
		Auger Refusal at 22.6 Feet	1				50/0"					
			1									
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Wa			ater Le	vel Ob	serv	ation	5			Drill Rig		
used and additional data (If any). Gro				ter not	t enco	ounter	ed			CME 55-Track		
See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.												
										Deiller		
					1etho	d				Western State	s	
					w Ste	m Aug	ger			Logged by		
										D. Dunn	- 4	
		A	bandonr	nent l	Metho	bd	C 111			03-16-2023	ea	
		Bo	oring bac	kfilled	with	Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite		Boring Comp	leted	

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Enviror Page 99teopia46^{laterials}



Boring Log No. DC-07

	، <u>د</u>	Location: See Exploration Plan)epth(Ft.)	WaterL evel Observati	ans Sampl eType	Reco	[u]			Atterberg Limits	
Mode	ihc	Latitude: 45.7111° Longitude: -119.8259°							İ	2	cent
ayeri	Grap									LL-PL-PI	Fir Perc
Ľ		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 608 (Ft.)								
1		0.2 (TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, / 607.ε very loose, rootlets	33		\mathbb{N}	14	0-1-1	72			
2		SILTY SAND (SM), trace gravel, fine grained, brown,	-	1	\square	14	N=2	7.2			
		2 5 605		+							
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,	<u> </u>	-	\bigvee	10	28-48-42	10 5			
					\wedge	18	N=90	18.5			
	Ů,										
		dry, very dense	5	1	\sim	5	50/2"	5.2			
3			-	+							
		•	_								
		brown gray and white, moist, very dense, with			\geq	5	50/5"	7.1			
		fractured basalt fragments.									
			-	1							
	<mark>1</mark>	0.0 598 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured.	<u> </u>	-	\geq	2	50/4"	6.5			
	K8	slightly weathered, medium strong	_	-							
	BB	-									
	K8	-	_								
	K8	-	-	+							
5	X	-	-	+							
	X	-	15	-	~		50 (2)				
	X		13				50/2"	<u> </u>			
	X		_	1							
	X	17.7 500	- 1	+							
		Auger Refusal at 17.7 Feet	2			2	50/2"	<u>↓5.4</u>			
L										B 111 - 1	
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures was used and additional data (If any).		vater Level Observations							CME 55-Track		
Se	e Supp	orting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ Automatic	e
										Driller Western Ctate	20
No Ele	Notes Adv Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 41/4			Hollo	w Ste		Logged bv	.5			
					D. Ī						
		A	bandonn	nent l	Meth	od Auger	Cuttings and/or Bo	ntonite		03-16-2023	eu
Bo 03-											leted
			Exhibi								

Facilities | Environ Page 7260 146 laterials


Boring Log No. DC-08

	_	Location: See Exploration Plan		pth(Ht.) WaterL evel	Observati ons Sampl a Tun a		ini Ini		Atterberg	
bdel	Log			ă ·					Limits	s nt
BrMc	ohic	Latitude: 45./103° Longitude: -119.8261°								Fine: erce
Lay	Graj								LL-PL-PI	- đ
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 610 (Ft								
1	N. 47	0.3 TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,	.67		\mathbb{N}	10	1-1-2	6.0		
		SILTY SAND (SM), trace gravel, fine grained, brown,		-		18	N=3	6.9		
		moist, very loose			Í					
Ĺ		loose		-		18	2-3-7	9.0		
					\square	10	N=10	5.0		
								14.8		
		5.0 6	⁶⁰⁵ 5	-	\sim	3	50/5"	10.2		
		brown, moist, very dense, strong cementation					50/5	10.2		
		•		1						
				4						
		grav dry			~	1	50/1"	<u> 4.8</u>		
				1						
3				_						
		brown	10			1	50/1"	<u>\ 6.8</u>		
5	\mathbb{X}	12.0 59 12.6 BASALT , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly 59	98 7.4	-						
5	R A	weathered, medium strong		-			50/1"	3.6		
		Auger Refusal at 12.6 Feet								
Sec	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Waterla	avel C)hserv	ation	s		Drill Rig	
use	d and a	dditional data (If any).	Groundwa	ater n	ot enco	ounter	ed		CME 55-Track	c
See	e Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.							Hammer Typ	be
									Drillor	
No	tes		Advance	ment	Meth	bd			Western State	es
Ele	vation F	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	1¼ inch I	D Hol	low Ste	em Au	ger		Logged by D. Dunn	
			Abandon	ment	: Meth	od			Boring Start 03-07-2023	ed
Abandonment Method 03-07 Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite Borin 03-07										

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirorPage 73 core 46 laterials

Boring Log No. DC-09



1 Location: See Exploration Pion Attacherg Attacherg Attacherg Attacherg Attacherg I 1 Latitude: 45.7102* Longitude: -119.8251* Elevation: 614 (PC) Elevation: 614 (PC) I <td< th=""><th>-</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>·</th><th></th><th></th></td<>	-											·		
Particle Latitude: 45.7102* Longitude: -115.825** LL. PL. PL Particle U.2.70251L (QL) fine grained, nonplastic, brown, dry, very -CL3.8 Image: Classic Cla	ſ	D	Graph IcLog	Location: See Exploration Plan			f.ev e Oter ustors	Sa Perty Perty				2125	Atterberg Limits	Percentines
Base Density (Ps.) Elevation - 614 (Ps.) Iteration - 614 (Ps.) PORT_GENDED SAND (SP.) fine grained, nonplastic, brown, dry, very		Mode		Latitude: 45.7102° Longitude: -119.8251°										
3 Denth (f): Illevation: - E14 (f): Illevation: - E14 (f): 3 Denth (f): Illevation: - E14 (f): Environment (f): 4 Denth (f): Illevation: - E14 (f): Environment (f): 5 B.0.2 Distribution: Environment (f): Environment (f): 3 B.3.3 SLITY SAND (SN). fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, moist, very dense, strong comentation Environment (f): Ille F 3 B.3.1 SLITY SAND (SN). fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, moist, very dense, strong comentation Environment (f): Environment (f): Ille F Il	ľ	iyeri											LL-PL-PI	
Image: 2 JUDESDL (LQL), fine grained, nonjustic, brown, dry, very A11.5 POORV GRADED SAND (SP), fine grained, light brown, most, loose 18 3.8 SLTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, and white, most, very dense, strong comentation 60.27 3.9 SLTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, and white, most, very dense, strong comentation 60.27 3.9 SLTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, and white, most, very dense, strong comentation 60.27 3.0 SLTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, watthered, stightly watthered, strong rock moderately fractured, uweathered, trace vesicules up to 1/3 inch in dameter 10 4 50/37 4.8 107 5 54 RQD = 33% 14 5 54 RQD = 33% 15 6 54 RQD = 17% 30 RQD = 17% 8 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 603.3 10 10 10 7 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 603.7 10 10 10 10 8 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 603.7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 </td <td>ŀ</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Donth (Et) Elevation + 614 (E</td> <td>+)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	ŀ			Donth (Et) Elevation + 614 (E	+)									
2 Updag, Totolitis 5.4 10 3 11 11 11.2 5.4 11 15 8.0 107 11 11.2 11.2 11.2 18 SLTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 5.0.2 5.1.2 11.2	F	1		0.2 (TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, dry, very	3.83			\setminus		0.1.1				
2 model, Losse 3 13 3 13 4 50/4" 13 14 5 18 4 50/4" 14 50/4" 15 18 16 18 17 18 18 18 19 14 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 10 18 12 10 13 10 14 50/4" 15 54 15 54 16 54 17 54 18 10 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1				POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), fine grained, light brown,		_		Х	18	N=2	5.4			
3.8. SILTY SAND (SM). fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation 10 15 0 107 9 0 18 7,13,18 16.4 19 10.3 Sector (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation 10 18 7,13,18 16.4 10.3 Mediate (SM), fine to coarse grained, extremely fractured, slighty moderately fractured, unvesthered, strong brown and track fractured, unvesthered, trace vesicules up to 1,9 10 14 7,13,18 16.4 19 10 18 7,13,18 16.4 10 14 50/4* 12.4 10 14 10 14 14 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 14 16 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 16 15 15 16 16 16 16 15 16 <td>L</td> <td>~</td> <td></td> <td>moist, loose</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\longrightarrow</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	L	~		moist, loose				$ \longrightarrow $						
Statuty Same (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation 50.2 1	L	2				_								
3 SULTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 610.25 3 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 5 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong cementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong tementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong tementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong tementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse grained, light brown and white, most, very dense, strong tementation 10 Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse and subtrown for a description of field and laboratory procedures teed additional data (If any). Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse additional data (If any). Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse additional data (If any). Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse additional data (If any). Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse additional data (If any). Image: Sulty Sand (Sm), fine to coarse addit additional data (If any). <td< td=""><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td>15</td><td></td><td>8.0</td><td>107</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	L					_			15		8.0	107		
and white, molet, very dense, strong cementation and wh	$\left \right $			3.8 610 STLTY SAND (SM) fine to coarse grained light brown	0.25	_				-				
3 0.3 ASALT_gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, strong rock 603.75 10 2 50/3** 4 50/3** 10 3 ASALT_gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, strong rock 603.75 10 2 50/3** 4 50/3** 14 4 50/4** 4 50/3** 4 50/3** 4 10 5 extremely fractured 15 54 RQD = 33% 14 14 10 54 RQD = 17% 30 RQD = 17% 14 14 50 20 53 20 15 54 RQD = 17% 15 15 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 18 17 10 17 17 18 17 18 17 18 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 <td>L</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation</td> <td></td>	L			and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation										
3 18 7-3-38 16.4 19 4 50/4" 12.4 19 4 50/4" 12.4 19 4 50/4" 12.4 19 4 50/4" 12.4 19 4 50/4" 10 10 10 4 50/4" 10 10 10 4 50/4" 12.4 10 10 4 50/4" 10 10 10 10 4 50/4" 10 10 10 10 10 1/6 inch in diameter 10 10 10 10 10 10 1/6 inch in diameter 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1/6 inch in diameter 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>5 —</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7 4 2 4 2</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>						5 —				7 4 2 4 2				
a a b b b b c	L					_		Х	18	N=31	16.4			19
3 4 50/4* 12.4. 10 4 50/4* 12.4. 10 2 50/3* 4.8 10 2 50/3* 4.8 10 2 50/3* 4.8 10 2 4 RQD = 25% 10 10 24 RQD = 25% 10 10 54 RQD = 33% 10 54 RQD = 33% 10 10 54 RQD = 17% 10 10 54 RQD = 17% 10 10 54 RQD = 17% 10 10 53 54 RQD = 17% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 RQD = 17% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 RQD = 17% 10 10 10 RQD = 17% 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	L													
10.3 A SALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, trace vesicules up to 1/8 inch in diameter 003.75 10 24 RQD = 25% 14 10.3 A RQD = 25% 24 RQD = 25% 14 14 10.4 Settered, strang rock, modeling to the distribution of the settered, trace vesicules up to 1/8 inch in diameter 15 54 RQD = 33% 10 15 54 RQD = 17% 10 10 10 10 0 20 533.8 20 10 10 10 10 0 20 533.8 20 10 10 10 10 10 0 20 533.8 20 10	L	3								50/10	1.0.1			
Baseling rescale of the second sec	L					_			_4_	50/4"	12.4			
a 0.3 A.3 0.3						_								
Subjects BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, strong rock moderately fractured, unweathered, trace vesicules up to 1/8 inch in diameter 14 Subjects 24 RQD = 25% Item is a strength of the streng strength of the strengt of the strength of the strengt of the strength of the														
Bit Status Grammer granted, extremely fractured, signify moderately fractured, inweathered, trace vesicules up to 1/8 inch in diameter 24 RQD = 25% s extremely fractured 15 54 RQD = 33% s extremely fractured 30 RQD = 17% s s 30 RQD = 17% s s Soring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 53.8 20 See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures. Water Level Observations countered Drill Rig Conditional data (fam). See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Mater Level Observations data (fam). Drill Rig Conditional data (fam). Notes Liveation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method data (fam). Drill Rig Core	ŀ			10.3 60	3.75	10		\times	2	50/3"	4.8			14
s moderately fractured, unweathered, trace vesicules up to 1/8 inch in diameter 1/8 inch in diameter extremely fractured moderately fractured moderately fractured moderately fractured sol 2 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures see Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Material States Ligged by Drill Rig Christians Material Method 44 Inch ID Holdow Stem Auger & HQ Core			K¥-	BASALI, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, strong rock		_			24					
s a a b a b a b a b a b a b a b b a b a b a b a b a b a			ß	1/8 inch in diameter					24	RQD = 25%				
s extremely fractured moderately fractured 593.8 0.2 593.8 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 0.3 RQD = 17% 20 1 20			KX	-		_					-			
s extremely fractured moderately fractured 593,0 g g soring Terminated at 20.2 Feet Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Notes Evadion Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4% inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core			KX:			_								
s a c			KR.	-		_								
5 Image: Section and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Sec Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures. Water Level Observations Groundwater not encountered Drill Rig CME 55-Track Hammer Type Automatic Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 44 inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig CME 55-Track Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started			KX.						БЛ	ROD - 2204				
extremely fractured 593.8 gas 20 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 593.8 20 20 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 20 See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Groundwater not encountered Drill Rig CME 55-Track Notes Advancement Method 4% inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig CME 51-Track		5	XX			15—			54	KQD = 33%				
extremely fractured a a a a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a b a a b a			X	- -										
extremely fractured 30 RQD = 17% moderately fractured 593.8 20 50.2 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 20 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 1 1 See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Groundwater not encountered Drill Rig Conditionation See Exploration Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4% inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig Vestem States Logged by D. Dum			XX	-										
extremely fractured 30 RQD = 17% moderately fractured 593.8 20 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet 90.2 See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Groundwater not encountered Drill Rig CME 55-Track Notes Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig CME 55-Track Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig CME 55-Track						_					-			
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Groundwater not encountered Drill Rig CME 55-Track Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4½ Inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig CME 55-Track			KR.	extremely fractured		_								
moderately fractured 20.2 593.8 20 1 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>87</td><td></td><td></td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td>30</td><td>RQD = 17%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			87			_			30	RQD = 17%				
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Exploration for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Water Level Observations Drill Rig Notes Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Driller Notes Logged by D. Dum Drill Rig			××	moderately fractured										
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Water Level Observations Drill Rig Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method Drill Rig Logged by Dum Boring Started	ł		\sim	20.2 50 Boring Terminated at 20.2 Feet	93.8	20—								
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered CME 55-Track Notes Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig Levation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4½ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Driller Western States Boring Started														
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Water Level Observations CME 55-Track Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started	l													
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered CME 55-Track Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Driller Boring Started														
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered CME 55-Track Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Driller Boring Started														
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered Hammer Type Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Drill Rig Boring Started														
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered CME 55-Track Notes Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Driller Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started														
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures used and additional data (If any). Water Level Observations Drill Rig See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Groundwater not encountered CME 55-Track Notes Advancement Method Driller Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Advancement Method Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started	L	-	E. L				-1 -						Duill Di	
See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. Hammer Type Automatic Notes Advancement Method Driller Western States Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started	:	use	Explora d and a	dition and resting Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures dditional data (If any).	Grou	ndwate	er not	enco	unter	ed			CME 55-Track	
Notes Advancement Method Driller Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started	:	See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.									Hammer Typ	e
Notes Advancement Method Western States Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started													Driller	
Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4 ¹ / ₄ Incn 1D Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started	I	Not	es		Adva	ncem	ent M	etho	d				Western State	S
Boring Started	1	Elev	ation R	eference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4 1⁄4 Ir	cn ID	HOIIOV	v Ste	m Aug	Jer & HQ Core			Logged by D. Dunn	
Abandanmant Mathad 02.00.2022					A	dorr	ont t	loth-	.d				Boring Starte	ed

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-08-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Enviror Page 74 of 146 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-10

_	5	Location: See Exploration Plan		epth(Ft.) WaterL	evel Observati ons	Sampl eType	Reco	('U		Atterberg	
lode	c Loc	Latitude: 45.7095° Longitude: -119.8253°		8						LIMITS	es ent
yerM	aphic									LL-PL-PI	Fin(Perc(
La	ۍ ا										
1		Depth (rt.) Elevation.: 617 (F 0.1 (TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, / 616.) 92					2_1_1			
		very loose <u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine grained, brown, moist,		-		Å	18	N=2	6.4		
		loose, trace fine rootlets to $\sim 1\%$ feet bgs			ľ						
2											
				1		X	18	7-8-7 N=15	13.1		
				-	ŕ	4					
		.0 6	12 5			-					
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, angular, light brown, moist, medium dense, weak cementation				\bigvee	18	10-13-23	11 7	ND	
						Д	10	N=36	118.0		_
		light brown and gray, dense, strong cementation		-					<u>uo.o</u>		
						\times	5	50/5"	9.8		
3											
		very dense		1							
			10	5		-	1/	50/1"	<u> </u>		
									(<u> </u>		
		12.0	505								
⊨₅	╏╲┥┝╴┨	12.0 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	.92	-	-	~	1	50/1"	5.9		
		Auger Refusal at 12.1 Feet									
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water L	eve	l Obs	serva	ation	5		Drill Rig	
use See	ed and a	dditional data (If any). rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groundw	ater	not	enco	unter	ed		CME 55-Track	< De
										Automatic	
No	tes		Advance	me	nt M	etho	а			Driller Western Stat	es
Ele	vation R	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4¼ inch 1	ID H	ollow	/ Ste	m Aug	ger		Logged by	
										D. Dunn Boring Start	ed
			Abandor	nme	nt M	etho	d			03-07-2023	eu

Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-07-2023 Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environ Page 7500 146 laterials



Boring Log No. DC-11

<u>ـ</u>	Ð	Location: See Exploration Plan		bepth(Rt.)	WaterL evel Observati	Sampl Sampl eType	Reco	(hu		Atterberg	
Laye	ic Lo	Latitude: 45.7095° Longitude: -119.8244°		٥						LIIIILO	ies tent
odel	raphi									LL-PL-PI	Fin Perc
Σ	U	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 617 (F	=t.)								
1		L0.1\TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist61	6.9								
2		moist		_			23				
		2.0 (615	_	-			-			
		light brown, moist, very dense, strong cementation		_		\bigtriangledown	8	49-50/5"	11 3		14
						$ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	0	45 50/5	11.5		
				_							
				5—							
				_	ļ	X	16	19-40-50/4"	6.3		
3				_	İ						
				_	ł		18	18-28-23	7.7		10
				_	ł	$ \land$		N-J1			
				10		\geq	3	50/3"	8.4		
				_	ł						
-		12.0 (BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	605	_	ł						
5	BB	weathered, medium strong		_							
		Auger Refusal at 13.3 Feet	3.7			\square	<u> </u>	50/3"	4.7		
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Wat	ter Lev	el Ob	serv	ation	s j		Drill Rig	
use See	d and a Suppo	idditional data (If any). rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Grou	undwate	er not	t enco	ounter	ed		CME 55-Track	e
										Automatic	
No	tes		Adv a 4¼ i	ancem	ent N Hollo	1etho	od m Aug	ler		Western State	es
Ele	vation F	ererence: Lievations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.						,		D. Dunn	
			Aba Borir	ndonm	ent I	Meth with	od Auaer	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite	Boring Starte 03-07-2023	ed
			2011				ger	seeings and, or be		Boring Comp 03-07-2023	leted

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirorPage 76 of 46 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-12

	0	Location: See Exploration Plan		th(Pt.)	evel Boservati Ths	Sampl eTyp e	Reco	- fur		Deviant	Atterberg	
bdel	י ב יי			Dep	0.0						Limits	s nt
erMo	hqe'	Latitude: 45.7087° Longitude: -119.8244°										Fine
Laye	ט ט	5									LL-PL-PI	Ā
Ľ.		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 621 (F	t.)									
1		0.1/TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist62	0.9									
_ 2		moist		-			24		5.7	92		
Ĺ												
		2.561	8.5					*		-		
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, light brown, moist, very		_		\bigvee	10	3-28-50	6.7			
						Д	18	N=78				
		coarse grained, angular, light brown		-		\square			8.9	1		
		•		5_						-		
		fine to coarse grained, angular				\vee	10	15-40-43	17.0			
				-		\wedge	18	N=83	17.0			
3										-		
		brown and light brown		-		\bigvee	10		13.1			
						\wedge	10	23-33-30/3	16.6			
				_								
				10						-		
		fine to coarse grained, subrounded to subangular, light brown				\vee	10	20-34-50	14.4			
				-		\wedge	10	N=84	14.4			
	· ·	12.0 6	509									
	KX	BASALT , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered medium strong										
5	KX			_								
	KX	14.1 60	6 9									
		Auger Refusal at 14.1 Feet	<u></u>					50/1"	4.8			
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Wate	r Lev	el Obs	serva	ation	5 ad			Drill Rig	
See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groun	uwate	a not	enco	untere	Eu			Hammer Tvr	be
											Automatic	
Not	es		Advan	ncem	ent M	etho	d				Driller Western State	es
Elev	ation	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4¼ inc	ch ID	Hollov	v Ste	m Au <u>c</u>	jer			Logged by D. Dunn	
			Aband Boring	lonm	ent M	letho	od Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite		Boring Start 03-08-2023	ed
			Jonny	Suck			ager	Eactings und/or De			Boring Comp 03-08-2023	leted

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environ Page 776146 aterials





	1			al a	7.0	8	×_		1		
		Location: See Exploration Plan	pth(Ft	Lew Observ	Samp eTyp	ßer	In.		0.00	Atterberg	
del	0		ä							LIMITS	
ş	, c	Latitude: 45.7142° Longitude: -119.8264°									Fines
<u>r</u>	, q										rcent
ž	2									LL-PL-PI	Pe
Ĩ	C.										
-		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 598 (Ft.)									
1		D.2 (TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 597.8	3								
		very loose, rootlets	_			24			04		12
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, very				24		5.2	04		12
		loose, trace fine rootlets to $\sim 1\frac{1}{2}$ feet									
		5.5	-	1						-	
2	┝┷┽	2.5 595.							1		
		reprint black maint your lago	-	-	V		2-1-1				_
		graineu, black, moist, very loose				18	N=2	30.0			7
			_		$\langle \mathbf{N} \rangle$						
		4.5 593.	5								
	1 1	SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,	_		-						
	l ii	moist, very dense, strong cementation	5	1	\sim	5	50/5"	133	1		
							50/5	13.5	1		
3			-	+							
	11										
		7.0 59									
5	252	7.6 BASALT , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly 590.	1	1							
-		weathered, medium strong	-	<u> </u>	-	1	50/1"	3.4			
		Auger Refusal at 7.6 Feet									
1	1		1								
1	I		1	1							
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	I		1	1							
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
1	1		1								
L	<u> </u>		I								
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ter Lev	el Ob	serva	ations	5			Drill Rig	
use	d and a	additional data (If any). Gr	oundwat	er not	enco	untere	ed			CME 55-Track	
See	Suppo	orting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ	-
										inaminer ryp	•

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger Automatic Driller Western States

Logged by D. Dunn

Boring Started 03-10-2023

Boring Completed 03-10-2023

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

> Exhibit 17 Facilities | Enviror Page ?양어한 146

Boring Log No. DC-14

_	 									
	Lo	cation: See Exploration Plan		Dept 1(71)		-	In STR-		Atterberg Limits	Ē
	Lat	itude: 45.7134° Longitude: -119.8265°								ercenti S
									LL-PL-PI	
	De	pth (Ft.) Elevation.: 598 (F A TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, <u>598</u>	⁼t.) 7.8⁄3	-						
		very loose			IX	18	1-1-1 N-2	8.8		
		<u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u> , fine grained, subrounded, brown, moist, loose, trace fine rootlets to $\sim 1\%$ feet bas			$\langle \rangle$		N=2			
				-						
				1	X	18	2-3-5 N=8	8.9		
		Palat horizon das		-						
		light brown, dry	_							
			5				3-1-1			
				4	X	18	N=8	4.9	NP	48
	7.5	5	90.5	1						
		POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), fine grained, light brown, drv, medium dense		4	\mathbb{N}	1.0	3-4-6			
2	0.0		590			18	N=10	3.2		
	9.0	SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, subangular,		1						
		brown and brownish yellow, moist, very dense, moderate to strong cementation	10	_		-	F0/F"	0.2		
						5	50/5	9.2		
				1						
				_						
				+						
		dark gray and brownish vellow, very dense	15	-	\sim	3	50/3"	9.3		
3		5, , , , ,								
				-						
				-						
			20							
			20		\geq	5	50/5"	14.5		
				+						
5	22.		75.5	Ζ	Z		E0/1"			
		weathered, medium strong	5.4 2			└╌┘				
		Boring Terminated at 22.6 Feet								
L										
See	Exploration	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water L	evel	Observ	ation	5		Drill Rig CME	
See	e Supporting	Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	V Wh	ne sa	mpling				Hammer Type	
									Automatic	
			A .d						Driller Western State	s
Ele	vation Refer	ence: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4¼ inch I	D Ho	llow Ste	em Aug	ger		Logged by D. Dunn	
			Abandon	ment	Metho	d			Boring Started	4
			Boring ba	ckfill	ed with	Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	entonite	Boring Comple 03-10-2023	eted

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environmental Segechrical Gaterials



Boring Log No. DC-15

<u>ب</u>	бо	Loc	ation: See Exploration Plan			, e	2		s tent(%	lcf)	Atterberg Limits	
Laye	hic L	Lati	tude: 45.7133° Longitude: -119.8256°	(Et.)	Level		very		stResult	Veiaht/r	5 0	entFines
odel	Grap			enth	Vater	Jamp	Reco	('uı)	Field Te Wal	rv UnitV	LL-PL-PI	Pero
Σ		Dep	th (Ft.) Elevation.: 596 (Ft		> 0	S ^{0.}	,					
		.U.Z./	very loose, rootlets				18	3	32.1	. 80		
2		1.8	SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, subrounded, brown, moist, loose, trace fine rootlets 59	1.2								
	X	-	BASALT , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong		1							
	×	1	. 5		-		2	<u>50/3"</u>	6.0			
	×	1			_							
	X	1	moderately fractured									
	æ	-		5			34	RQD = 25%				
	æ	-			1							
	X	-			-		-					
5	æ		avtromaly fractured unweathered		-							
	æ	1	moderately fractured									
	X	1					60	RQD = 22%				
	X	1		16	Η							
	X	1			-							
	X	+			-							
	X	+					18	8 RQD = 21%				
	X	14.0	slightly fractured	82								
			Boring Terminated at 14 Feet									
See	Explora d and a	ation	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures onal data (If any).	Vater Le Groundwa	vel Ol ter no	bserv	vatio	ns ered			Drill Rig CME 55-Track	
See usec See	Explora d and a Suppor	ation a additio	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures inal data (If any).	Vater Le	vel Ol ter no	bserv	vatio	ns ered			Drill Rig CME 55-Track Hammer Typ Automatic	e
See used See	Explora d and a Suppor	ation a additio	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures in a data (If any).	Vater Le Groundwa	vel Ol	bserv	vatio	ns ered			Drill Rig CME 55-Track Hammer Typ Automatic Driller Western State	e
See usec See Note Elev	Explora d and a Suppor	ation additic	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures nal data (If any). Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Vater Le Groundwa dvancer ¼ inch II	nent l	bserv tt enc Meth Sw St	vatio counte	ns ered uger & HQ Core			Drill Rig CME 55-Track Hammer Typ Automatic Driller Western State Logged by	e S
See used See Nota	Explora d and a Suppor es ation R	ation a additic	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures onal data (If any). Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Vater Le Groundwa dvancer ¼ inch II	vel Ol ter no nent I	bserv t enc Meth w St	vatio counte	ns ered uger & HQ Core			Drill Rig CME 55-Track Hammer Typ Automatic Driller Western State Logged by D. Dunn Boring State	e s

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite



Boring Log No. DC-16

F	9 8	Location: See Exploration Plan		Depth(Ft.)	Vater Level Observati	SampleType	George	lan) In		Atterberg Limits	
Laye	phi	Latitude: 45.7126° Longitude: -119.8258°		_							nes cent
lodel	c Gra									LL-PL-PI	Per
2		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 599 (F	t.)								
		u.2/ <u>IOPSOIL (OL)</u> , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, very loose, rootlets	-83			\mathbb{N}	18	0-0-1	9.4		
2		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), fine		_	İ	\square		N=1			
		2.0 grained, brown, moist, very loose, trace fine rootlets SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, gray and	597	_							
3		brown, moist, very dense		_	ł	\ge	5	50/5"	7.8		
		4.0	595	_							
	\mathbb{X}	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong									
	\mathbb{X}	- - -		5	İ	~	1	50/1"	4.3		
	\bigotimes	- - -		-							
5	\bigotimes			_							
	\mathbb{X}			_		\geq	3	50/5"	2.7		
	\bigotimes										
	\mathbb{X}	0.8 58	0.2	_	İ		1	F0/2#	2.2		
		Auger Refusal at 9.8 Feet	2.2				<u> </u>	50/3"	3.3		
L											
See	e Explor ed and a	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures additional data (If any).	Wat Grou	er Lev ndwate	el Ob er not	serv enco	ation: ounter	s ed		Drill Rig CME 55-Track	(
See	e Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ	be
										Driller	
No	tes	Defense and Elevations were interpolated from a toronomic site site star	Adva 4¼ ir	ncem	ent M	lethc w Ste	d m Aud	ger		Western State	es
Ele	vation	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.		. 15		2.00				D. Dunn	
			Abar	ndonm	ent M	1eth	bd			Boring Start 03-09-2023	ed

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-09-2023 Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environ Page 8100 146 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-17

_	Ď	Location: See Exploration Plan	bepth(Rt.)	Water Level Observati	ans Sampl eType	Reco	In)		Concess	Atterberg Limits	
Mod€	lic Lo	Latitude: 45.7125° Longitude: -119.8248°								2	tFines
ayerl	iraph									LL-PL-PI	Percen
	0	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 597 (Ft.)									
1		0.2 (TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, very loose 596.8	3								
		SILT WITH SAND (ML) , fine grained, moist, very loose	-	1		23		6.4	78		74
2			-	-							
			-	-	\mathbb{N}	18	4-4-4	9.2			
		4.0 59	3	_	\square		N=8				
2		coarse grained, light brown, moist, very dense	5								
		6.0 59	1		X	9	29-50/3"	5.7			11
5	···	6.1 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	ž ·		~		50/1"	2.0			
		Auger Refusal at 6.1 Feet									
1											
1											
1											
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ater Le	vel Ol	oserv	ation	s			Drill Rig	
use See	d and a Suppo	dditional data (If any). Gint Gint Gint Gint Gint Gint Gint Gint	roundwa	ter no	t enco	ounter	ed			CME 55-Track	e
	6 F F									Automatic	-
Not		۵۵	lvancer	nent l	lethe	vd.				Driller Western State	es

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Abandonment Method

41/4 inch ID Hollow Stem Auger

Logged by D. Dunn Boring Started 03-09-2023

Boring Completed 03-09-2023

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

> Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirorPage 82 67 46 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-18

<u>–</u>	2,	Location: See Exploration Plan		Depth(Ft.)	evel evel Observati ons	Sampl e Typ e	Reco			Atterberg Limits	
-Mod	phi	Latitude: 45.7118° Longitude: -119.8250°									nes rcent
-ayer	Gra									LL-PL-PI	Fer Per
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 603 (Fl	t.)								
		very loose, rootlets	-84			X	18	0-1-0	7.1		
2		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, very loose				\square		N-1			
		2.560/	0.5	_							
		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine to coarse grained, subrounded to subangular, light brown, moist, very dense		_		\boxtimes	11	37-50/5"	6.4		
3		·····		_							
	$\left \right\rangle$	4.5 59: BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured.	8.5								
	R8	slightly weathered, medium strong		5		\times	_2_	50/3"	4.5		
	88			_							
	BB			_							
5	88	-				~	1	50/1"	5.3		
	BB										
	B			-							
	\mathcal{H}	10.2 592	2.8	10		~	1	50/1"			
		Auger Refusal at 10.2 Feet									
See	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Wate	r Lev	el Ob	serva	ation	5		Drill Rig	
use See	d and a Suppo	ndditional data (If any). rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groun	dwate	er not	enco	unter	ed		CME 55-Track	e
	1.000									Automatic	-
Not	es		Advar	ncem	ent M	letho	d			Driller Western State	s
Elev	ation	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4¼ inc	ch ID	Hollov	w Ste	m Aug	jer		Logged by	
			Abano	donm	ent N	1ethc	bd			Boring Starte	ed

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Boring Completed 03-09-2023 Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environ Page 83 of 146 laterials



Boring Log No. DC-19

e	9.0	Location: See Exploration Plan		Depth(Ft.)	WaterL evel Observati me	Sampl eType	Reco	(u)		Atterberg Limits	
rMod	ihdr	Latitude: 45.7112° Longitude: -119.8236°									ines rcent
Layeı	с О Ц									LL-PL-PI	Pe
1	. <u></u>	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 602 (Ft $0.2 \land TOPSOIL (OL)$, fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist. $\land \land	.) 83								
		very loose, rootlets POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), fine		_	ļ	X	18	0-0-1 N=1	6.5		
2		grained, light brown, moist, very loose, trace fine rootlets		_							
		2.8599.	25				15		9.8		
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown, moist, very dense, strong cementation		_	İ	\mathbf{X}	10	14-50/4"	24.9		15
3				-	1						
		5.0 5 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured,	97	5-	-	\times	2	50/4"	6.7		
	×	slightly weathered, medium strong		_	+						
	X			_	ļ						
5	X					\times		50/3"	6.7		
	X			_	1						
	K	-		_	ł						
	R	10.3 591		10		\geq	2	50/3"	4.7		
		Auger Refusal at 10.3 Feet									
See	e Explored and	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures additional data (If any).	Wate Grour	r Lev ndwat	el Ob er not	serv	ation	s ed		Drill Rig CME 55-Track	K
See	e Suppo	orting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ Automatic	be
										Driller	
Not Ele	Notes Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.					lethc w Ste	od em Aug	ger		Logged by	es
										D. Dunn	a d
			Aban	donm	nent M	Metho	od			03-09-2023	eu

Abandonment Method Boring backfilled with Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite

Auger Cuttings and/or Bentonite Boring Completed 03-09-2023 Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environmental @461146



Boring Log No. DC-20

-	, ۲	Location: See Exploration Plan	Depth(Ft.)	WaterL evel Observati ms	Sampl eType	Reco	lu)			Atterberg Limits	
Mod€	ihd	Latitude: 45.7105° Longitude: -119.8238°	-						Ī	•••	nes cent
ayer	Graf	ບ								LL-PL-PI	Fir Pen
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 611 (Ft.)									
1	··* 6/* ·	0.2/TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, / 610.83			\mathbb{N}	8	0-1-1	89			
2		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, very	_	1	\square	0	N=2	0.5			
		2 5 608 5	-	+							
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,	_	-	\bigvee	10	12-18-17				
			_		\square	18	N=35	13.4			14
3		very dense	5-	1	$\mathbf{\nabla}$	10	25-50/4"	8.4			
			_	-		-	,				
		<mark></mark>	_	-							
		603.5 <u>BASALT</u> , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly			\sim	1	50/2"	6.1			
	X	weathered, medium strong									
5	X	A I	_	1							
	X	600.7	10		\sim	2	50/2"	5.5			
		Auger Refusal at 10.3 Feet									
Se	e Exploi	oration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Wai	ter Lev	el Ob	serv	ation	s ed			Drill Rig	
Se	e Supp	porting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	anawal	.er not		ancel				Hammer Typ	e
										Automatic Driller	
No Ele	tes vation	Adv n Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	ancem inch ID	Hollow	letho w Ste	d m Aug	ger			Western State	25
										D. Dunn Boring Starte	ed
		Aba Bori	ng back	filled	with a	od Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite		03-09-2023 Boring Comp	leted
						03-09-2023					

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Enviror Page 85 of 46 aterials



Boring Log No. DC-21

ř		n Loc	cation: See Exploration Plan		WaterL waterL	Observati Observati ons	Sampl eTyp e	Reco	III.) Red e test e		Atterberg Limits		
odel Laye			itude: 45.7105° Longitude: -119.8228°									LL-PL-PI	Fines Percent
Σ	(Der	bth (Ft.) Elevation.: 604 (Ft.)	.)									
2		U.2	Very loose, rootlets SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, very loose		-			23		8.0			
		2.0	SILTY SAND (SM), gravelly, fine to coarse grained, angular, brown and white, moist, very dense, strong cementation	<u>02</u>			\times	7	33-50/1"	8.8			
3			very dense	5-	_		\times	_1_	50/3"	5.7	-		
		7.5		.5	-		~		50/11				
	È	1	BASALI , gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong		-			_1_/	50/1"	<u>, 4.4</u>			
	B	Ŧ	unweathered										
			sound, strong rock	10				26	RQD = 39%				
5			extremely fractured	15		-		43	RQD = 13%	-			
			sound, very strong	C1	_			24	RQD = 33%	_			
Г			Boring Terminated at 19 Feet										
See use	e Explored and	ration additi orting	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures V onal data (If any). G Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Vater Le Groundwa	e vel ater	Obs not e	enco	ations untere	s ed			Drill Rig CME 55-Track	-
												Automatic	
No Ele	tes vation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.				Advancement Method 4¼ inch ID Hollow Stem Auger & HQ Core							Western State	25
				hando-	me	nt M	oth -	d				D. Dunn Boring Starte	ed
			A B	oring bad	ckfil	led w	vith /	Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite		Boring Comp 03-09-2023	leted

Exhibit 17



Boring Log No. DC-22

e	DC DC	Loc	ation: See Exploration Plan		Depth(Ft.)	watert evel Observati	Sampl EType	Reco	1			Atterberg Limits	
PoM.	lic Lc	Lati	tude: 45.7098° Longitude: -119.8231°										nes cent
ayer	iraph											LL-PL-PI	Fi
Ľ		Dep	th (Ft.) Elevation.: 612 (Ft										
1		0.1	TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, very loose	92			\mathbb{N}	18	0-0-1	7.5			
2			SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, very loose		_		\square	10	N=1	/.5			
		2 5	600	5	_								
			POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM) , fine to		_		$\mathbf{\nabla}$	14	14-58-50/2"	16.7			
			brown, moist, very dense				\square		14 50 50/2	10.7			
					_								
3					5—			5	19 50/5"	5 5			0
					_		\square		10-30/3	5.5			
					_								
		7.5	604 BASALT gray fine-grained moderately	.5				4	50/5"	4.6			
	K	-	fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong		_								
	K	-				-							
	K	-		1	0-								
	K	_	brown to gray, extremely fractured, highly weathered, very weak	-			X	7	45-50/1"	9.1			
	KA	1											
5	KX	1			_								
	X	1											
	KX				_								
	KX		gray, moderately fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong										
	X			1	5		\sim		50/3"	6.8			
	ίχχ	+	_		_								
		<u>/_16.</u>	6 595 Auger Refusal at 16.6 Feet	.4			~		50/1"	/			
See	e Exploi	ration	and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water	Lev	el Ob	serv	ations	3	1		Drill Rig	
use	ed and	additi	onal data (If any).	Ground	wate	er not	enco	untere	ed			CME 55-Track	<
566	Subb	and										Automatic	pe
Ne	ter			\due=		ort t	loth-	d				Driller Western Stat	es
Ele	vation	Refere	ence: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	14 inch	n ID	Hollo	w Ste	m Aug	er			Logged by	
												Boring Star	ted
				Abando Boring I	onm back	filled	Meth with	od Auger	Cuttings and/or Be	ntonite		03-08-2023	
				5				-				Boring Com 03-08-2023	pleted
										E	xhit	oit 17	

Facilities | Environ Page 8766 146 laterials



Boring Log No. DC-23

L	Б	Location: See Exploration Plan		Depth(Ft.) WaterL	evel Observati ons	Sampl eType	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ln.) I			Atterberg		
Layei	c Fo	Latitude: 45.7096° Longitude: -119.8221°									LIIIILS	es :ent	
bdel	raphi										LL-PL-PI	Fin Perc	
Σ	ซี	Depth (Ft.) Elevation · 611 (Ft											
1		0.1/(TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 610.9	.) 92			\bigvee		0-1-1					
2		POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), fine		-		\wedge	11	N=2	10.1				
		2.0 grained, brown, moist 60	99	_									
		very dense, strong cementation				\times	6	50/6"	14.7				
3				-									
		fine to coarce grained	5	_		$\overline{}$	3	50/5"	9.8				
					ĺ	\square							
	<mark></mark>	5 604.	5										
	K\$	slightly weathered, medium strong		1		\sim							
	RR	-		-			_1_	50/2"	_ <u></u>				
5	¥X												
ľ	ŔX												
	ŔX		10	ד		~	1	50/1"	<u>\ 5.0</u>				
	KX			+									
		Auger Refusal at 11.6 Feet	.4	F		-	1	50/1"	4.7				
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Vater Lo	evel	Obs	serva	ation	s			Drill Rig		
use Sec	d and a	dditional data (If any). (Groundwa	ater	not	enco	unter	ed			CME 55-Track	(
566	Sabbo										Automatic	e .	
Not	Notes Ad						Advancement Method						
Elev	vation F	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. 4	74 inch I	D H	ollow	/ Ste	m Aug	ger			Logged by D. Dunn		
		۾ ب	bandon	i me i ckfil	nt M	etho	od Auger	Cuttings and/or B	entonite		Boring Start 03-08-2023	ed	
		E	neu v	and 1	agei	cattings and/or De			Boring Comp 03-08-2023	pleted			

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirorPage 8866114661aterials



Boring Log No. DC-24

	2	Location: See Exploration Plan	d d	WaterL Wel	Sampl	Reco	(L		Certers	Atterberg	
del	3 0		100	3						Limits	s
δ	ihq	Latitude: 45.7088° Longitude: -119.8222°									antFine
aye	ы С									LL-PL-PI	Perci
2		Depth (Et) Elevation : 619 (Et									
-1-		0.2 (TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,	33								
		very loose				19		53	78		19
		dense				10		5.5	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		15
2				+							
								7.6			
					X	18	6-5-4 N=9	11.2			
		4.0 6:	15 .	-	4	<u> </u>		11.2			
		subangular, light brown, moist, very dense, strong			1	-					
		cementation	5		\sim	3	50/3"	9.5			
				4							
				-							
							27.46.50				
					X	18	37-46-50 N=96	10.6			12
	*			-							
3			10	1	\geq	5	50/5"	20.2			
				_				3.6			
				-							
				-							
			15	1	\geq	2	50/4"	5.4			
5		16.0 60	. 50								
Ŭ		weathered medium strong	.9			∟⁰	50/1"	_			
		Auger Refusal at 16.1 Feet									
				• -			I			B. 11 E.	
See	Explor	ation and Lesting Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures V additional data (If any).	vater Le iroundwa	vel O iter no	bserv ot enc	ounter	s ed			CME 55-Track	
See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ	e
Not			dyanoo	nont	Math	od				Driller Western State	s
Elev	ation I	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4 inch II	D Holl	ow Ste	em Aug	ger			Logged by D. Dunn	
		A	bandon	ment	Meth	od	Cuttings and (a. D	ntor it -		Boring Starte 03-08-2023	ed
		В	oring bad	.ktille	i with	Auger	cuttings and/or Be	iitonite		Boring Comp 03-08-2023	leted

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirorPage 89 of 46 aterials

Boring Log No. GS-01



Graph Kitog	Looption, Con Figuration Dise	5	Mate a few on a	sa sa eTy ce				12.23	Atterberg	
del	Location: See Exploration Plan	Deet	2						Limits	
erMo	Latitude: 45.7141° Longitude: -119.8295°									Fines ercen
-aye									LL-PL-PI	Pe P
	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 593 (F	t.)								
	0.2/TOPSOIL (OL), dark brown, moist, mulch	2.83		\mathbb{N}	10	0-1-1	6.6			
	SILTY SAND (SM), The granned, brown, moist, very loose		-	\wedge	10	N=2	0.0			
			_							
					22		7.4	80		14
			1							
			-							
2										
	very loose	5		\mathbb{N}	1	1-2-1				
		96 5	\neg		18	N=3	23.0			
	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM), fine	00.5		- <u>r</u>						
	grained, light brown, wet, loose				-			-		
			-		18	3-4-5	25.1			5
			_	$\langle \rangle$		N=9		-		
	9.5 58 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	83.5								
5	weathered, medium strong	10)	~	2/	50/1"	6.2			
	11.1 58	1.92	_			F0/1"	70			
	Boring Terminated at 11.1 Feet				\sim	50/1				
See Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water Le	vel Oh	serva	tions				Drill Rig	
used and a	additional data (If any).	V Wh	ile drilli	ng					CME 55-Track	:
See Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Typ Automatic	e
									Driller	
Notes		Advance	ment D Holl	Metho	od em Auc	ier			Western State	es
Elevation F	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	i i incli I			Aug				D. Dunn	
		Abandar	mont	Moth	od				Boring Start	ed
		Boring bac	kfilled	with A	uger Ci	uttings and/or Bento	nite		Boring Comp	eted
									03-14-2023	cicu

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environ Page 90% 146 aterials





te g		7200		ft ev tare store	ss Pe Jy			1	 Attorborg	5
ē	Location: See Exploration Plan								Limits	Record
Mod	Latitude: 45.7153° Longitude: -119.8299°									
yerl									LL-PL-PI	
La										
1	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 591 (Fi $0.2 \wedge TOPSOIL (OL)$, fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, ~ 590	t.) 1.83	_							
	very loose, rootlets				IXI	13	0-1-1 N-2	7.5		
	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-SM) , fine grained brown moist loose trace fine rootlets to ~1 foot				$\langle \rangle$		N=2			
			_							
			-		IXI	12	2-3-2	14.9		
			_		$/ \setminus$		N=5			
			5 –			15		12.3		11
2										
			_							
					X	10	5-4-6 N-10	11.8		
			_	∇	$\langle \rangle$		N=10			
	10 5	. 1	0			0	10 50/2"	21.2		
	SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, subrounded to	<u>50.5</u> -			\land	9	10-30/3	11.2		
3	11.5 subangular, brown, moist, very dense 57	79.5						_		
	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong		_							
			-							
	-		_			44	ROD = 35%			
							NQD = 5570			
	-	1	.5–							
	-									
	16.5 57	74.5								
	Boring Terminated at 16.5 Feet									
See Explo	ration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water	Leve	lObs	ervat	tions			Drill Rig	
See Suppo	additional data (If any). wrting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.		while	drillin	g				Hammer Typ	-
									Automatic	-
									Driller	
Notes		Advan	cem tarv	ent M	Core	d			western State	S
Elevation	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	. Idu KU	.cur y	αnų	core				D. Dunn	
									Boring Starte	ed
		Aband Boring h	onm backf	ent N	ith A	od Jger Ci	uttings and/or Bento	nite	03-15-2023	
						5.0	5, 21 25/10		Boring Comple 03-16-2023	eted

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Enviror Page 91 of 146 daterials



Boring Log No. SS-02

to be the	ulivou z	Location: See Exploration Plan									Atterberg	.e.
		Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8305°									Linits	PercentF es
											LL-PL-PI	_
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 590 (F	=t.)									
1		0.4 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 589 very loose, rootlets	<u>9.58</u>			\mathbb{N}	18	0-1-1	7.6			
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, very loose		_		\square		N=2				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-								
		loose		_		\bigvee	10	2-2-2	13.3			
				_		\wedge	10	N=4	23.2			
				_					<u>13.5</u>			
				5	n .		24		23.5			
				-	\bigtriangledown							
		7 5 50	0.7 E	_	∇							
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, subrounded to subangular, brownish yellow and black, moist, yery dense	02.5	_		\bigtriangledown		18-28-22				
3						\wedge	18	N=50	18.7			
_		9.5 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, extremely fractured, slightly	80.5									
5	5-75-	10.2 weathered, medium strong	79.8	10	-	\sim	_1_	50/2"	8.2			
		Auger Kerusar at 10.2 Feet										
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Wate	er Lev	el Ob	serv	ation	5			Drill Rig CME	
See	ed and a e Suppo	contional data (If any). rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$	At cor After	npletio 1 hou	on of r	arilling				Hammer Type	
											Automatic	
No	tes		Adva	ncem	ent M	etho	d M	lor			Western State	S
Ele	vation F	teference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	4 74 IN			v ste	in Aug	jei			Logged by D. Dunn	
			Aban	donm	ent M	letho	bd				Boring Started	đ
			Boring	g backf	illed w	ith Au	iger Ci	uttings and/or Bento	nite		Boring Comple	eted
										yhik	oit 17	
										אווא	אנו או	

Facilities | Environmental | Getechnical | daterials



Boring Log No. SS-03

Location: See Exploration Plan									Atterberg Limits	tFin
Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8292°										Percent es
									LL-PL-PI	
Elevation.: 595 (F Elevation.: 595 (F Elevation.: 595 (F Siltry SAND (SM), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, Siltry SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, very Loose trace fine rootlets to ~1.0 foot	<u>t.)</u> 4.8 <i>3</i>	_		$\left \right\rangle$	14	1-0-1 N=1	7.2			
		-								
loose				$\left \right\rangle$	16	2-4-3 N=7	9.7			
	5	;		$\overline{\vee}$		2-3-4				
7.0	588			\wedge	16	N=7	11.2		-	24
SILT (ML), trace sand, nonplastic, light brown, moist, medium stiff, fine grained sand		+		\setminus	18	3-3-3 N=6	31.5		NP	90
9.5 5	85.5	4	\bigtriangledown							
2 SILLY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, wet, loose	10) — (_		\setminus	18	2-3-2 N=5	27.0			
		-		<u> </u>						
		_								
15.0	₅₈₀ 1	5_							-	
medium dense, alternating layers of silty sand and sandy silt.		-		X	14	3-8-18 N=26	30.8			47
		-								
4 1 1 1 2 0 . 0	575 20	0_								
POORLY GRADED SAND (SP) , fine grained, brown, moist, medium dense		+		$\left \right\rangle$	18	8-12-14 N=26	21.2			
		-								
	21	5_								
See Exploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water I	Leve	l Obs	ervat	tions		I		Drill Rig CME 55-Track	
See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	<u> </u>	vinie	urming	9					Hammer Type Automatic	
Notes	Advanc	ceme	ent M	etho	d				Driller Western States	s
Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Tooling lost down hole at 20 feet bgs. Hole backfilled and new hole advanced 5 feet east of	MUG KOI	uary							Logged by D. Dunn	
original nole to continue sampling.	Abando Boring b	onmo	ent M lled w	letho ith be	od entonit	e grout, up to 8-feet	, bentonit	e	Boring Started	1
	chips 2-	-8-fe	et, ca	pped	with	2-feet of cuttings.	_		Boring Comple 03-15-2023	eted
					Facili	ities Environme	E Pala 6	xhit 광역	DIT 17 Figely Alateria	als
						Гa	iye a	0 0	140	



Boring Log No. SS-03

	Location: See Exploration Plan				1	R edfest coult		Atterberg Limits
	Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8292°					2		
								LL-PL-PI
	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 595 (Ft.)							
	POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), fine grained, brown, moist, medium dense (continued)			X	8	4-8-8 N=16	26.1	
		20						
		30-		\bigvee	14	6-8-8		
				$ \land $	17	N=16	34.9	
		-						
		35						
			ſ	\bigvee	17	7-10-7		
				$\langle \gamma \rangle$		N=17	29.2	
4								
		5						
	POORLY GRADED GRAVEL WITH CLAY (GC), coarse grained, brown, wet, dense							
		40						
•	40.8 554	.2	.	Х	18	40-19-16	11.3	
	brown and yellowish brown, moist 42.0 55:	53	ľ			N=35	26.5	
	ELASTIC SILT (MH), trace sand, fine grained, high plasticity, gray, moist	\neg \neg						
	dark gray to white, with brownish-yellow veins	45						
				Х	13	11-16-15	30.8	57-34-23
			. [N=31	0010	
See Ev	Ploration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	<u>50</u> ⊣	Obse	erve	tions			Drill Ria
used a	additional data (If any).	While	drilling)				CME 55-Track
000 00								Automatic
Notes	Ac	dvancem	ent Me	etho	d			Driller Western States
Elevati	on Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	ud Rotary						Logged by D. Dunn
origina	hole to continue sampling.	bandonm	ent M	etho	od			Boring Started 03-15-2023
	Boch	oring backfi nips 2-8-fe	illed with et, cap	th be	with	e grout, up to 8-feet, 2-feet of cuttings.	bentonite	Boring Completed
							Ev	chibit 17

Facilities | Environmental | Gentechnical | Alaterials



Boring Log No. SS-03

		Location: See Exploration Plan								Atterberg Limits
		Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8292°								
										LL-PL-PI
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 595 (Ft.	.)							
		plasticity, gray, moist (continued) grayish brown		_		X	18	12-13-18 N=31	33.9	
				_						
				-						
				55				11-13-13		
4				_		M	18	N=26	30.6	
				_						
				_						
				~						
		brownish gray, with white, yellow and brown veins		60-	•	\bigvee	1.2	11-14-15		
		61.5 53.	3.5	-		\square	10	N=29	45.7	
		Boring Terminated at 61.5 Feet								
See E used	xplora and a	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures dditional data (If any).	Wate	While	drillin	erva g	tions			Drill Rig CME 55-Track
See S	uppoi	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								Hammer Type Automatic
Note	5		Adu-	ncerr	ont M	athe	d			Driller Western States
Eleva	res ration Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.			Rotary	ent M	euio	-u			Logged by
Toolir origin	ling lost down hole at 20 feet bgs. Hole backfilled and new hole advanced 5 feet east of inal hole to continue sampling.									Boring Started
				g backfi 2-8-fe	ent M illed w	ith be	ntonit with	e grout, up to 8-feet, 2-feet of cuttings	bentonite	03-15-2023 Boring Completed
				_ 5 .0	,	, p.cu		,	Evi	03-15-2023
							Facili	ties Environ	IX그 범권 연합	
								ı a	ye su	01140



Test Pit Log No. TP-01

	• •	Location: See Exploration Plan									Atterberg Limits	rii ce o
		Latitude: 45.7151° Longitude: -119.8288°										
											LL-PL-PI	
	1. 1. 2. JI	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 595 (F	-t.)									
Ι.		rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	1.05									
		rootlets to ~4 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort		_				-		-		
				-		NR.	12		5.8			
				_		Ĭ				-		
				_								
						Ŵ	-			-		
				_		V	6		7.1	-		12
2				5								
				_						-		
						B	12		5.5			
				_				-		-		
				_								
				_								
		10.0	FOF	10								
		Test Pit Terminated at 10 Feet	585	10								
See	Explora	tion and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Wat	er Lev	el Ob	serv	ation	S			Excavator	
use See	ed and a e Suppor	dditional data (If any). t <mark>ting Information</mark> for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Grou	Indwate	er not	enco	unter	ed			CASE 580N	
			195307	Minor	cavin	a of t	est nit	sidewalls observed			Operator	
No	tes		Adva 2-for	anceme	ent M	etho cket	d				Dan Fischer Exe	cavating
Ele	vation R	ererence: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.									D. Dunn	
			Abar	ndonm	ent M	leth	od bucks	t-tamped outtings			Test Pit Star 03-21-2023	ted
			rest	pit back	anieu	VVILII	Баске	t tampeu cuttings			Test Pit Comp 03-21-2023	leted
									E	Exhil	oit 17	

Facilities | Environmental Getechnical daterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-02

<u></u>	Ď	Location: See Exploration Plan			e		ults	-	Ĵ.	Atterberg Limits	
Mode	ic Lo	Latitude: 45.7137° Longitude: -119.8304°	(Ft.)	Leve	J Typ	≥	Field	ent(%	sight(pc		cent
iyer	aph		oth (ater I	mple	ove		erCont	Dry UnitWe	LL-PL-PI	Fin Perc
La	Ū	Depth (Et) Eloyation : 508 (Et)	Dep	β å	Sa	Rec	(In	Wate			
1	<u>7, %</u> . 7,	0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 597.6.									
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine									
		rootlets to \sim 3 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort	-	+							
			-	-							
2											
		-									
					sm.	6		8.2			
							-				
			-	1							
		4.5 593.5 SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, light brown,			an	c	-				
3		5.0 moist, strong cementation 593	5	+	V	0	-				
5	$\succ \supset$	5.5 slightly weathered, medium strong 592.			1112	3		4.5			
		Test Pit Refusal at 5.5 Feet									
1											
1											
See	Explore	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ater Lev	/el Ob	serv	ation	s		1	Excavator	
use	d and a	dditional data (If any). Gr tring Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	oundwat	er not	enco	unter	ed			CASE 580N	

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Advancement Method

2-foot toothed bucket

Operator Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environ Page 97.661 146 aterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-03

	ے د	Location: See Exploration Plan		_	s	e		sults	Conte	£	Atterberg Limits	
erMod	raphi	Latitude: 45.7132° Longitude: -119.8291°	h (Ft.)	er Leve	rvation	ple Typ	very	TestRe	WaterC nt(%)	tWeight(p		Fines ercent
Lay	0 נ		Dept	Wate	Obse	Sam	Reco (In.)			D Lin D	LL-PL-PI	đ
1	N 12 - V	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 602 (Ft.) 0.2_T OPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,601.83	}	-	-	+						
		vootlets, very loose based on digging effort SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine			N ₉	m	6					
2		rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	-	+	2	4	0		7.7			
	- 	2.0 600 SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, strong	- 1	-								
		cementation, dense based on digging effort										
			-	+	ND9	m			15.0			
3					L	7	6		15.9			
			-	-								
		5.0 597 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured,	5	-	ť	m_	3		6.3			
5	X	slightly weathered, medium strong										
		6.0 596 Test Pit Refusal at 6 Feet	-	-	-	+						
See	Explored and	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Gradditional data (If any).	ater Lev	vel C)bse ot en	rvat	tions ntered				Excavator CASE 580N	
See	Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.				200						

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Environ Page 98 of 146 deterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-04

<u></u>	b	Location: See Exploration Plan				e		inits	itent	f)	Atterberg Limits	
-Mod	lic Lc	Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8260°		(Ft.)	Level	e Typ	Pry	Field	aterCon	/eight(p		nes ·cent
-ayer	Graph			epth	/ater bserv	ampl	SCOVE	 	N8 88)	Dry UnitW	LL-PL-PI	Per
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 596 (Ft.)	ă	≤ 0		Ŗ	1)				
		 <u>0.2 TOPSOIL (OL)</u>, fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, rootlets, very loose based on digging effort <u>SILTY SAND (SM)</u>, trace gravel, fine grained, subrounded, brown, moist, trace fine rootlets to ~2 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort 	55	_								
2				_	-	en.	6	-	8.1			
				_				_				
	4	0 59 SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense based on digging effort	2	_	-	M	6					
				5—	-							
2				_	-							
				_	-							
				_	-							
5		9.0 58 BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured, slightly weathered, medium strong	87	_	-	ser.	6	-	6.1			
		10.0 58 Test Pit Refusal at 10 Feet	¹⁶ 1	.0-								
	- Fig. 1										F	
use See	ed and a e Suppo	automatic results for a description of held and laboratory procedures V additional data (If any). G rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. G	iround	wate	er not	enco	ounter	• ed			CASE 580N	
	•		d								Operator Dan Fischer Exc	cavating
Ele	vation F	Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	-foot t	ooth	ned bu	icket	a				Logged by D. Dunn	5
		۵	bando	onm	ent M	/eth	bd				Test Pit Star	ted

Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Exhibit 17

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

Facilities | Environ Page 99 of 146 laterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-05

14 delicities	Location: See Exploration Plan	Deedor				y spaces		Atterberg Limits	- E C e o
	Latitude: 45.7120° Longitude: -119.8284°								
								LL-PL-PI	
1 1 1 1 1 1	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 605 (Ft.)	t.)							
	rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	F. / D							
	SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine rootlets to ~2 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort	_							
				Ň	6	-	8.7		
		_	2	A					
		_							
		_							
2									
		5							
			1	M	> 6		5.9		15
	8.0	597							
	SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense based on diaging effort			m	6		12.2		
3	8.9 <u>55</u>	96.1							
5	Sightly weathered, medium strong	596 –							
	Test Pit Refusal at 9 Feet								
See Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water Lev		serva	ations			Excavator	
used and a	idditional data (If any).	While	e drill	ing				CASE 580N	
See Suppo	tung mortilation for explanation of symbols and appreviations.								
Notes		Mino Advancem	r cavi ent	ing of Meth	test pit od	sidewalls observed		Operator Dan Fischer Exc	cavating
Elevation F	vation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.			oucket	t			Logged by D. Dunn	
		Abandar		Met	od			Test Pit Star	ted
		Test pit bac	kfille	d with	bucke	t-tamped cuttings		Test Pit Comp	leted
							Eve	03-22-2023	
							⊏xr		

Facilities | Envirpmental 100 of 146 aterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-06

<u>_</u>		б	Location: See Exploration Plan				, a	(.u.)	ults			Atterberg Limits	
LayerMode		Graphic Lc	Latitude: 45.7113° Longitude: -119.8265°		Depth (Ft.)	Water Level	Sample Tvn	Recovery (1	Held TestRes			LL-PL-PI	Fines Percent
1	- X ¹ - Z ₂ 		0.2 TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, rootlets, very loose based on digging effort SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, abundant rootlets to ~1 foot, trace fine rootlets to ~2½ feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort	.) 83	_	_							
2					_	-	EN	> 6	-	7.6	-		
			light brown	00	-	_	m	7 6	-				
			<u>SILT (ML)</u> , trace sand, light brown, moist, moderate cementation, fine grained sand, hard based on digging effort		5-	-	Ś	> 6	-	4.1	-		87
3					_	_							
-	X		9.5	5.5	_	+	SW2	6	-		-		
3	<u>X</u>		10.0 slightly weathered, medium strong 59 Test Pit Refusal at 10 Feet	<u>95</u>	10					5.5			
See use See	Exp d an Sup	nd a	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures V dditional data (If any). C rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations. C	Vate Groun	r Lev dwat	rel Ol er no	t enc	vation ounter	red			Excavator CASE 580N	
Not Elev	es atic	on R	Action a topographic site plan.	dvar -foot	tootl	hed b	Meth ucket	od				Operator Dan Fischer Ex Logged by D. Dunn Test Pit Star	cavating ted

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings **Test Pit Started** 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirPagetel 101e01e1446^{(aterials}



Test Pit Log No. TP-07

10.00	Locations Cae Evaluation Plan					6 2 8 8 8 2		Atterberg	
	Location: See Exploration Plan							Limits	
	Latitude: 45.7114° Longitude: -119.8243°								
	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 602 (F	-t.)							
1 .	0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 60	1.67							
	rootlets, very loose based on digging effort								
	fine rootlets, very loose based on digging effort								
				200					
				16	6		6.2		
2	<mark>.</mark>	-	1	Ĩ					
				.010					
				86	6		4.3		
		-	1	Ĭ					
	4.0	598							
L X	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured,		1						
- K	4.5 slightly weathered, medium strong 5	97.5							
	Test Pit Refusal at 4.5 Feet								
See Expl	pration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Water Lev	el Ob	serva	tions	•		Excavator	
used and	additional data (If any).	Groundwat	er not	encou	untere	ed		CASE 580N	
See Sup	porting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.								
								Operator Dan Fischer Excar	vatino
Notes	Deference: Elevations were internalated from a tensorial title alter	2-foot toot	hent M	iethoo icket	a				ating
Elevation	Reference: clevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.							Logged by	

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

D. Dunn

Exhibit 17

Facilities | Environmental 102 of 146

Test Pit Started 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023



Test Pit Log No. TP-08

<u> </u>	бc	Location: See Exploration Plan			e l		sults	Itent	đ)	Atterberg Limits	
Mod	iic Lc	Latitude: 45.7110° Longitude: -119.8225°	(Ft.)	Leve	P Typ	۲	Field TestRec	terCor)	eight(p		nes cent
ayerl	raph		pth (ater		ove	<u>.</u>	Wat (%)	Dry UnitWe	LL-PL-PI	Fir
Ľ	U	Depth (Et) Elevation : 596 (Et)	De	≥ 5	လိ	Re	(In				
1	<u></u>	10-2 (TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist,	3								
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine									
		rootiets, very loose based on digging enort	-	1							
2					sm		-		-		
-			.	-	Ŭ	6	-	6.2	-		
		3.0 59	3								
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense	1		SM2	6		4.1			
3		based on digging enort					-				
	1		-	+							
		4.5 591.	5		ŝ		-		-		
5	Ď-Ŏ-	5.0 slightly weathered, medium strong 59	1 5	-	Ü	6		1.4			
		Test Pit Refusal at 5 Feet									
L											
See	e Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ater Le	vel Ol	oserv	ation	S				
See	e and a	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	oundwa	ter no	enco	unter	eu			CASE SOUN	

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

handonment Method

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023

Exhibit 17 ^{Facilities | Envir</sub>Page 103 어마무심어 aterials}



Field Test Result S

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Page 104 of 146

Test Pit Log No. TP-09

/er phicLog	Test Pit Log	No.	TP-	0	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Port	tland, OR		
Model a	Location: See Exploration Plan	.)			Recove y		A	tterberg	
	latitude: 45.7096° Longitude: -119.8260°							Limits	
	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 619 (Ft 0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 618 rootlets, very loose based on digging effort SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, abundant rootlets to ~ 1 foot bgs, very loose based on digging effort	.) .75 — —		m	6	8.3	L	L-PL-PI	
2	medium dense based on digging effort	- 5- -		mz	6				
	8.0 6 SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense	511 -							
3	based on digging effort	- 10-	8	m	6	34.6			
	Test Pit Terminated at 10.5 Feet	0.5		~					
See Exploration	tion and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures ditional data (If any).	Water Lev Groundwat	el Obse er not e	ervat ncour	ions ntered		Ex CA	cavator SE 580N	
See Suppo	rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	c. canawat					5.7		
		weat mino	r caving	of tee	st pit sidewalls		07	perator	
Notes	E I		ent Me	thod	- Freedomano		Da	n Fischer Exc	avating
Elevation R	eference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	2-root tooth	iea buck	ket			Lo D.	gged by Dunn	
		Abandon	ent Mo	thed			Te	st Pit Start	ed
	Ť	Fest pit back	filled wit	th buc	cket-tamped cuttings		Te	st Pit Comple	eted
						-	03	-22-2023	
						E	xnibit	17	



Test Pit Log No. TP-10

e	бc	Location: See Exploration Plan		- s	ě		sults	Conte	J)	Atterberg Limits	
LayerMod	Graphic Lo	Latitude: 45.7090° Longitude: -119.8231°)epth (Ft.)	Water Leve Observation:	Sample Typ	lecovery	.In.) Field TestRei	WaterC nt(%)	Dry UnitWeight(po	LL-PL-PI	Fines Percent
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 619 (Ft.)				<u> </u>					
-		rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	1		-007		-				
		silly sand (SM), fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine rootlets to ~2 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort	-	-	Ÿ	6	-	5.7			
	· · ·		_								
2											
		4.0 619									
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense	1 -	1	m	6	-				
3			_				-				
		5.5 613.5	5	1	sm.	6	-	10.6			
Г	-	Test Pit Refusal at 5.5 Feet	Ť								
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ater Lev	vel Ob	serv	ation	s	ı		Excavator	
use See	d and a Suppo	dditional data (If any). Gr rting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	oundwat	er not	enco	unter	ed			CASE 580N	

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Envir Page 105 of 146 aterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-11

<u>e</u>	Бс	Location: See Exploration Plan		<u> </u>	ě		sults	Conte	cf)	Atterberg Limits	
Mod	hic Le	Latitude: 45.7091° Longitude: -119.8208°	(Ft.)	r Leve	le Typ	ery	Field	Water(nt(%)	/eight(p		ines rcent
-ave	Grapl		epth	Vater	Sampl	ecov	(.u.		Dry UnitW	LL-PL-PI	Pel Fi
	÷., ; ;	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 614 (Ft.)		- 0	0,	R					
		rootlets, very loose based on digging effort									
		subrounded, brown, moist, trace fine rootlets to ~3 feet	-	-							
		diameter, very loose based on digging effort									
2			-	-	.004						
		м 1			U	6		7.1			
			-	-							
		3.5 610.5			-004						
		dense based on digging effort	-	-	Ü	6		16.3			
3			5	-							
					504		-				
		608 0			V	6					
		lest pit Refusal at 6 Feet									
L											
Se	e Explo	ration and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	ter Lev	vel Ob	serv	ation	5			Excavator	
us Se	ed and e Supp	orting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	oundwat	er not	enco	unter	eu			CASE SOUN	

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirPageal 106 con 46 aterials



Test Pit Log No. TP-12

<u> </u>	бc	Location: See Exploration Plan		()	e		sults	onte	£	Atterberg Limits	
rMod	hic Lo	Latitude: 45.7082° Longitude: -119.8248°	i (Ft.)	r Lev€ vation	le Typ	'ery	Field	Water(nt(%)	Neight(pc		-ines srcent
Laye	Grap		Depth	Wate	Samp	Recov	(In.)		Dry Unit'	LL-PL-PI	Р. А
1		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 623 (Ft.) 0.2 \TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, -622.83									
		Vrootlets, very loose based on digging effort/									
		loose based on digging effort	_								
			_								
2											
			_		SW2		-				
					Ü	6	_	6.6			
		4.0 619 SILT (ML), nonplastic, light brown, moist, weak	. –		SW2	6	-				
		cementation 618					-				
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, strong cementation, dense based on diaging effort	5								
3		<u>6.0</u> <u>617</u>	. –		M	6		12.3			
		Test Pit Refusal at 6 Feet									
Sei	Explor	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	terle	(el Ob	Serv	ation				Fxcavator	
use	ed and a	additional data (If any). Growthing information of symbols and abbraviations	oundwat	er not	enco	unter	ed			CASE 580N	

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-22-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-22-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Envirpragter 107*61*146*1aterials



Test Pit Log No. IT-01

	б	Location: See Exploration Plan			е		ults	onte	ŋ	Atterberg Limits	
lode	ic Lo	Latitude: 45.7146° Longitude: -119.8270°	Ft.)	_eve	Typ	ery	estResi	aterC t(%)	ight(pc		es cent
yer	aphi		oth (ater I serva	mple		(· ·	≥ £	bry InitWei	LL-PL-PI	Fin Perc
La	υ		Dep	N SqO	Sa	R R	Ē		6 5		
1	<u>×</u> , ,, ,, ,,	Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 597 (Ft.) 0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 596.63	,								
		rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	t								
		rootlets to ~2 feet bgs, very loose based on digging effort	-	-							
				1							
2											
			-	-			-				
					SWA	12		23.8			24
								2010			
		4 5 502]							
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense									
		based on digging effort	5	1							
3											
			_	1							
		6.5 590.1									
5	<u>k-</u> X	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured,	Ī		SWN	6		5.2			
		Test Pit Refusal at 7 Feet	• -								
L											
See use	e Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures W dditional data (If any). Gr	ater Lev oundwat	er not	serv enco	ation: ounter	s ed			Excavator CASE 580N	

See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Infiltration test performed at 3 feet bgs **Operator** Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

2-foot toothed bucket

Advancement Method

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

> Exhibit 17 Facilities | EnvirPage 10명이다 118


Test Pit Log No. IT-02

_	D	Location: See Exploration Plan				0	Its	onte	_	Atterberg	
ode	P	Latitude: 45 7149° Longitude: -119 8250°		evel	ions	Type	4 stResu	(%)	ht(pcf	Linnes	ines
erM	phic	Latitude. 43.7149 Longitude119.0230	h (F	er L	irvat	ble		Wa nt(/ tWeig		ercentFi
Lay	Gra		Dept	Wat	Dbse	San	In.)		59	LL-PL-PI	Pe
	(Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 593 (Ft.)		_			¥ 🗠				
1	<u> </u>	0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 592.7	5								
		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, trace			en en	n	6	25.5			33
		fine rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	-	1			-				
2											
			-	+							
		3.5589.	5								
3		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense	d		7/1	12	3	4 2			
5	XX	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured,	1 -	1							
-		4.5 slightly weathered, medium strong588.	5	-	+	-					
		Test Fit Refusal at 4.5 reet									
See use	Explora d and a	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures W dditional data (If any). Gi	ater Le	vel (ter n	Obse not en	rvation ncount	ered			Excavator CASE 580N	

Operator Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Infiltration test performed at $\ensuremath{^{1\!\!/_2}}$ foot bgs

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Envirpmental 109601146 aterials

Test Pit Log No IT-03



-	Layer phicLog	Test Pit Log	No.	IT	-0	3	Field Test Result s	Por	tland,	OR	
	G'a	Location: See Exploration Plan	Depth(F				(In.) (In.)			Atterberg	
		Latitude: 45.7114° Longitude: -119.8208°								Littito	
										LL-PL-PI	
		Denth (Ft.) Elevation.: 584 (Ft.	,								
1	<u></u>	0.3 TOPSOIL (OL) , fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 583.	75								
		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine									
				1000-01							
				-							
	i i i										
				-							
		4.0 5 POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), trace silt, fine grained,	80	-							
2		brown, test pit caving in during excavation ~1.5 feet bgs. Terminated ~9.0 feet bgs.									
			5	_							
					ŝ			20.0			_
				_	V	6		28.0			5
				_							
					000						
				_	Y	6		4.9			
		9.0 5	75								
		rest pit rerminated at 9 reet									
Se	e Explora	tion and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures	Vater Le	vel Ob	serva	ations	5	<u> </u>		Excavator	
us Se	ed and a e <mark>Suppo</mark>	dditional data (If any). Getting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.	Groundwa	ater not	: enco	untere	ed			CASE 580N	
No	otes		dvance	nor cavin ment N	ng of t letho	est pit d	sidewalls			Operator Dan Fischer Exc	avating
Ele	evation R	eference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.	-foot too	thed bu	ucket					Logged by D. Dunn	
In	iltration	test performed at 5 feet bgs	handar	mont	Meth-	ad a				Test Pit Start	ed
		A Tr	est pit ba	ckfilled	with b	ucket-	tamped cuttings			Test Pit Compl	eted
								_		03-21-2023	
						Facili	ities Envir en men	tal ¦G	XNII Potech	DIT 1/ Prical, Alateria	als
							Pag	e 11	υο	140	



Test Pit Log No. IT-04

<u>e</u>	DC	Location: See Exploration Plan	_	_	, e		sults	Conte	Ĵ.	Atterberg Limits	
LayerMod	Graphic Lo	Latitude: 45.7089° Longitude: -119.8189°	Depth (Ft.)	Water Leve	Sample Typ	Recovery	(In.) Field TestRe	Water(nt(%)	Dry UnitWeight(p	LL-PL-PI	Fines Percent
1	×1.24	0.2 TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 610.83 vootlets, very loose based on digging effort									
2		SILTY SAND (SM) , fine grained, brown, moist, trace fine rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	-		m	6	-	9.3	-		
		1.5			SN 1		-		-		
3		based on digging effort	-	-	V	6	-	11./	-		
		2.5 608.5 608.5									
See use See	e Explor ed and a e Suppo	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures was additional data (If any). Grown of symbols and abbreviations.	undwat	vel O l ter no	bserv ot enco	ation unter	s ed			Excavator CASE 580N	

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Abandonment Method

Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan.

Operator Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

Exhibit 17 Facilities | Envirpragetal 1997



Test Pit Log No. IT-05

<u>e</u>	бс	Location: See Exploration Plan	_		, e		sults	(9)	cf)	Atterberg Limits	
Mod	hic L	Latitude: 45.7077° Longitude: -119.8211°	(Ft.)	r Levé		erv	Field	ntent(%	/eight(p		antFines
-ayer	Graph		epth	Nater	ampl	SCOV((.	aterCor	Dry UnitW	LL-PL-PI	Perce
		Depth (Ft.) Elevation.: 622 (Ft.)	Ō			Å Å		M			
1		10.3 TOPSOIL (OL), fine grained, nonplastic, brown, moist, 621.67 rootlets, very loose based on digging effort	•		000		-				
		SILTY SAND (SM), trace gravel, fine grained, subrounded, brown, moist, very loose based on digging	_		U	6	-	24.5			20
		effort									
			_		M	6					
2					M	6					
			-								
		4.0 618									
		SILTY SAND (SM), fine to coarse grained, white, dense	-	1							
3		5.0 617	_		m	> 6					
5	<u>k-</u> X	BASALT, gray, fine-grained, moderately fractured,	5	1	m	> 3					
Г		Test Pit Refusal at 5.5 Feet	•								
See	Explora	ation and Testing Procedures for a description of field and laboratory procedures Graditional data (If any)	ter Lev	vel Ol	bserv t enco	vation	s ed			Excavator CASE 580N	

See Supporting Information for explanation of symbols and abbreviations.

Notes

Elevation Reference: Elevations were interpolated from a topographic site plan. Infiltration test performed at 1/2 foot bgs

Advancement Method 2-foot toothed bucket

Operator Dan Fischer Excavating

Logged by D. Dunn

Test Pit Started 03-21-2023

Test Pit Completed 03-21-2023

Abandonment Method Test pit backfilled with bucket-tamped cuttings

> Exhibit 17 Facilities | Envirpmental 19200 1946 Activity of the second secon

FIELD ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TEST DATA



Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon Field Date: March 123, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118

Array Loc.	ER-1 (45.7146, -119.8299)								
Instrument	Mini-res	Weather	35 F, Cloudy						
Serial #	347	Ground Cond.	Grassy Topsoil - Silty Sand (SM)						
Cal. Check	December 2, 2022	Tested By	JDPP						
Test Date	March 23, 2023	Method	Wenner 4-pin (ASTM G57-06 (2020); IEEE 81-2012)						
Notes &									
Conflicts	Area is	s mostly covered with so	crub brush,1 to 3 feet high						

$$= 1 + \sqrt{2 4} - \sqrt{2}$$

Apparent resistivity ρ is calculated as :

Electrode	Spacing a	Electro	ode Depth b	+ 4	N-S	+		
				Measured		Apparent	Measured	Apparent
(feet)	(centimeters)	(inches)	(centimeters)	Resist	tance R	Resistivity <i>p</i>	Resistance R	Resistivity p
				Ω		(Ω-cm)	Ω	(Ω-cm)
1.25	38	24	61	5	9.70	26,740	46.90	21,010
2.5	76	24	61	1	9.95	14,910	19.78	14,790
5	152	24	61	3.97		4,650	4.81	5,630
7.5	229	24	61	4	2.47	3,950	2.82	4,510
10	305	24	61	4	2.36	4,820	2.23	4,550
15	457	24	61		1.90	5,630	2.14	6,330
20	610	24	61		1.85	7,220	2.06	8,040
30	914	24	61		1.57	9,100	1.83	10,600
40	1219	24	61		1.27	9,790	1.33	10,220
50	1,524	24	61	(0.98	9,450	1.00	9,570



FIELD ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TEST DATA

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon Field Date: March 23, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



= 1 $+\sqrt{2}$ 4 $-\sqrt{2}$

Apparent resistivity ρ is calculated as :

Electrode	Spacing a	Electro	ode Depth b	+ 4	N-S	+		
				Mea	sured	Apparent	Measured	Apparent
(feet)	(centimeters)	(inches)	(centimeters)	Resist	ance R	Resistivity <i>p</i>	Resistance R	Resistivity p
					Ω	(Ω-cm)	Ω	(Ω-cm)
1.25	38	24	61	3	5.80	16,040	34.60	15,500
2.5	76	24	61	2	9.50	22,050	31.80	23,770
5	152	24	61	2	0.50	24,000	19.06	22,310
7.5	229	24	61	1	3.81	22,090	12.83	20,520
10	305	24	61	ç	9.79	19,990	10.85	22,160
15	457	24	61	6	6.56	19,410	7.17	21,210
20	610	24	61	۷	4.49	17,510	4.75	18,500
30	914	24	61	2	2.43	14,080	2.40	13,910
40	1219	24	61	1	1.49	11,470	1.38	10,640
50	1,524	24	61	().99	9,530	0.88	8,480



Exhibit 17 Page 114 of 146



Shear Wave Velocity - Line 1



Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, OR Field Data Collection: March 8, 2023 |Terracon Project No. 82225118





Shear Wave Velocity - Line 2



Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, OR Field Data Collection: March 8, 2023 |Terracon Project No. 82225118











Percheron Data Center
Morrow County, Oregon
Test Date: March 21, 2023
Terracon Project No. 82225118

Project	Percheron Data Ce	nter	Date	3/21/2023	Exploration Number	IT-1
Test Method	Encased Falling He	ad	Inner Diameter of Pipe	6 inches	Infiltration Test Depth	3 feet
Soil at infiltr	ation test depth	Silty Sand (SM)		Approximat	e Test Elevation ¹	594 ft
Presaturat	tion Start Time	9:04 AM				
Presaturat	tion End Time	1:15 PM	Presaturation Notes	Water added periodical	ly to maintain 12 inch head	
Head During	g Presaturation	12 inches				
Time	Time Interval (Minutes)	Measurement ² (inches)	Drop in Water level (inches)	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour)	Remarks	
1:15 PM	0	35 1/4			Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head
1:45 PM	30	36 1/2	1 1/4	2.5		
2:18 PM	33	34 5/8	5/8	1.1	Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head
2:50 PM	32	34 1/4	1	1.9	Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head
3:21 PM	31	34	1 1/4	2.4	Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head
3:53 PM 32		U I	, .		Trator adjusted to provide e	Incir ficuu

1 Elevation interpolated from Site Topographic Survey

2 Measured to nearest 1/16 inch from top of pipe



Percheron Data Center
Morrow County, Oregon
Test Date: March 21, 2023
Terracon Project No. 82225118

Project	Percheron Data Ce	nter	Date	3/21/2023	Exploration Number	IT-2		
Test Method	Encased Falling He	ad	Inner Diameter of Pipe	6 inches	Infiltration Test Depth	½ foot		
Soil at infiltra	ation test depth	Silty Sand (SM)		Approximate	e Test Elevation ¹	592½ ft		
Presaturat	ion Start Time	9:40 AM						
Presaturat	tion End Time	1:41 PM	Presaturation Notes	Water added periodically to maintain 12 inch head				
Head During	g Presaturation	12 inches						
Time	Time Interval	Measurement ²	Drop in Water level	Infiltration Rate ³	Remarks			
Time	Time Interval (Minutes)	Measurement ² (inches)	Drop in Water level (inches)	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour)	Remarks			
Time 1:41 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0	Measurement ² (inches) 34 3/4	Drop in Water level (inches) 	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour)	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head		
Time 1:41 PM 2:11 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30	Measurement ² (inches) 34 3/4 37 3/4	Drop in Water level (inches) 3	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.0	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head		
Time 1:41 PM 2:11 PM 2:43 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30 32	Measurement ² (inches) 34 3/4 37 3/4 37 7/8	Drop in Water level (inches) 3 3 1/8	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.0 5.9	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head		
Time 1:41 PM 2:11 PM 2:43 PM 3:15 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30 32 32 32	Measurement ² (inches) 34 3/4 37 3/4 37 7/8 38 1/4	Drop in Water level (inches) 3 3 1/8 3 1/2	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.0 5.9 6.6	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head inch head		

1 Elevation interpolated from Site Topographic Survey

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{2}}$ Measured to nearest 1/16 inch from top of pipe



Percheron Data Center
Morrow County, Oregon
Test Date: March 21, 2023
Terracon Project No. 82225118

Project	Percheron Data Ce	nter	Date	3/21/2023	Exploration Number	IT-3		
Test Method	Encased Falling He	ad	Inner Diameter of Pipe	6 inches	Infiltration Test Depth	5 feet		
Soil at infiltr	ation test depth	Silty Sand (SM)		Approximate	e Test Elevation ¹	583½ ft		
Presaturation Start Time		9:15 AM						
Presaturat	ion End Time	1:15 PM	Presaturation Notes	Water added periodically to maintain 12 inch head				
Head During Presaturation		12 inches						
Time	Time Interval	Measurement ²	Drop in Water level	Infiltration Rate ³	Remarks			
					I CIIIUI NG			
	(Minutes)	(inches)	(inches)	(inches per hour)				
1:15 PM	(Minutes) 0	(inches) 65 3/4	(inches) 	(inches per hour)	Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head		
1:15 PM 1:45 PM	(Minutes) 0 30	(inches) 65 3/4 71	(inches) 5 1/4	(inches per hour) 10.5	Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head		
1:15 PM 1:45 PM 2:17 PM	(Minutes) 0 30 32	(inches) 65 3/4 71 70 1/2	(inches) 5 1/4 5	(inches per hour) 10.5 9.4	Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head		
1:15 PM 1:45 PM 2:17 PM 2:48 PM	(Minutes) 0 30 32 31	(inches) 65 3/4 71 70 1/2 70 3/4	(inches) 5 1/4 5 5 3/4	(inches per hour) 10.5 9.4 11.1	Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head inch head		
1:15 PM 1:45 PM 2:17 PM 2:48 PM 3:21 PM	(Minutes) 0 30 32 31 33	(inches) 65 3/4 71 70 1/2 70 3/4 71 1/4	(inches) 5 1/4 5 5 5 3/4 5 1/2	(inches per hour) 10.5 9.4 11.1 10.0	Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head inch head inch head		
1:15 PM 1:45 PM 2:17 PM 2:48 PM 3:21 PM 3:54 PM	(Minutes) 0 30 32 31 33 33 33	(inches) 65 3/4 71 70 1/2 70 3/4 71 1/4 71 1/8	(inches) 5 1/4 5 5 5 3/4 5 1/2 5 3/8	(inches per hour) 10.5 9.4 11.1 10.0 9.8	Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head inch head inch head inch head		

1 Elevation interpolated from Site Topographic Survey

2 Measured to nearest 1/16 inch from top of pipe



Percheron Data Center
Morrow County, Oregon
Test Date: March 21, 2023
Terracon Project No. 82225118

Project	Percheron Data Ce	nter	Date	3/21/2023	Exploration Number	IT-3		
Test Method	Encased Falling He	ad	Inner Diameter of Pipe	6 inches	Infiltration Test Depth	½ foot		
Soil at infiltr	ation test depth	Silty Sand (SM)		Approximate	e Test Elevation ¹	621½ ft		
Presaturation Start Time		9:55 AM						
Presaturat	tion End Time	1:55 PM	Presaturation Notes	Water added periodical	y to maintain 12 inch head			
Head During	g Presaturation	12 inches						
Time	Time Interval	Measurement ²	Drop in Water level	Infiltration Rate ³	Remarks			
Time	Time Interval (Minutes)	Measurement ² (inches)	Drop in Water level (inches)	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour)	Remarks			
Time 1:55 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0	Measurement ² (inches) 27	Drop in Water level (inches) 	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour)	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head		
Time 1:55 PM 2:25 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30	Measurement ² (inches) 27 30 1/8	Drop in Water level (inches) 3 1/8	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.3	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head		
Time 1:55 PM 2:25 PM 2:57 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30 32	Measurement ² (inches) 27 30 1/8 30 1/4	Drop in Water level (inches) 3 1/8 3 1/4	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.3 6.1	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head		
Time 1:55 PM 2:25 PM 2:57 PM 3:28 PM	Time Interval (Minutes) 0 30 32 31	Measurement ² (inches) 27 30 1/8 30 1/4 30 3/8	Orop in Water level (inches) 3 1/8 3 1/4 3 3/8	Infiltration Rate ³ (inches per hour) 6.3 6.1 6.5	Remarks Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6 Water adjusted to provide 6	inch head inch head inch head inch head		

1 Elevation interpolated from Site Topographic Survey

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{2}}$ Measured to nearest 1/16 inch from top of pipe





ASTM D4318



	Boring ID	Depth (Ft)	ш	PL	PI	Fines	USCS	Description
	DC-10	5 - 6.4	NP	NP	NP		SM	SILTY SAND
	DC-14	5 - 6.5	NP	NP	NP	47.8	SM	SILTY SAND
	SS-03	7.5-9	NP	NP	NP	89.7	ML	SILT
*	SS-03A	45 - 46.5	57	34	23		МН	ELASTIC SILT

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 123 of 146



Grain Size Distribution

ASTM D422 / ASTM C136



Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 124 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID	Depth (Ft)	Description of Materials													
	DC-10	0.5 - 4.5			SILTY SAND								SILTY SAND				
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size	ш	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)										
	0.0				ASTM D1557-Method A	118.9	12.6										

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 125 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID	Depth (Ft)	Description of Materials							
	DC-13	0.5 - 4	.5		SILTY SAND to POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT						
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size	ш	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)				
	0.0				ASTM D1557-Method A	111.1	8.9				

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 126 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID	Depth (Ft)	Description of Materials												
	DC-24	0.5 - 4.5			SILTY SAND								SILTY SAND			
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size	ш	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)									
	0.0				ASTM D1557-Method A	119.5	10.9									

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 127 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID		Depth (Ft)		I	Description of Materials						
	TP-01	0.5 - 3.5				SILTY SAND							
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size		LL	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)					
	0.0					ASTM D1557-Method A	110.6	11.9					

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 128 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID		Depth (Ft)		Description of Materials							
	TP-05	0.5 - 3.5				SILTY SAND							
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size		LL	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)					
	0.0					ASTM D1557-Method A	115.5	10.8					

Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 129 of 146



Moisture-Density Relationship

ASTM D1557-Method A



Во	oring ID	Depth ((Ft)		I						
	TP-10	1 - 4	ŀ		SILTY SAND						
Fines (%)	Fraction > mm size	ш	PL	PI	Test Method	Maximum Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Water Content (%)				
	0.0				ASTM D1557-Method A	109.7	13.7				



D4546



2.65

Notes:

8.5

93.7



D4546



Boring ID	Depth (Ft)	Specimen #		Material Description							
DC-13	0 - 2	S-1	SILTY SAND								
Saturatio	Natural n (%)	Moisture (%)	Initial Dry Density (pcf)	LL PI	Specific Gravity	Overburden (psf)	P ر (psf)	Cc (% / log stress)	C _r (% / log stress)	Initial Void Ratio	
5.8	3	1.5	98.7 2.65							0.675	
Notes:											



D4546



Notes:



D4546



Boring ID	Depth (Ft)	Specimen #			USCS	AASHTO				
SS-01	0-1.5	S-1		SP-SM						
Natural Saturation (%) Moisture (%)			Initial Dry Density (pcf)	LL PI	Specific Gravity	Overburden (psf)	P ر (psf)	C _c (% / log stress)	C _r (% / log stress)	Initial Void Ratio
		5.1	82.5		2.65					
Notes:										

750 Pilot Road, Suite F Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 (702) 597-9393

Client Rowan Percheron LLC



Project Percheron Data Center

Sample Submitted By: Terracon (82)

Date Received: 4/6/2023

Lab No.: 23-0218

Results	of Corrosio	n Analysis	
Sample Number			
Sample Location	DC-02	DC-13	DC-24
Sample Depth (ft.)	0.5-4.5	0.5-4.5	0.5-4.5
pH Analysis, ASTM G51	8.37	8.26	8.17
Water Soluble Sulfate (SO4), ASTM C 1580 (Percent %)	<0.01	0.01	<0.01
Sulfides, AWWA 4500-S D, (mg/Kg)	Nil	Nil	Nil
Chlorides, ASTM D512, (Percent %)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
Red-Ox, ASTM G200, (mV)	+723	+725	+728
Total Salts, AWWA 2540, (mg/Kg)	912	741	674
Saturated Minimum Resistivity, ASTM G-57, (ohm-cm)	2231	2813	2716

M. Carp

Analyzed By

Nathan Campo Engineering Technician II

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM and AWWA test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.

Exhibit 17 Page 135 of 146



Percheron | Morrow County, Oregon Test Completed: April 16, 2023 |Terracon Project No.82225118





Percheron | Morrow County, Oregon Test Completed: April 14, 2023 |Terracon Project No.82225118











Percheron | Morrow County, Oregon Test Completed: April 16, 2023 |Terracon Project No.82225118





California Bearing Ratio

ASTM D1883-07²



Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials

Exhibit 17 Page 140 of 146



California Bearing Ratio

ASTM D1883-07²



Laboratory tests are not valid if separated from original report.



ASTM D7012-14e - Standard Test Methods for Compressive Strength and Elastic Moduli of Intact Rock Core Specimens under Varying States of Stress and Temperatures Project 82225118

Portland, OR (Office 82)

			Diameter	Length, Pre-	Length, Post-	Mass, Post-	Length/Dia.		Volume	Density	Max Load			Time to Failure
Во	oring	Depth	(in)	Trim (in)	Trim (in)	Trim (g)	Ratio	Area (in^2)	(ft^3)	(pcf)	(lbs)	UCS* (psi)	UCS (Mpa)	(mm:ss)
D	C-9	12.3-17.3	2.4	5.4	4.17	797	1.77	4.37	0.0106	166.3	53,475	12,225	84	4:13
DC	C-21	9.0-12.0	2.4	13.6	4.69	937	1.99	4.37	0.0119	174.0	98,135	22,435	155	5:18

Prepped by: Derek Powlison Tested by: Derek Powlison Received on: Prepped on: 4/11/2023 Tested on: 4/13/2023 Lithographic Description: Basalt Formation Name: Columbia River Basalt Load Direction: Vertically As Cored Moisture Condition at Test: Surface Dry Compression Machine ID: C-56450 Fracture Type: Columnar (All) Sub-Method: C - Uniaxial Comp. Strength

*UCS = Unconfined Compressive Strength





Supporting Information

Contents:

General Notes Unified Soil Classification System Rock Classification Notes

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials

Exhibit 17 Page 143 of 146



General Notes



Descriptive Soil Classification

Soil classification as noted on the soil boring logs is based Unified Soil Classification System. Where sufficient laboratory data exist to classify the soils consistent with ASTM D2487 "Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes" this procedure is used. ASTM D2488 "Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)" is also used to classify the soils, particularly where insufficient laboratory data exist to classify the soils in accordance with ASTM D2487. In addition to USCS classification, coarse grained soils are classified on the basis of their in-place relative density, and fine-grained soils are classified on the basis of their consistency. See "Strength Terms" table below for details. The ASTM standards noted above are for reference to methodology in general. In some cases, variations to methods are applied as a result of local practice or professional judgment.

Location And Elevation Notes

Exploration point locations as shown on the Exploration Plan and as noted on the soil boring logs in the form of Latitude and Longitude are approximate. See Exploration and Testing Procedures in the report for the methods used to locate the exploration points for this project. Surface elevation data annotated with +/- indicates that no actual topographical survey was conducted to confirm the surface elevation. Instead, the surface elevation was approximately determined from topographic maps of the area.

		Strength Term	S				
Relative Density o (More than 50% ret Density determined Re	f Coarse-Grained Soils ained on No. 200 sieve.) by Standard Penetration sistance	Consistency dete	Consistency of Fine-Grained Soils (50% or more passing the No. 200 sieve.) Consistency determined by laboratory shear strength testing, field visual-manual procedures or standard penetration resistance				
Relative Density	Standard Penetration or N-Value (Blows/Ft.)	Consistency	Unconfined Compressive Strength Qu (tsf)	Standard Penetration or N-Value (Blows/Ft.)			
Very Loose	0 - 3	Very Soft	less than 0.25	0 - 1			
Loose	4 - 9	Soft	0.25 to 0.50	2 - 4			
Medium Dense	10-29	Medium Stiff	0.50 to 1.00	4 - 8			
Dense	30 - 50	Stiff	1.00 to 2.00	8-15			
Very Dense	> 50	Very Stiff	2.00 to 4.00	15 - 30			
		Hard	> 4.00	> 30			

Relevance of Exploration and Laboratory Test Results

Exploration/field results and/or laboratory test data contained within this document are intended for application to the project as described in this document. Use of such exploration/field results and/or laboratory test data should not be used independently of this document.
Geotechnical Engineering Report

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Unified Soil Classification System

Criteria for Assigning Group Symbols and Group Names Using					Soil Classification	
	Laboratory Tests A			Group Symbol	Group Name B	
Coarse-Grained Soils: More than 50% retained on No. 200 sieve	Gravels: More than 50% of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieve	Clean Gravels:	Cu≥4 and 1≤Cc≤3 ^E	GW	Well-graded gravel F	
		Less than 5% fines ^C	Cu<4 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] E	GP	Poorly graded gravel F	
		Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH	GM	Silty gravel F, G, H	
		More than 12% fines $^{\sf C}$	Fines classify as CL or CH	GC	Clayey gravel F, G, H	
	Sands: 50% or more of coarse fraction passes No. 4 sieve	Clean Sands: Less than 5% fines D	Cu≥6 and 1≤Cc≤3 ^E	SW	Well-graded sand ^I	
			Cu<6 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] ${\mbox{\tt E}}$	SP	Poorly graded sand $^{\mathbf{I}}$	
		Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines	Fines classify as ML or MH	SM	Silty sand G, H, I	
			Fines classify as CL or CH	SC	Clayey sand G, H, I	
Fine-Grained Soils: 50% or more passes the No. 200 sieve	Silts and Clays: Liquid limit less than 50	Inorganic:	PI > 7 and plots above "A" line ^J	CL	Lean clay ^{K, L, M}	
			PI < 4 or plots below "A" line J	ML	Silt K, L, M	
		Organic:		OL	Organic clay <mark>K, L, M, N</mark> Organic silt ^{K, L, M, O}	
	Silts and Clays: Liquid limit 50 or more	Inorganic:	PI plots on or above "A" line	CH	Fat clay ^{K, L, M}	
			PI plots below "A" line	MH	Elastic silt ^{K, L, M}	
		Organic:		ОН	Organic clay ^{K, L, M, P} Organic silt ^{K, L, M, Q}	
Highly organic soils:	Primari	Primarily organic matter, dark in color, and organic odor			Peat	

Highly organic soils:

- A Based on the material passing the 3-inch (75-mm) sieve. ^B If field sample contained cobbles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name.
- c Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GW-GC well-graded gravel with clay, GP-GM poorly
- graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.
 Sands with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: SW-SM well-graded sand with silt, SW-SC well-graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay.

$$E Cu = D_{60}/D_{10} Cc = \frac{(D_{30})^{-2}}{D_{10} x D_{10}}$$

- F If soil contains \geq 15% sand, add "with sand" to group name.
- G If fines classify as CL-ML, use dual symbol GC-GM, or SC-SM.

- PT $^{\rm H}$ If fines are organic, add "with organic fines" to group name .
- I f soil contains \geq 15% gravel, add "with gravel" to group name.
- If Atterberg limits plot in shaded area, soil is a CL-ML, silty clay.
- K If soil contains 15 to 29% plus No. 200, add "with sand" or "with
- gravel," whichever is predominant.
- ^L If soil contains \geq 30% plus No. 200 predominantly sand, add "sandy" to group name.
- ^M If soil contains \geq 30% plus No. 200, predominantly gravel, add "gravelly" to group name.
- ▶ PI ≥ 4 and plots on or above "A" line.
- PI < 4 or plots below "A" line.
- P PI plots on or above "A" line.
- Q PI plots below "A" line.



Facilities | Environmental | Geotechnical | Materials Exhibit 17 Page 145 of 146

Geotechnical Engineering Report

Percheron Data Center | Morrow County, Oregon May 2, 2023 | Terracon Project No. 82225118



Rock Classification Notes

	WEATHERING					
Term	Description					
Fresh	Mineral crystals appear bright; show no discoloration. Features show little or now staining on surfaces. Discoloration does not extend into intact rock.					
Slightly weathered	Rock generally fresh except along fractures. Some fractures stained and discoloration may extend <0.5 inches into rock.					
Moderately weathered	Significant portions of rock are dull and discolored. Rock may be significantly weaker than in fresh Soil zones of limited extent may occur along some fractures.	state near fractures.				
Highly weathered	Rock dull and discolored throughout. Majority of rock mass is significantly weaker and has decomposed and/or disintegrated; isolated zones of stronger rock and/or soil may occur throughout.					
Completely weathered	All rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. The rock mass or fabric is still evident Isolated zones of stronger rock may occur locally.	and largely intact.				
STRENGTH OR HARDNESS						
Description	Field Identification	Uniaxial Compressive Strength, psi				
Extremely strong	Can only be chipped with geological hammer. Rock rings on hammer blows. Cannot be scratched with a sharp pick. Hand specimens require several hard hammer blows to break.	>36,000				
Very strong	Several blows of a geological hammer to fracture. Cannot be scratched with a 20d common steel nail. Can be scratched with a geologist's pick only with difficulty.	15,000-36,000				
Strong	More than one blow of a geological hammer needed to fracture. Can be scratched with a 20d nail or geologist's pick. Gouges or grooves to ¼ inch deep can be excavated by a hard blow of a geologist's pick. Hand specimens can be detached by a moderate blow.	7,500-15,000				
Medium strong	One blow of geological hammer needed to fracture. Can be distinctly scratched with 20d nail. Can be grooved or gouged 1/16 in. deep by firm pressure with a geologist's pick point. Can be fractured with single firm blow of geological hammer. Can be excavated in small chips (about 1-in. maximum size) by hard blows of the point of a geologist's pick;	3,500-7,500				
Weak	Shallow indent by firm blow with geological hammer point. Can be gouged or grooved readily with geologist's pick point. Can be excavated in pieces several inches in size by moderate blows of a pick point. Small thin pieces can be broken by finger pressure.	700-3,500				
Very weak	Crumbles under firm blow with geological hammer point. Can be excavated readily with the point of a geologist's pick. Pieces 1-in. or more in thickness can be broken with finger pressure. Can be scratched readily by fingernail.	150-700				

DISCONTINUITY DESCRIPTION

Fracture (Joints, Faults, G	Spacing Other Fractures)	Bedding Spacing (May Include Foliation or Banding)						
Description	Spacing	Description	Spacing					
Intensely fractured	< 2.5 inches	Laminated	< ½-inch					
Highly fractured	2.5 – 8 inches	Very thin	1/2 – 2 inches					
Moderately fractured	8 inches to 2 feet	Thin	2 inches – 1 foot					
Slightly fractured	2 to 6.5 feet	Medium	1 – 3 feet					
Very slightly fractured	> 6.5 feet	Thick	3 - 10 feet					
		Massive	> 10 feet					
ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD) ¹								
Descri	ption	RQD Value (%)						
Very	Poor	0-25						
Po	or	25-50						
Fa	ir		50-75					
Go	od		75–90					
Excel	llent	90	0 - 100					

1. The combined length of all sound and intact core segments equal to or greater than 4 inches in length, expressed as a percentage of the total core run length.

Facilities | Environmental EXHIBIT 14 Platerials Page 146 of 146